

3200 Devine Street, Suite 103 Columbia, South Carolina 29205 info@garberreporting.com Telephone: (803) 256-4500

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

November 26, 2024

2024 SC Judicial Merit Selection Commission

REPORTER: Jennifer Nottle

1	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
2	COUNTY OF RICHLAND)
3	
4	* * * *
5	JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
7	* * * *
8	BEFORE: SENATOR LUKE RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
9	MICAJAH PICKETT "MICAH" CASKEY, VICE CHAIRMAN
10	SENATOR RONNIE A. SABB
11	SENATOR BILLY GARRETT
12	REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
13	MS. HOPE BLACKLEY
14	MS. LUCY GREY MCIVER
15	MR. ANDREW N. SAFRAN
16	REPRESENTATIVE WALLACE H. "JAY" JORDAN
17	MS. ERIN CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
18	* * * *
19	DATE: November 26, 2024
20	TIME: 9:00 a.m.
21	LOCATION: Gressette Building
22	1101 Pendleton Street
23	Columbia, South Carolina 29201
24	REPORTED BY: JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
25	

1	
2	INDEX
3	PAGE:
4	THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. NEWTON
5	- Examination by Ms. Trask13
6	
7	THE HONORABLE ALICIA ALLSBROOK RICHARDSON
8	- Examination by Ms. Hall30
9	- Examination by Senator Garrett
10	
11	THE HONORABLE FITZLEE H. McEACHIN
12	- Examination by Mr. Stimson44
13	- Examination by Senator Garrett49
14	
15	THE HONORABLE W. MARSH ROBERTSON
16	- Examination by Ms. Webb58
17	- Examination by Mr. Safran67
18	- Examination by Chairman Rankin74
19	
20	JONATHAN D. HAMMOND
21	- Examination by Mr. John79
22	- Examination by Representative Jordan88
23	- Examination by Mr. Safran91
24	- Examination by Senator Garrett98
25	- Examination by Chairman Rankin103

1	MARCELLO TORRICOS
2	- Examination by Ms. Wilkinson
3	- Examination by Chairman Rankin119
4	- Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey130
5	- Examination by Chairman Rankin131
6	- Examination by Mr. Safran133
7	
8	THE HONORABLE GERALD C. SMOAK, JR.
9	- Examination by Ms. Benson141
10	- Examination by Chairman Rankin147
11	
12	THE HONORABLE DAVID J. GUYTON
13	- Examination by Ms. Starnes152
14	- Examination by Senator Garrett157
15	
16	THE HONORABLE KIMAKA "KIM" NICHOLS-GRAHAM
17	- Examination by Mr. Hinson164
18	- Examination by Mr. Garrett169
19	- Examination by Ms. Blackley172
20	- Examination by Chairman Rankin173
21	- Examination by Mr. Safran182
22	
23	THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY E. MADDEN
24	- Examination by Ms. Crawford188
25	- Examination by Chairman Rankin201

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

1	- Examination by Mr. Safran208
2	- Examination by Ms. Blackley218
3	- Examination by Senator Garrett222
4	- Examination by Representative Jordan227
5	- Examination by Chairman Rankin233
6	
7	THE HONORABLE JAMES G. McGEE, III
8	- Examination by Mr. John241
9	- Examination by Mr. Safran246
10	- Examination by Chairman Rankin250
11	
12	THE HONORABLE RANDALL E. McGEE
13	- Examination by Mr. Cohl254
14	
15	THE HONORABLE DAVID EARL PHILLIPS
16	- Examination by Mr. Walpole266
17	- Examination by Senator Garrett271
18	
19	KRISTIAN CROSS
20	- Examination by Ms. Crater277
21	- Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey285
22	- Examination by Senator Sabb286
23	- Examination by Senator Garrett289
24	- Examination by Representative Rutherford291
25	

1	THE HONORABLE BRYAN S. JEFFRIES
2	- Examination by Ms. Benson296
3	- Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey302
4	- Examination by Senator Sabb306
5	
6	SAMUEL L. JOHNSON
7	- Examination by Mr. Hinson313
8	- Examination by Mr. Safran323
9	
10	HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL-HOLMES
11	- Examination by Ms. Foster426
12	Certificate of Reporter435
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	* * * *
2	EXHIBIT INDEX
3	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
4	EXHIBIT NO. 111
5	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Robert E.
6	Newton (17 pages)
7	EXHIBIT NO. 211
8	- Amendment to Personal Questionnaire for The Honorable
9	Robert E. Newton (1 page)
10	EXHIBIT NO. 311
11	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Robert E. Newton (8
12	pages)
13	EXHIBIT NO. 4
14	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Alicia
15	Allsbrook Richardson (18 pages)
16	EXHIBIT NO. 530
17	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Alicia Allsbrook
18	Richardson (6 pages)
19	EXHIBIT NO. 643
20	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable FitzLee H.
21	McEachin (14 pages)
22	EXHIBIT NO. 743
23	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable FitzLee H. McEachin (6
24	pages)
25	EXHIBIT NO. 857

1	- Personal Date Questionnaire for The Honorable W. Marsh
2	Robertson (15 pages)
3	EXHIBIT NO. 957
4	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable W. Marsh Robertson (6
5	pages)
6	EXHIBIT NO. 1078
7	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Jonathan D. Hammond (15
8	pages)
9	EXHIBIT NO. 11
10	- Sworn Statement of Jonathan D. Hammond (7 pages)
11	EXHIBIT NO. 12108
12	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Marcelo Torricos (20
13	pages)
14	EXHIBIT NO. 13108
15	- Sworn Statement of Marcelo Torricos (11 pages)
16	EXHIBIT NO. 14141
17	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Gerald C.
18	Smoak, Jr. (12 pages)
19	EXHIBIT NO. 15141
20	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Gerald C. Smoak, Jr. (4
21	pages)
22	EXHIBIT NO. 16151
23	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable David G.
24	Guyton (16 pages)
25	EXHIBIT NO. 17151

1	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable David Guyton (7 pages)
2	EXHIBIT NO. 18164
3	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Kimaka
4	"Kim" Graham (19 pages)
5	EXHIBIT NO. 19164
6	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Kimaka "Kim" Graham (5
7	pages)
8	EXHIBIT NO. 20186
9	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Timothy E.
10	Madden (19 pages)
11	EXHIBIT NO. 21187
12	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Timothy E. Madden (7
13	pages)
14	EXHIBIT NO. 22241
15	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable James G.
16	McGee, III (14 pages)
17	EXHIBIT NO. 23241
18	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable James G. McGee, III (6
19	pages)
20	EXHIBIT NO. 24253
21	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Randall E.
22	McGee (14 pages)
23	EXHIBIT NO. 25254
24	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Randall E. McGee (5
25	pages)

1	EXHIBIT NO. 26
2	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable David Earl
3	Phillips (17 pages)
4	EXHIBIT NO. 27
5	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable David Earl Phillips (6
6	pages)
7	EXHIBIT NO. 28275
8	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Kristian Cross (15
9	pages)
10	EXHIBIT NO. 29
11	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for Kristian
12	Cross (1 page)
13	EXHIBIT NO. 30
14	- Sworn Statement of Kristian Cross (4 pages)
15	EXHIBIT NO. 31294
16	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Bryan S.
17	Jeffries (14 pages)
18	EXHIBIT NO. 32294
19	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Bryan S. Jeffries (5
20	pages)
21	EXHIBIT NO. 33311
22	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Samuel L. Johnson (18
23	pages)
24	EXHIBIT NO. 34311
25	- Sworn Statement of Samuel L. Johnson (7 pages)

1	EXHIBIT NO. 35332
2	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Jan B.
3	Bromell-Holmes (16 pages)
4	EXHIBIT NO. 36332
5	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Jan B. Bromell-Holmes
6	(7 pages)
7	EXHIBIT NO. 37a335
8	- Affidavit and Part 1 of Michelle Capps Complaint (105
9	pages)
10	EXHIBIT NO. 37b335
11	- Part 2 of Michelle Capps Complaint (212 pages)
12	EXHIBIT NO. 37c335
13	- Part 3 through Part 6 of Michelle Capps Complaint (165
14	pages)
15	EXHIBIT NO. 38335
16	- Affidavits of Haley Capps and Emily Capps (96 pages)
17	EXHIBIT NO. 39378
18	- Bromell-Holmes Response to Capps Affidavits (87 pages)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning, good morning. We are
2	on the record. Good morning. Judge, welcome to
3	Tuesday. Today is the 26th. It is 9:25 and you
4	have been sitting in that lobby for a minute. We
5	welcome you. You are the first candidate up for
6	the day.
7	THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. NEWTON, being duly sworn,
8	testifies as follows:
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You've got two documents there, your
10	PDQ and sworn statement. Are those ready to be
11	entered into the record?
12	JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir. I believe they are.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Hand those over, if you
14	will.
15	JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir.
16	(Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification
17	purposes - (17 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
18	The Honorable Robert E. Newton.)
19	(Exhibit Number 2 was marked for identification
20	purposes - (1 page) Amendment to Personal Data
21	Questionnaire for The Honorable Robert E. Newton.)
22	(Exhibit Number 3 was marked for identification
23	purposes - (8 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
24	Robert E. Newton.)
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, you brought with you a star,

1 I believe. Would you like to tell us and 2 introduce us? 3 You couldn't have described her CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 4 better. This is my wife, Caroline Newton. 5 have been married 38 years. This is our fourth 6 time here, and I didn't want to break the streak. 7 So, we have been partners in everything, so we 8 are going to continue today. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Is it inappropriate for me to say we 10 welcome her? It is so good to see you. 11 JUDGE NEWTON: That is actually very appropriate. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge, as you know, in 14 this effort to screen the candidates, we look at 15 the nine evaluative criteria, which includes a 16 ballot box survey, a thorough study of your 17 application materials, verification of your 18 compliance with the state ethics laws, a search 19 of newspaper articles in which your name appears, 2.0 a study of previous screenings and a check for 21 economic conflicts of interest, of which there Other than your wife, there is no one 22 are none. 23 here, and we will not swear her to make a 24 statement in opposition to you. 25 Thank you, sir. I believe she would be JUDGE NEWTON:

1 in support of. 2 3 4 5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We will dispense with the pleasantries in the interest of your punctuality and offer you an opportunity to make a statement at the very end if you haven't gotten out what you need to say. Otherwise, Ms. Trask will lead it off, and then we will start with questions from members of the Commission. Thank you, sir.

JUDGE NEWTON - EXAMINATION BY MS. TRASK:

- Good morning, Judge Newton. Q.
- Α. Good morning.
- Q. After serving 12 years on the family court bench, why do you want to continue serving as a Family Court judge?
- Well, I will tell you that next to my family, Α. serving as a Family Court judge has been the greatest privilege and proudest thing in my life. I work every day to do better at my job, to help the people that come before me, the families and the children. I think, as I told you when you asked me ths question in our meeting, I think I've kind of hit my stride in some regards, and I know that may sound odd to say that it took 12 years to get there, but it is a learning curve, and I feel like that I have excelled in my

1 ability to grasp the law, understand the law. Ι 2 have been receptive to change. I recall the 3 comments from six years ago. I have tried to 4 embrace those and do better. I have taken 5 comments and suggestions from the Bar over time, 6 and not just this body, but when lawyers talk 7 with me. So the reason I want to keep serving is 8 I think that I'm making strides in that regard. 9 I hope to be perceived as doing a good job and 10 good work, and it's a privilege to do the work 11 that I do again, especially for the children, and I hope to be honored to continue to do that. 12 13 Thank you. Judge Newton, your SLED report **Q.**

- indicated that there were two lawsuits filed against you since your last screening. The first, Martin v. Department of Social Services, et al., was filed in district court on March 18th, 2019 by Randolph Martin and was dismissed as of July 28, 2020. And, Judge Newton, it is my understanding that you were not aware, nor were you ever served in this matter, correct?
- A. That's correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. The second lawsuit, Greg Wilson v. Sumter County, South Carolina, et al., was filed in district court on April 3rd, 2019 by Michael Greg Wilson,

and it was dismissed by the district court as of

June 1, 2020. And, Judge Newton, it is my

understanding that you were not aware, nor were

you ever served in this matter, either. Is that

correct?

A. Yes, ma'am, that's also correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Judge Newton, what do you think your reputation is amongst attorneys that practiced before you, as well as court personnel that work with you?
- Well, I would say to you that my hope would be Α. and my belief is that I am perceived as hopefully a hardworking judge, a fair judge, one who is very mindful of the rules. I think I've been called a rules judge by some. I value the rules. I was raised in a military household, and I was taught the importance of duty and responsibility, and I've tried to carry that through in my understanding of the canons applicable to the court, court decorum, maintaining that high order in the courtroom, and my ethical responsibility to do that. I will tell you that six years ago, when I sat here, Chairman Rankin gave me a piece of advice, and he said, wear the robe lightly. And I took that to heart six years ago when he told me that. So I've tried to do better at

that, and I hope that's helped with my reputation amongst the lawyers. I will tell you that if I had to craft my own legacy when it's all over, that one of the comments that I received from the Bar interview that I took as one of the proudest things I'd ever heard was -- and it's not verbatim because they don't quote it verbatim -- but it was basically, he's ruled for me, he's ruled against me, but I never had to question whether he ruled fairly. And if I had to think of what you want a judge to have as a reputation, that one I embrace. So I hope that's generally my belief and understanding amongst the Bar.

Q. Judge Newton, the Commission received 322 ballot box surveys regarding you with 47 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. He is experienced, calm, courteous, and fair, dedicated, hardworking, and intelligent, always professional, excellent understanding of the rules and law applied in Family Court, keen on ensuring everyone gets a fair hearing. Nine of the written comments expressed concerns. The main topic of concern was regarding your judicial temperament. What response would you offer to

this concern?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Well, again, as we've talked about before, when Α. you're standing before a body such as this and you have no context for the comments, it's very difficult for me to put a point on how I would respond to that. It always is troubling. Ι always hope for the perfect score someday. Ι hope to come before this body without any negative comments being made. But I will tell you that I practice -- not practice -- but I serve in one of the busier counties in the state. I've done the math, and I will tell you that we average about 40 hearings a week. And if you take that and you multiply it by annually over the course of my time on the bench, I've probably had over 35,000 cases come before me with various litigants over my 12 years. I make that observation simply because I want to point out that I've always been very lucky. I've never had a litigant file an affidavit. I've not had any grievances. My appellate record is good. only had one unpublished reversal in my 12 years. So all the objective information would lend me to believe I was doing a pretty good job, and then I hear these comments, and it hurts. It's like,

1 okay, how did I not accomplish what I had set out 2 to do? But I come back to what Judge Joe 3 Anderson said one time when he was talking -- the 4 federal judge -- said one time when he was 5 talking to the Family Court bench at a CLE. He 6 said, I don't know how you guys do it. He said, 7 it's the most difficult job there is because at 8 the end of the day, even on your best day, 50 9 percent of the people are going to hate you, and 10 he made that observation, and I recognize that. 11 But what I've always hoped for is that they may 12 not agree with the result, but what I would hope 13 would be is that they felt that they were treated 14 fairly, that they were treated courteously, that 15 they were treated with respect, and that's what I 16 strive for. Could it be possible there have been 17 times when maybe I had fallen short on that? Τ 18 And if so, then I probably owe an don't know. 19 apology, but I will say that statistically it 20 does seem like I've improved since the last time 21 in that regard. So hopefully that's going to be 22 how it's perceived, and I just want to continue 23 to try and do that work. 24 I would note that the Midland Citizens Committee found Judge Newton to be qualified in 25

1 the evaluative criteria of constitutional 2 qualifications, physical health and mental 3 The Committee found him well stability. 4 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 5 fitness, professional and academic ability, 6 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 7 temperament. The Committee stated in summary, no 8 comment needed.

- 9 Q. I have just a few housekeeping issues.
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 14 A. No, ma'am.

15

16

- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 23 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
 members of the General Assembly on your behalf,

1 or are you aware of anyone attempting to 2 intervene in this process on your behalf? 3 Α. I have not, and I am not aware of any. 4 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 5 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)? 6 7 Α. I do. 8 TRASK: I would just note for the record that any MS. 9 concerns raised during the investigation 10 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 11 the questioning of the candidate today. And, Mr. 12 Chairman, I have no further questions. 13 Α. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Representative Caskey. 15 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good morning, Judge. 16 JUDGE NEWTON: Good morning. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Certainly, we welcome you here 18 and glad to see you. One of the things that we 19 have talked about with a number of candidates, 2.0 particularly at the Family Court bench in the 21 last several weeks, I suppose, is the challenge 22 with respect to making sure that each and every 23 case is treated with the respect and dignity that 24 it deserves and that we cannot discharge those 25 obligations simply by dent of the large caseload.

1	And yet we are confronted with the reality that
2	the General Assembly has failed to resource
3	particularly our Family Court system with the
4	judges and other assets needed to do the
5	administration of these cases fairly and
6	equitably. And I say all that to say that you
7	all in Lexington County, you, Judge Siegler,
8	Judge Crouch, have done a fantastic job at
9	managing just that arduous and perhaps impossible
10	task of trying to meet the challenges of a never
11	ending caseload and the responsibility to treat
12	each case, again, with the dignity and respect
13	that each deserves, and I want to applaud you for
14	that. I don't think it absolves us of our
15	responsibility here in the General Assembly to
16	make sure that we provide the resources
17	necessary, and I'm optimistic with the chief
18	justice's support we can get that done. But
19	that's our challenge, not yours. And I share all
20	that with you to say, on behalf of the Lexington
21	County Bar, thank you. Thank you for what you've
22	done. We know that when visiting judges come to
23	town, it is something they typically don't look
24	forward to because they're going to have to work
25	all day every day, all day Friday, and the cases

1 aren't going to be easy. And that's just a 2 function of statistics. 3 On my own behalf, but also on behalf of JUDGE NEWTON: 4 Judge Seigler and Judge Crouch, I thank you for 5 My standing line is we work every day to 6 put 500 gallons through a five gallon hose. 7 That's our day over there. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well, I appreciate it, Judge 9 And the last thing I'll say is I not Newton. 10 only get to hear from members of our Bar 11 informally, but in our ballot box process, 12 there's a number of comments that I think that 13 are worthy of highlighting, maybe not for you, 14 but for Caroline. So you know the reputation 15 you've earned in our Bar. Just a few. 16 Newton is a very smart judge. He knows the law 17 backwards and forwards and gives well-reasoned 18 decisions. He's fair and courteous to all who 19 appear before him. Judge Newton is the epitome 2.0 of fairness. He is sincerely concerned with 21 doing his best in every case, extremely 22 knowledgeable, works hard, excellent judge. 23 Judge Newton knows the laws and will run his 24 courtroom accordingly. He's fair, and lawyers 25 know where he's coming from when he rules. He's

fair and equitable and an excellent Family Court judge. And this goes on for some six pages. For self-evident reasons, we can't share all of these things, but I want you to know that you have earned an exemplary reputation because of your faithful service to our community, and I thank you for it, sir.

JUDGE NEWTON: Well, I appreciate that. That means the world to me.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. What impresses me now and impressed me last time is you were I know I spoke directly to here six years ago. you about some of these comments, and what I -was really, I guess, surprised in some respects because I don't see it all the time. You really were hurt, and it bothered you. And I think it wasn't said in malice. It was said in education, and I think you've basically taken that and tried to do the best with it. And I think -- here's the thing, when you read these comments, as Representative Caskey said, there's one continually ringing thought, fair. Nobody questions your fairness. Nobody questions your capability. Nobody questions your honesty or the

1 fact that you work towards the common goal of 2 getting this stuff done, okay. So you ought to 3 be very proud of that. 4 Thank you, sir. JUDGE NEWTON: 5 MR. SAFRAN: And I know -- look, I think basically, 6 you know, you and I are similarly, I quess, 7 somewhat contemporary. We came up in a time 8 where maybe the judges weren't quite as loving. 9 JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir. 10 MR. SAFRAN: And so, I mean, it's hard to walk away 11 from that, particularly if you respected them and 12 not want to take maybe something of their 13 repertoire with you, okay. And I can understand 14 how somebody would go in and say, look, I wanted 15 a judge to be fair, and it didn't bother me if 16 they were no nonsense. Well, that seems to be 17 what you've adopted. Keep in mind, you know, 18 it's like Rick Nelson said, you can't please 19 everyone, and I think, truthfully, you're never 20 going to. But what I think is the most important 21 is is at least they're walking out of that room, 22 even if they're shaking their head going, boy, he 23 was kind of short with me today -- they're 24 saying, you know what, but he still -- regardless

of that, he did the right thing.

1	JUDGE NEWTON: Thank you, sir.
2	MR. SAFRAN: And that's what you're there for.
3	Appreciate your continuing to offer to serve.
4	JUDGE NEWTON: Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom.
6	MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning,
7	Judge.
8	JUDGE NEWTON: Good morning.
9	MR. STROM: I just want to pile on to these comments.
10	Also, I remember six years ago we had these
11	conversations, and, you know, I don't appear in
12	front of you, but I know a lot of people who do.
13	And your reputation now is just outstanding. I
14	mean, you're consistent with your rulings.
15	You're on time. You're fair. You read what the
16	people present to you, and good lawyers really
17	enjoy being in front of you.
18	JUDGE NEWTON: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
19	MR. STROM: So, I don't think it was ever an issue of
20	any competence at all in the past. I just think
21	it was a little bit of old school versus new
22	school temperament. And you have adjusted that,
23	and you know, you're A+.
24	JUDGE NEWTON: Thank you, sir.
25	MR. STROM: Thank you.

1	JUDGE NEWTON: Thank you very much.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett.
3	SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you for re-up.
4	JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir.
5	SENATOR GARRETT: It's great. Listen, I'm new to
6	Lexington as a new senator there. I have about
7	35,000 people, so I wanted to find out about my
8	judges. I had already I knew Greg Siegler
9	well. Greg actually worked in my law office
10	before he came up.
11	JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir.
12	SENATOR GARRETT: So it was a pleasure working with
13	Greg, and he has nothing but the highest
14	compliments for you.
15	JUDGE NEWTON: Well, I appreciate that.
16	SENATOR GARRETT: He goes back again to your point,
17	friendly, fair, but firm. And you hit two points
18	a while ago, decorum and ethics. It is
19	imperative that our judges require lawyers to
20	have the decorum in the courtroom, to not use bad
21	words, to not lower the expectation of what our
22	courts are all about. That level of respect is
23	all of our responsibilities, both the bench and
24	the Bar, and it appears that you are the type of
25	judge who demands it, and I can only appreciate

1 that. JUDGE NEWTON: 2 Thank you very much. 3 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you. 4 JUDGE NEWTON: Thanks, Senator. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anyone else? I want to just ditto 6 effectively the theme of what each of these 7 gentlemen have said thus far, and particularly 8 with reference to your letters of reference. 9 Ethics, integrity, and compassion, and finally, 10 forgive me, Ms. Newton, but heart, because you 11 did get a cardiovascular surgeon to send a letter 12 on your behalf, so --13 And he's known me a long time, as you JUDGE NEWTON: 14 can see. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, you know, as the song goes, 16 you've got to have heart, so anyway. And that 17 figuratively and literally in the sense of what 18 you deal with in a courtroom --19 JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir. 2.0 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: -- with the tenderness of children 21 hanging in the balance and decisions that will 22 affect them as we have seen this go around and as 23 we see every year, someone who has been stung by 24 something that, rightly or wrongly, they believe 25 that the judge could have done differently. The

1 idea of you saying one day you're going to have 2 it without any negative comment, I'm suggesting 3 to you that you're right there. 4 JUDGE NEWTON: Well, thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so I want to salute you for 6 continuing this path and continuing to serve and 7 continuing to kind of wear it lightly. 8 JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so kudos to y'all both, because 10 it does take a team and a family to support each 11 other in that walk. 12 JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir, thank you very much. 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Unless -- is there's anything else? 14 Again, you understand, I did offer you -- if 15 you'd like to make any other closing remarks, we 16 will close it down with this caveat. And you 17 know, as having been previously screened before, 18 we keep the record open until the formal release 19 of the record of qualifications. Any violation 2.0 by you of the letter or spirit of the ethics laws 21 would be deemed to be serious by us, allowing us 22 to call you back should that occur, which we 23 don't expect, but you do understand that, 24 correct? 25 JUDGE NEWTON: Yes, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Y'all have a great trip
2	back not that far up the road, and God bless you.
3	Happy Thanksgiving.
4	JUDGE NEWTON: Thank you. Same to y'all. I
5	appreciate everybody's work. Thank you so much.
6	OFF THE RECORD
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning, Judge.
8	JUDGE RICHARDSON: Good morning. I'm a little
9	scratchy, so I've got some water, so I apologize.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. We'd offer you a scone,
11	but that would not help.
12	JUDGE RICHARDSON: That probably would not.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you will, raise your
14	right hand.
15	THE HONORABLE ALICIA ALLSBROOK RICHARDSON, being
16	duly sworn, testifies as follows:
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome back, Judge Alicia Allsbrook
18	Richardson.
19	JUDGE RICHARDSON: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have two sworn statements, a PDQ
21	and the sworn statement. Are those ready to be
22	entered into the record?
23	JUDGE RICHARDSON: They are ready.
24	(Exhibit Number 4 was marked for identification
25	purposes - (18 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
23 24	JUDGE RICHARDSON: They are ready. (Exhibit Number 4 was marked for identification

```
1
     The Honorable Alicia Allsbrook Richardson)
 2
     (Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification
 3
    purposes - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
 4
     Alicia Allsbrook Richardson.)
 5
     CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And you have someone
 6
          with you, a very young somebody with you. Do you
 7
          want to introduce your someone?
 8
     JUDGE RICHARDSON:
                        This is my husband, Charles.
 9
     CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Welcome back.
10
          see you.
                    And thank y'all for being early.
11
          Judge, as you know, in this vetting process, we
12
          look at the nine evaluative criteria, which
13
          includes a ballot box survey, a through study of
14
          your application materials, verification of your
15
          compliance with the state ethics law, a search of
16
          newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
17
          check for economic conflicts of interest and a
18
          study of previous screenings. No one has filed
19
          an affidavit of opposition to your reelection.
2.0
          You are unopposed. We have questions from Emma
21
          here, who you've met, and if you'd like at the
22
          end of this to make any closing statements for
23
          purposes of topics that we've not identified,
24
          you're welcome to do that.
25
     JUDGE RICHARDSON:
                        All right.
```

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And we will jump right now with Ms. Hall, and take it away, Ms. Hall. Welcome again. 2 JUDGE RICHARDSON: 3 Thank you. 4 MS. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 JUDGE RICHARDSON - EXAMINATION BY MS. HALL: 6 0. Good morning, Judge Richardson. It's good to see 7 you again. 8 Α. You too. 9 After serving for about a year on the Family Q. 10 Court, why do you want to continue serving as a 11 Family Court judge? 12 Α. I feel like I've learned so much this year and 13 I've grown so much. A lot of it was different 14 than what I expected, but I have really enjoyed 15 I've been in 12 counties already. it. 16 enjoyed traveling across the state. And I really 17 -- before I took this job, I knew the importance 18 of it, but being in court has really just made me 19 understand not just the honor that it is to be a 20 judge, but also the responsibility. 21 Thank you. Judge Richardson, what do you think Q. 22 your reputation is among attorneys that practice 23 before you? 24 Well, I think a lot don't really know yet, so Α. 25 sometimes I get a lot of settlements when I go to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

new areas, but I hope that they see that I'm patient and that I will work hard if they need extra time. I try to work that in. Sometimes people don't schedule enough time, but I try to make my schedule flexible because I think it's not just important for the attorneys, but it's important for the litigants to have some finality. So I try to work really hard. Staying on schedule is often a chore or something that I've had to learn, but I do try to work really hard, let everybody be completely heard before I make a decision.

The Commission received 264 ballot 0. Thank you. box surveys regarding you with 38 additional The ballot box survey, for example, comments. contained the following positive comments: Judge Richardson approaches her cases with common sense, intelligence, and a sense of humor, a breath of fresh air in South Carolina's judiciary. And Judge Richardson is a great She considers the facts before her. She iudge. is well versed in the rules and often cites them in her rulings, and she is forthright. I highly respect her. None of the comments had any concerns.

- 1 A. That's good news.
- 2 MS. HALL: On to the Citizens Committee. I would note
- 3 that the Pee Dee Citizens Committee found Judge
- 4 Richardson qualified in the criteria of
- 5 constitutional qualifications, physical health,
- 6 and mental stability, and well qualified in the
- 7 criteria of ethical fitness, professional and
- 8 academic ability, character, reputation,
- 9 experience, and judicial temperament.
- 10 Q. Lastly, just some housekeeping issues. Judge
- 11 Richardson, since submitting your letter of
- 12 intent, have you contacted any members of the
- 13 Commission about your candidacy?
- 14 A. No, I have not.
- 15 Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
- the limitations on contacting members of the
- 17 General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 18 | A. I am.
- 19 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
- 20 sought or received the pledge of any legislator,
- 21 either prior to this date or pending the outcome
- of your screening?
- 23 | A. No, I have not.
- 24 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
- 25 members of the General Assembly on your behalf,

1	or are you aware of anyone attempting to
2	intervene in this process on your behalf?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
5	Commission's guidelines on pledging and South
6	Carolina Code 2-19-70 subsection E?
7	A. I am.
8	MS. HALL: I would just note for the record that any
9	concerns raised during the investigation
10	regarding the candidate were incorporated into
11	the questioning of the candidate today. Mr.
12	Chairman, I have no further questions.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, Ms. Hall. Representative
14	Jordan.
15	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Judge, thank you for agreeing
16	to serve again. I look back, it feels like we
17	were just here not that long ago.
18	JUDGE RICHARDSON: I know, it seems like that.
19	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: But I want to compliment you.
20	When you ran initially, the concern was you had a
21	lot of Family Court experience, been in the
22	solicitor's office for an extended period of
23	time, but you have transitioned from what I've
24	read in the comments and what I've heard in our
25	circuit, marvelously. And so I want to

1 compliment you and encourage you to stay on the 2 right track. And again, thank you for agreeing 3 to serve again. 4 JUDGE RICHARDSON: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 6 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge, I know 7 it's only been going on a year, but you mentioned 8 there are things that you found unexpected. 9 me a sample of that. 10 JUDGE RICHARDSON: Well, first of all, the scheduling 11 has been a little unexpected, and some circuits 12 are busier than others. And so sometimes it's 13 I find it verv very hard to keep on track. 14 difficult to do a temporary hearing in 15 15 minutes, particularly when children are involved. 16 Although it is a temporary solution for those 17 parties, it does not feel that way. And I think 18 they deserve to have a judge who reads everything 19 that's presented and is not rushed. So normally 2.0 the schedule will kind of work itself out, but 21 that has been a particular challenge because I 22 know how important it is in the lives of those 23 litigants for at least, if things remain 24 contested, another year. I've also had some

circumstances that have been very surprising.

1 I'm not going to go into the facts of it to 2 protect the privacy of the people, but I had a 3 situation where it was a very emotional case. Ιt 4 was no one's fault. It was a medical error. And 5 I watched a litigant come in and ask to speak out 6 of turn, and everyone allowed her to do that. 7 And she changed everything and was completely 8 self sacrificing and just changed everybody's 9 demeanor, the attorney's and everyone. 10 instead of what was a head-to-head combat, it 11 became a cry fest. Everybody in the courtroom 12 was emotional. So sometimes I have learned a lot 13 just from the litigants themselves and how they 14 can come in and, despite the legal arguments, 15 just really get to the emotion and heart of the 16 matter, and that has been refreshing to see. 17 MR. SAFRAN: Well, I mean, what you just mentioned, I 18 mean, ultimately, isn't that where you want to 19 be? 20 JUDGE RICHARDSON: That is. 21 MR. SAFRAN: Let me ask you also, I don't think 22 anybody disputes that, whether we like it or not, 23 as a practical matter, that a temporary hearing 24 It basically sets more than sets the tone. 25 almost in stone what's going to happen at the end

1 of the case. And I think it's refreshing to hear 2 that you're concerned enough about what the 3 implications are at that stage to say we can't just look at the clock all the time. 4 5 commend that. And I really hope, because this is 6 just one of my pet issues, that you stay of that 7 mind because if we recognize just how important 8 it is, I think the time spent now is going to 9 really be far more valuable than what we may try 10 to do at a final hearing down the road. So I'm 11 happy to see that you've acknowledged it, and I 12 think your path is pretty clear here. 13 you'll be doing this for quite a long time. 14 JUDGE RICHARDSON: Well, thank you. I appreciate that. 15 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett. 17 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 JUDGE RICHARDSON - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT: 19 Q. Thank you for doing it again. If you could wave 20 your magic wand as it relates to these temporary 21 hearings, whatever, what do you think would be a 22 I mean, would 30 minutes be better system? 23 better, mandatory, especially if custody is 24 involved? 25 If custody is involved -- and I'm sure that Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clerks and administrative judges around the state would shake their head at me for this -- but I think if custody is involved, that 30 minutes would be more suitable, just so you can have time. And I like to ask some questions, too. There are some things that come to mind that the attorneys may not think about, particularly with work schedules that the parents have and other obligations like that. But I do think 30 minutes, if custody is contested, would be much Now, I don't know that they need to better. increase the number of pages, because with the eight page limit and then now 16 for a 30 minute, that's just scratching the surface. We usually get a stack of text messages, photos, and that sort of thing. And in that vein, I've been particularly concerned that people just put everything in the record at these temporaries, and I try to make a special effort to have the attorneys take some steps to protect the identity of particularly the children and any personal identifying information such as Social Security numbers, addresses, and that sort of thing that are included in the record for the public to view.

- Q. Well, thank you. The lawyers are trying to get everything they can out to you --
 - A. Right, quickly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- to help you make a decision. Some lawyers are Q. better at it than others. Some of them can get it down to the eight pages in 15 minutes, and some of them have difficulty doing that. And then sometimes, what I would do, is I would have the additional information there for you. would give you the synopsis and then have that stack available to you on the desk in case you wanted to ask a question about something in I found that helpful to some of the particular. judges in some of these disputes where they're limited to 15 minutes. But I agree that we need to try to do that. And if the lawyers -- and generally if you have good lawyers working together, they'll let you know when there's something going to be settled, and it's going to be a consent, and we can get in and get it done quickly. And then, you know, you can start shaving minutes off to be able to handle the So, you know, if you could figure later ones. out a way -- and this is my dream, -- is if you could go ahead -- you've got all your contested

1 DSS stuff coming in, hitting you with that, 2 emergencies, you've got to take care of that. Then you get to your docket. It would seem to me 3 4 that the better practice would be, if you could, 5 those lawyers who have settled cases present 6 those cases in settlement early on, and then you 7 have the rest of the afternoon maybe to work on 8 the more litigious, or give them 30 minutes a I don't know if that's something that you 9 10 could do as an administrative judge, or have you 11 got to that point yet where they've made you an 12 administrative judge? 13 Α. No, not yet, and not for at least another year. 14 And some areas do it differently. So some do 15 block scheduling where they'll do five 16 temporaries in an hour so that the ones that are 17 settled are handled first or handled by consent. 18 Right. 0. 19 And then some schedule them every 15 minutes. Α. 20 it just depends on the practice in the particular 21 circuit. And I have found that throughout the state every area does it a little different. 22 23 Well, I don't want to make you keep talking. Q.

Thank you, thank you for the job that

I'm sorry.

24

25

Α.

0.

I know.

Thank you.

1 you're doing. And I tell you what, if you can 2 keep up this, not having anybody complain about 3 you as a Family Court judge, that's tremendous, 4 so congratulations on that. 5 Α. Well, thank you. 6 0. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Other questions? Judge, I want to 8 just comment again, as Representative Jordan 9 said, it's not been that long since we saw you 10 here, and you continue to have great comments 11 attributed to you or about you anonymously, which 12 as Senator Garrett just said, that is a rare 13 Perhaps you're so new that they have 14 nothing but plaudits for you, and so keep it 15 coming, as was suggested earlier. I want to note 16 Dorn Smith, Morgan Martin, and Kathy Floyd and 17 their comments about your ethics, your integrity, 18 and your compassion. And I want to be reminded, 19 you were this deputy solicitor with Jimbo Richardson, correct? 20 21 JUDGE RICHARDSON: Correct. 22 If ever we have seen a better CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 23 evidence of frugality of our solicitor's office, 24 Kathy Floyd's letter on your behalf includes you 25 still on the letterhead as a deputy solicitor.

1	JUDGE RICHARDSON: Oh, goodness.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: They're watching their pennies in
3	Horry County, the 15th Judicial Circuit. So,
4	thank you. And unless there are any other
5	questions, this will close this portion of the
6	record. You know that it is not closed until the
7	final release of the record of qualifications,
8	and any violation by you or the appearance of
9	impropriety of the state ethics laws would be
10	very seriously considered by us, and you
11	understand that we could call you back in that
12	unlikely event, correct?
13	JUDGE RICHARDSON: Correct.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Godspeed to you on your
15	travels home, and your getting your voice back,
16	and happy Thanksgiving to you and your family.
17	JUDGE RICHARDSON: Thank you. You, too.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Take care.
19	(OFF THE RECORD)
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, Judge.
21	JUDGE McEACHIN: Glad to be here with y'all.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Raise your right hand, please.
23	THE HONORABLE FITZLEE H. McEACHIN, being duly
24	sworn, testifies as follows:
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your name and the way that you

1	pronounce your last name, please.
2	JUDGE MCEACHIN: It's FitzLee Howard McEachin.
3	JUDGE MCEACHIN: McEachin, not McEachin.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome back, Judge McEachin.
5	JUDGE MCEACHIN: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have two documents in your hand,
7	the PDQ and the sworn statement. Are those ready
8	to be introduced into the record?
9	JUDGE MCEACHIN: They are.
10	(Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification
11	purposes - (14 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
12	The Honorable FitzLee H. McEachin.)
13	(Exhibit Number 7 was marked for identification
14	purposes - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
15	FitzLee H. McEachin.)
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay, if you will hand those to
17	Lindi, we'll do that. Judge, thank you for being
18	here early. They're trying to suggest that I sit
19	up and do better and turn my volume down. Judge,
20	as you know, we look at the nine evaluative
21	criteria in considering your election or
22	candidacy for reelection. Those involved, the
23	ballot box survey, a thorough study of your
24	application materials, verification of compliance
25	with state ethics laws, a search of newspaper

1 articles in which your name appears, a study of 2 previous screenings and then a check for economic 3 conflicts of interest. No one has filed a 4 complaint in opposition to your campaign and 5 candidacy, and so we are going to turn it over to 6 Mr. Stimson for questions. Then members of the 7 Commission may have some questions, and if you'd 8 like to make a closing statement for any items 9 that we've not covered, you'll be welcome to do 10 that. 11 JUDGE MCEACHIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 12

MR. STIMSON: Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE MCEACHIN - EXAMINATION BY MR. STIMSON:

- Judge McEachin, after serving five years on the Q. Family Court, why do you want to continue serving as a Family Court judge?
- Α. The timing of that question is actually perfect. Yesterday, we had the statewide adoption day in South Carolina, and I was fortunate to be one of the judges who was handling adoption day. And I had 12 separate adoption hearings yesterday, and 18 children were adopted. And the sheer joy you saw in those families' faces and those children's faces is certainly something that has motivated me to do what I do. You know, I think that most

people understand that Family Court is generally not a happy place, but the ability to continue to positively affect children's lives in this state is certainly something that motivates me, and I hope to continue to do that.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge McEachin, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you as well as court personnel that work with you?
- A. Well, I certainly hope they think I'm fair and reasonable. I certainly allow everybody to put their particular positions up on their cases, and I take those into consideration when ultimately making my ruling that complies and conforms with the law.
- Q. Judge McEachin, the Commission received 410 ballot box surveys regarding you with 66 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: an excellent judge, hardworking, compassionate, honest and fair, works hard to assure that when people have their day in court, they get the best in professionalism and preparation from everyone involved, including himself. Judge McEachin is a mature beyond his

1 years as a Family Court judge. He has an 2 excellent temperament and exhibits a knowledge of 3 the law with a common sense approach, great 4 And Judge McEachin is smart, fair, and 5 treats everyone with respect. He is a great 6 There were no written comments that judge. 7 expressed concern. 8 MR. STIMSON: I would note that the Pee Dee Citizens 9 Committee found Judge McEachin qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 10 11 qualifications, physical health, and mental 12 stability. The Committee found Judge McEachin 13 well qualified in the evaluative criteria of 14 ethical fitness, professionalism, and academic 15 ability, character, reputation, experience and 16 judicial temperament. The Committee did not make 17 any written statements. 18 Just a few more housekeeping issues, Judge 0. 19 McEachin. Since submitting your letter of 20 intent, have you contacted any members of the 21 Commission about your candidacy? 22 I have not. Α. 23 Q. Are you familiar with South Carolina Code Section

2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting

members of the General Assembly regarding your

24

1 screening? 2 I am aware of that. Α. 3 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 4 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 5 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 6 of your screening? 7 Α. I have not. 8 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 9 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, 10 or are you aware of anyone attempting to 11 intervene in this process on your behalf? 12 Α. I have not. 13 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 14 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South 15 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)? 16 I am familiar with the code. Α. 17 MR. STIMSON: I would just note for the record that 18 any concerns raised during the investigation 19 regarding Judge McEachin were incorporated into 2.0 the questioning of him today. Mr. Chairman, I 21 have no further questions. 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Representative Jordan. 23 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 Judge, I've heard it pronounced McEachin more 25 than I've heard it pronounced McEachin, so I want

1 to make sure that it is the correct 2 pronunciation. 3 When I was at the Citadel, they told JUDGE MCEACHIN: 4 me I got it wrong. 5 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: That sounds about right. Τ 6 wasn't here when you initially screened, Judge, 7 but I can only guess that my good friend, 8 Representative Safran over here, gave you what 9 would have been the concern at the time, that 10 based on your age -- not that that isn't 11 something that can be overcome, but it is 12 something that needs to be addressed. You could 13 end up being a judge for 30-plus years based on 14 when you initially became a judge. I'm pleased 15 as I look through these comments. I think one of 16 them hit the nail on the head. It talks about. 17 initially, I was concerned due to his youth, but 18 you have exceeded expectations, more than 19 capable, competent Family Court judge. 2.0 continues to list some of the things that we've 21 heard that's already been said about you. 22 look back -- sometimes I think it's important to 23 go back and look back at what was the concern, if 24 there was any concerns, looking back at it, and 25 realize that you have addressed those issues with

1	
1	flying colors, so to speak. The other thing, and
2	I'll move on because I know we're we've got a
3	lot to do today. You're the second candidate
4	from Florence to come through who's gotten a
5	glowing recommendation from our Clerk of Court.
6	Am I the only lawyer who's deathly afraid of our
7	Clerk of Court in Florence?
8	JUDGE MCEACHIN: Certainly not, Representative Jordan,
9	certainly not.
10	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So I commend you on that, and
11	thank you again for serving, and I hope you'll
12	serve for years to come.
13	JUDGE MCEACHIN: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb.
15	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I, too, would
16	just lend my voice to let Judge McEachin know
17	that we're just extremely proud of the reputation
18	that he's garnering all around the state. Those
19	of us who knew him, know his dad, are not
20	surprised at all, but obviously it's a day-by-day
21	walk that we engage in, and so we just pray that
22	things will continue to blossom in the way that
23	it has and just keep up the good work.
24	JUDGE MCEACHIN: Thank you, Senator Sabb.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, other members? Senator

Garrett.

JUDGE MCEACHIN - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT:

- Q. I'm intrigued by your love of the adoption process. They tell me that's the funnest thing for a Family Court judge to do, especially when you're fighting all the fights that you have to referee, and it's an area that I'm very interested in. And so talking about adoptions for just a minute, do you have a vision of how you can make it better? If you were able to write the law -- I know you can't, we can't -- but if you could write the law to make it better, what would you do? What are your thoughts on that?
- A. Well, I separate into three types of adoptions.

 There are really two types, but I separate into three. You've got the familial adoptions, which is when you have a stepparent or another family member adopting a child, and that process in and of itself is streamlined a lot more than your other adoptions in that it doesn't require the background investigations, the pre placement, post placement investigation, the accountings, those things. They're all exempt by statute if the court finds it appropriate. So I don't think

that's what your question is. I think it's
relating to these other types of adoptions, which
are your foster care adoptions, which is what we
did yesterday, and then your other private
adoptions where your adopting parents who have no
relationship to the child. As far as
recommendations to speed up the process would be
my first recommendation, if possible. What we're
seeing and I will say, based on what I saw
yesterday, the time from adoptive placement to
final hearing, even from five years ago, is much,
much quicker. A lot of the adoptive placements I
dealt with yesterday, these children were placed
in July or August or September even, and I think
I did one last week where the placement was in
October for adoptive purposes, and ultimately we
were able to get those accomplished. Where you
do see a little bit of an issue with regard to
foster care cases is the time from initial
placement until the adoption hearing and adoptive
placement. In a lot of cases, the ones I heard -
- and it may be an issue with just getting the
right placement for these children, but you may
have a TPR order from 2021 or 2022, and the
adoption is not taking place until October of

1 2024. And I don't necessarily know that's 2 anything that can be corrected by statute or law. 3 That may be more of just finding the right 4 placement for these children because each child 5 is unique, and each child, especially the ones 6 that are coming through the foster care program, 7 have their own set of issues that -- you have to 8 find the appropriate placement for them. 9 will say that compared to what it was even five 10 years ago, the process is certainly, I feel, more 11 streamlined than it used to be.

Q. Having one judge handle one case, that's really helped, hasn't it?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. We weren't part of that pilot program in our circuit, so I'd be flying a little blind in telling you that it's been successful just because I haven't personally experienced that.
- Q. What I'm trying to do is, in thinking of these foster care cases, suppose you've got a termination of parental rights. You know, you've got to get that done before you can even talk about it, but suppose your family plan doesn't work. Instead of giving them two years or ten years to get straightened out, tighten it up, six months to a year, and that's it. And at the same

time, while you're doing that, let them know that we're going to have a family member, if there's a biological marriage or otherwise. That person is getting screened and getting ready to do the adoption. Certainly you'd want a family member to do it first, if at all possible. As you said a while ago, that makes it easier. Then the second person there may be a parent, a person who has become a parent just by association for a long time. You know what I'm talking about?

- 11 | A. Foster care.
 - Q. Yeah, so you've got that, and then you've got that, and then go ahead and have a third-party adoption, and all three going at the same time with the same guardian. And then that way, when you have your termination of parental rights hearing, at that hearing, you go ahead and adopt the child right there because you've got either the biological parent, either the psychological parent, or a third-party adoptive parent.
 - A. Senator, I will go back to the initial question you asked me about how to streamline the process, and by that question you've just asked me, it did make me think of something. The ICPC process, it delays these cases substantially longer than

1 anything else. And that involves multistate 2 stuff, and some states are very quick to get 3 their ICPCs back to South Carolina. Some states 4 take -- and even if we ask for expedited take --5 nine months to a year to get their ICPC compliance back to us. And these are family 6 members of these children who are willing to 7 8 adopt, who want to adopt, and because we've got 9 to wait on that ICPC compliance to come back 10 before we can even place the children with them, 11 that is certainly delaying these cases.

- Q. Okay, but that's federal, isn't it? Or is that the compact?
- A. Well, it's also contained within the state code.
- 15 Q. Okay, it's part of the compact?
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.

12

13

- Q. Or state compacts, okay. So maybe we can look at that. Well, listen, thank you for your time. I know this is a screening, but that's an important issue going forward. We're all working on adoptions to try to help that process. Thank you.
- 23 A. Thank you, Senator Garrett.
- 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Questions by anybody else? All right. Judge McEachin, I want to call attention

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to a couple of things. Your scouts, work with Boy Scouts. As an Eagle Scout, you are giving A wonderful letter about you from a member of your scout universe. And then to the letter, short and sweet but so powerful to me, from Ben Ziegler. And I cannot help but hearken back to Senator Sabb's comments about your father and about a legacy of law that you are pursuing and carrying forward and your ability to get it right So many folks come before this Commission early. in my time and in previous years that are new, that don't have perhaps much seasoning in the legal world, and this batch of candidates as But you obviously grew up in a family where you observed, you recorded, and you correlated how to be a lawyer's lawyer and now a judge's judge, and so I want to commend you for And apparently doing it with such ease that the world that can say things about you without attribution is no different than what folks are saying who have signed their names to these letters. So keep it up. God bless you. And if you need us, let us know. We have heard -- Representative Caskey has not asked you -- but, again, we understand that some judges have got

1 law clerks now, apparently five, in these high-2 trafficked areas court wise. Please reach out to 3 your representatives and senators to let them 4 know what needs you might have that your clerk is 5 not shouting from the mountaintop, apparently, 6 already. So, with that, Judge, anything further 7 from you? 8 JUDGE MCEACHIN: Certainly. I just want to thank the 9 Commission. I know that y'all have a ton of 10 candidates y'all have to screen. I certainly 11 appreciate the attention that you've given to me 12 and obviously wish you the best of luck in your 13 endeavors as well, and I certainly appreciate 14 it, Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Again, for the record, 16 you know that the formal record of qualifications 17 is the last word, and the record remains open for 18 instances of any violation by you of either the 19 letter or spirit of the state ethics laws, which we would deem very serious. You understand that 2.0 21 we could call you back, and as a result that this 22 record does remain open, correct? 23 JUDGE MCEACHIN: Yes, sir, I understand that. 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Godspeed, and happy 25 Thanksgiving to all you folks in the Pee Dee.

1	JUDGE MCEACHIN: Thank you, y'all, too.
2	(OFF THE RECORD)
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will, please raise your right
4	hand.
5	THE HONORABLE W. MARSH ROBERTSON, being duly
6	sworn, testifies as follows:
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You've got two statements that
8	you've signed. Are they ready to be entered into
9	the record?
10	JUDGE ROBERTSON: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, thank you. And we will
12	put those in the record. You have brought
13	someone with you. Would you like to introduce
14	your guest?
15	(Exhibit Number 8 was marked for identification
16	purposes - (15 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
17	The Honorable W. Marsh Robertson)
18	(Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification
19	purposes - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
20	W. Marsh Robertson.)
21	JUDGE ROBERTSON: This is my wife and best friend,
22	Pat.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Pat, welcome. Thank you for being
24	here and having such great smiles on your faces.
25	We're only two minutes late, which is remarkable,

1 and I'm going to make quick dispensation and work of my introduction, only to the point that you 2 3 understand our efforts here in your reelection, 4 our interview of you, in this process. 5 focuses on those nine evaluative criteria. 6 includes a ballot box survey, a thorough study of 7 your application materials, verification of your 8 compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 9 newspaper articles in which your name appears, a 10 study of previous screenings and a check for 11 economic conflicts of interest. There are no 12 objections to your campaign for re-election, no 13 one here to speak in opposition to you. 14 floor is now yours to make a brief statement. 15 Otherwise, we'll turn it over to Ms. Webb, and then at the end, if you'd like to offer any 16 closing comments, we'll extend that offer to you. 17 18 JUDGE ROBERTSON: Thank you. I'll be extremely brief, 19 but I would be remiss if I didn't take this 20 opportunity to say thank you to this Commission 21 and its extraordinary staff for the job, the 22 great work you do, for the judicial branch. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Ms. Webb. 24 JUDGE ROBERTSON - EXAMINATION BY MS. WEBB: 25 Good morning, Judge Robertson. Q.

A. Good morning, Ms. Webb.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Judge Robertson, after serving almost 15 years on the Family Court, why do you want to continue serving as a Family Court judge?
- Α. Well, thank you. I am in my 15th year, and I continue to enjoy the challenges and appreciate the rewards that come with this job every day, maybe more so now than ever. I feel like during the past 15 years I've learned something new every year. I feel like I've improved as a judge every year, and I'm continuing to learn and improve. And with that experience, I feel like I've got a lot to offer not only the public, as I've been doing for the past 14 and a half years, but also perhaps now as more one of the senior judges, my colleagues on the Family Court bench, by being perhaps a resource or a guide for them, particularly some of the newer elected judges. And so I'm looking forward and hope to have the opportunity to serve another term to that end. Thank you.
- Q. Thank you. And Judge Robertson, the Commission received 351 ballot box surveys regarding you with 35 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following

Six of the

Some of the

1 positive comments: One of the smartest judges on 2 the bench, great demeanor and judicial 3 temperament, thoughtful, diligent, and prepared, 4 highly competent and very experienced Family 5 Court judge who truly cares about the best interest of children and families and is the best 6 the judicial system has to offer. 7 8 written comments expressed concerns. 9 concerns expressed indicated that you are a 10 stickler in your courtroom on your rulings and 11 What response would you offer to this orders. 12 comment?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Yeah, we talked about that at my interview, and I Α. don't consider myself a stickler, and I'll get back to that. But if I am a stickler at all to some degree, it's that I'm a stickler for a good record. The record is a judge's best friend. is a lawyer's best friend. It is a litigant's best friend, and so I do make an effort during every hearing to ensure that we have a good record, not a perfect record. That's too much to ask, and that's not going to happen, but a good record, a record that would sustain review at the appellate level or other types of reviews. am very cognizant of the record, and some

1	attorneys may think too cognizant. Getting back,
2	I'll tell a little story. When I first became a
3	lawyer, I went into practice with my dad back in
4	the early 90s, and there was a judge on the
5	Greenville County Family Court bench named R.
6	Kinard Johnson, Jr., and he had a reputation as a
7	stickler, inflexible. You had to have everything
8	perfect. And so, like a lot of the young
9	attorneys, I was hesitant, perhaps even a little
10	afraid, to go into his courtroom when I first got
11	started. So what did I do? I prepared a little
12	harder. I worked a little harder before I went
13	into his courtroom. I dotted every I. I crossed
14	every T. And then when I went into the hearing,
15	what happened? I represented my client better,
16	and the result of all that was I became a better
17	lawyer. And Judge Johnson became my favorite
18	judge, much like a professor. He was the hardest
19	professor you ever had, but you learned the most
20	from him, or her, and I ended up succeeding Judge
21	Johnson on seat two of the Thirteenth Circuit
22	Family Court. But there's one difference between
23	me and Judge Johnson and a lot of people
24	compare us, and I take that as a high compliment
25	but if you went into Judge Johnson's courtroom

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and you didn't have it all right procedurally, you were probably going to leave that courtroom with a continuance, maybe even a dismissal. don't do that. Unless I absolutely have to continue a case, I'm looking for ways not to continue that case, and what that means is that I'm looking for ways within the rules to fix it, to fix the record, so that those litigants who came to the courtroom -- maybe with an attorney that didn't do it right, but coming into the courtroom looking for some closure -- if it's a final hearing perhaps, or resolution if it's a temporary hearing, I want to make sure those litigants don't have to be hanging out in the wind for another month or two waiting for their rescheduled hearing because of a continuance. And generally I find that I'm able to do that if I'm smart enough and creative enough to look for a good way to do it.

- Q. Thank you. And Judge Robertson, another concern indicated that you can sometimes be irritable while on the bench. What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. Yeah. And when I met with you and with the South Carolina Bar a week or two or three before that,

1	that came up. And I will say that if the
2	question is have I ever been irritable in the
3	courtroom, the answer to that is yes, I have.
4	And I think most Family Court judges, if being
5	honest, would say that they have, but I don't
6	think there's likely to be a more self aware
7	Family Court judge on the bench. When I call a
8	case into the courtroom, I start multitasking,
9	and that means that I'm looking and seeing and
10	observing. I am hearing and listening. I am
11	starting to make some judgments, make up my mind
12	about the issues before the Court, but I'm also
13	doing something else. I am judging myself and
14	the perception that I'm projecting to those in
15	the courtroom, particularly the litigants and the
16	lawyers. And occasionally I do catch myself when
17	I'm starting to perhaps show a little
18	frustration. I'm not raising my voice. I'm not
19	embarrassing anybody, certainly not
20	intentionally, but I catch myself. Maybe I'm
21	being a little rushed, maybe a little hurried.
22	And when I catch myself, I change. I dial it in,
23	and I fix it. I become extremely courteous and
24	extremely patient and extremely attentive. If I
25	don't catch myself until after the hearing, I

1 feel bad about it, and I commit to do better, but 2 these are rare instances, and I think that the 3 comments that you began that question with about 4 excellent temperament and demeanor, hopefully 5 those are the more accurate ones for me on the 6 regular. 7 Q. Thank you, Judge Robertson. 8 MS. WEBB: I would note that the Upstate Citizens 9 Committee found Judge Robertson well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 10

- Committee found Judge Robertson well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament, and qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. The Committee had no related comments.
- Q. Now, Judge Robertson, just for a few housekeeping issues. Judge Robertson, since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- A. I have not.
- Q. Okay. And are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 25 A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 All right. And since submitting your letter of Q. 2 intent, have you saw or received the pledge of 3 any legislator either prior to this date or 4 pending the outcome of your screening? 5 Α. I have not. 6 And have you asked any third parties to contact 0. 7 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, 8 or are you aware of anyone attempting to 9 intervene in this process on your behalf? 10 Not at all. Α. 11 Thank you. And have you reviewed and do you 0. 12 understand the Commission's guidelines on 13 pledging in South Carolina Code Section 14 2-19-70(E)? 15 Α. Yes. 16 0. Thank you. 17 I would just note for the record that any 18 concerns raised during the investigation 19 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 2.0 the questioning of the candidate today. And, Mr. 21 Chairman, I have no further questions. 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you. Ouestion? 23 Senator Garrett. Let me go to Mr. Sabb first.

Thank you.

No, you go ahead. You can go first.

24

25

MR. SABB:

SENATOR GARRETT:

1	SENATOR GARRETT: Judge Robertson, it's good to see
2	you.
3	SENATOR GARRETT: Good to see you, Senator Garrett.
4	It's always a pleasure to have you come to
5	Greenwood. I can tell you our Bar doesn't think
6	that you're ill tempered. Exactly, we actually
7	like when you come to you're always even
8	keeled, and you're very helpful to the lawyers.
9	JUDGE ROBERTSON: Thank you. Greenwood may be my
10	favorite assignment. I've shared that with
11	others.
12	SENATOR GARRETT: Well, I hope so. We have a great
13	Bar, and we work together, and when we have good
14	judges Mr. Kinard Johnson came before you, and
15	he was tough, but, again, I didn't have a problem
16	with that because I trained under tough judges.
17	So I'm with you. I think there's a lot to be
18	learned by judges who are friendly, fair, yet
19	firm.
20	JUDGE ROBERTSON: And that's exactly what I try to be.
21	That's well put.
22	SENATOR GARRETT And you've done that, and thank you
23	for deciding to go ahead and do that. You'll be a
24	great mentor to some of these younger judges.
25	They're blessed to have you as a mentor to them,

and thank you for agreeing to reserve.

JUDGE ROBERTSON: Thank you very much for those kind words, Senator.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

JUDGE ROBERTSON - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

Q. I agree. I mean, I think that what the overriding theme is of these comments is you know what you're doing. You've been experienced enough to kind of gain a bank of knowledge that most people don't possess, and I think we're fortunate to have somebody like you. The other thing that I saw in some of the comments is this. I mean, you pointed out some of the judges you went in front of coming up were not exactly cream puffs. And I think that while it's incumbent upon all the judges to make a real effort to try to, as you say, kind of dial it in or dial it back, it just doesn't always happen. we're all human, and I think what sometimes people fail to appreciate is that while we're here trying to screen judges and provide competent and good judges, we're not providing perfect. Everybody has days, okay, and so I don't think anybody should hold that against you

The

1 because, you know what, everybody's going to have 2 a moment, okay. It just happens. The other 3 thing that I saw was kind of odd is this. 4 talked about it in terms of the record, but, you 5 know, it's your order. If you're not happy with 6 what's in the order, you have every right to 7 change it. I think somebody was bemoaning the 8 fact that you would take your pen out and make 9 I know if I was in your place, I some changes. 10 would be doing it routinely. And the reason is -11 - and I'm sure you've seen it -- what has surprised me over the course of time is the older 12 13 we get, I think people -- I notice that people 14 just don't want to spend time on an order. 15 don't realize the impact that it has in the 16 litigation and how important it is to get it 17 And so I wouldn't in any way be ashamed 18 or embarrassed about fixing the order because 19 you're the one putting your name on it, aren't 20 you? 21 Α. That's exactly right. When I heard that comment at the interview, I considered that a compliment, 22 23 not a criticism because it shows that I take time 24 to review the order, which I think is important

to do because it is my name at the bottom.

1 lawyer who writes the order is not writing the 2 order as the lawyer. They are putting words in 3 They are my ghostwriter, and if my mouth. 4 they're putting the wrong words in my mouth, then 5 I think I reserve the right to fix it and to have 6 it come out the way I want, and if that means 7 taking a pen to paper, as that commentator said 8 and making a handwritten ad initially, then 9 that's what it means. That lawyer, I think, 10 said, I doubt Judge Robertson has ever received 11 an order that he didn't take pen to paper on. 12 Well, that may be true for that lawyer. I let a 13 I could be a lot more of a lot of things go. 14 stickler with orders than I am. I think when I 15 first started I was more of a stickler than I am 16 now. But I do believe that the order should be something that I'm comfortable signing my name 17 18 to. 19

Q. Do you find -- because you've been doing this now for a while, I mean, do you find that really putting the time into an order is kind of an underappreciated element from the lawyers these days?

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes. I actually, several years ago, wrote an article for a presentation, but I use it all the

1 time, and I talk about it a lot -- I send it out 2 to lawyers if they want it -- but the title of 3 the article is, "Helping You Help Me, Ten Tips 4 for Writing a Better Order". And it's just got 5 some practical pointers on what I like to see. 6 Well, you know, I mean, I think we all have our 0. 7 ways about it, but I made a conscious decision a 8 long time ago that said, you know, if I'm the one 9 writing the order, I may end up not prevailing on 10 the case for a lot of reasons, but it ain't 11 because of a crappy order, you know. And I mean, 12 that oftentimes is the fatal flaw in those 13 things, is that they don't do what they need to 14 do. 15 And a lot of the reason for that, I think, is Α. 16 that lawyers wait -- for some reason, they 17 procrastinate on preparing the order. 18 practiced, I wrote the order the day of the 19 If that meant going back at a 4:45 20 hearing that ended at 5:00, going back to the 21 office away from my house and writing that order, 22 that's what it meant. But I didn't trust myself 23 to remember it, so I wrote it as fast as I could. 24 Well, I'll tell you this. I plead guilty when it Q.

comes to delaying doing orders, primarily because

1 if you're by yourself and you have an ongoing 2 practice, I have to set aside a lot of time to do 3 And unfortunately, it's usually Sunday 4 afternoon, and usually during the week there's 5 not time to really sit down and get them done. 6 But I think yours is the better way, and maybe by 7 the time I retire in 20 years, God willing, I'll 8 get there.

- A. But I hear what you're saying. A lot of lawyers do phone it in with the order, and it's because I think a lot of them become sort of dependent on the forms. They just go find a form, and then they change the caption and try to customize it a little bit. So tip number one, I think, of my Helping You Help Me article is don't be overly dependent on forms. We all use them. When I write my own orders, I start with an order I've done in the past.
- 19 | Q. Sure.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 A. But I'm a big fan of true customization to make it right.
- Q. Well, isn't your doing that exactly what you said before, that you're effectively trying to make lawyers better lawyers?
- 25 A. Exactly, yes, and I don't think there's anything

1 wrong with that.

- Q. One last thing has nothing to do with this. I saw your son works for the Orioles.
- A. He does.

2.0

- Q. Yeah. How did that happen?
 - A. Well, he -- and I think six years ago, Chairman Smith at the time asked about that too. He played college baseball at Davidson and had a really big senior year and ended up that their team did really well and almost made it to Omaha. They were super regionals as a tiny school.
- Q. Oh, yeah.
 - A. They went into their conference tournament as a sixth seed, and then they just started winning. And Will had had a really big individual year. He had a bunch of home runs and made some All-American teams. And because of the visibility of the post season, he got drafted by the Orioles, and he played three years in their minor league system, and when he saw that as an analyst -- which is what he is by nature anyway, what he was hired to do before he got drafted -- he analyzed himself and decided it was time to transition. So he met with some of the executives with the Orioles and said he would be

1 interested in a front office position, and he 2 earned one. And he's in their front office now. 3 Well, I'm sure coming from Davidson, he was well Q. 4 prepared. 5 Α. Absolutely. 6 Well, thank you for offering again. Q. 7 Α. Thank you, I appreciate it. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Blackley. 9 MS. BLACKLEY: Judge, I don't know if you remember, 10 but I used to love it when you would come to 11 Spartanburg. I was a former clerk there, but I 12 see you have some great letters, one from someone 13 I think very highly of, Bryce Garrett. 14 JUDGE ROBERTSON: Yes, oh, yes. I think very highly 15 of Bryce Garrett as well. 16 MS. BLACKLEY: And Max. 17 JUDGE ROBERTSON: Right. MS. BLACKLEY: 18 And you had a plethora of just 19 wonderful reference letters --2.0 JUDGE ROBERTSON: And Jim Thompson from Spartanburg, I 21 believe, was one of them, yeah. 22 MS. BLACKLEY: And Jim Thompson, yes. Just wanted to 23 say I think there was nothing better than when 24 you had staff who looked forward to a visiting 25 judge who we knew was going to get things done.

1 I'm a stickler for the rules and let's get things 2 done and let's get them right, and for you being 3 that, especially with your orders, made 4 administrative staff life a lot easier to get 5 things moving, and I wanted to have it on the 6 record that that is appreciated. Although, I 7 mean, some of these comments may reflect 8 differently, but I know what your work is. 9 never know who's watching, and you may not even 10 know them. We don't really know each other, but 11 I was a fan then and still the fan of yours and 12 your work. So just keep doing the peoples' 13 business for families in South Carolina and 14 Upstate, and you are appreciated. 15 JUDGE ROBERTSON: Thank you. Thank you for those kind 16 words, and it's good to see you again. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 17 Anyone else? 18 JUDGE ROBERTSON - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 19 Q. Judge, I checked out your undergraduate degree, 20 and that was from W&L, correct? 21 Α. Yes. Surprisingly, it was not in English, but it was 22 Q. 23 history, but to the folks who comment on your being a stickler for detail and putting pen to 24 25 paper, they would have thought you would have

been an English major, I assume.

A. No doubt about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In that vein, one of these comments in terms of Q. the world's view of you, lest there be any question, the overwhelming, overwhelming comments are nothing but favorable, positive. And in that vein, one says the most technical judge, and this is not just about the formula for figuring out child support, but it is about words mattering and them being properly spelled, proper pronunciation, proper grammar, I guess, not just the theme of the order but the black letter law. You've said the record is important, making the record, and I applaud you for being a stickler for the little things that do count overwhelmingly when someone's reading it to see what did they mean, what did this mean. the degree that this becomes -- and this is not in our exchange with you, Judge -- but kind of the shelf life of a Family Court judge in terms of your ability to continue to do it and not be beat down, worn out by the humdrum of what, in my world, I called fighting over the eight track tapes, the spoons from the trips that they went around the country. I don't know what people

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fight over now that is equal of those, but how do you bring a fresh approach to this and not get kind of worn out?

- I think it has to do with passion. If you're Α. passionate about something, then that part of it comes easy, whether it be a sport or a profession or anything else. And I am passionate about This is what I did for 22 years, I think, before I became a Family Court judge 14 years It's what I know, it's what I love, and ago. that helps. Also, I feel like I've got -- and I think Family Court judges as a whole probably have this more than the general population -- is ability at the end of the day to clean the slate and start over the next day and not carry it home with you. I think a lot of people I've talked to that are lawyers but have no interest in being a Family Court judge say, I don't see how you do what you would do. I would not be able to sleep at night with some of the things you all hear. I'm pretty good at putting it behind me and going home and starting fresh at home and then going to work fresh the next day.
- Q. Very good. Well, I commend you on your passion and your willingness to keep doing it and

1 bringing both a technical technician's eye, a 2 sharp eye, but also not wearing the robe too heavily and recognizing, again -- both as a 3 4 mentor and a teacher but also conveying to folks 5 in the courtroom -- they're getting a fair shake 6 and they're being heard. And for my ears, my 7 eyes and this record before me, I think you're 8 doing a superb job at that. 9 Thank you very much, Senator. Much appreciated. Α. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Unless there are further comments, 10 11 Judge, this will conclude this portion of the 12 screening process. As you know, the record is 13 not final until the formal release of the record 14 of qualifications. We abide by both the spirit 15 of and the letter of the ethics law and expect 16 our judicial candidates to do the same. 17 appearance of impropriety or violation by you, 18 you understand, we would call you back for questioning in that unlikely event. 19 20 JUDGE ROBERTSON: As it should be. 21 Very well. Thank y'all, and safe CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 22 travels back to the Upstate, and Godspeed for a 23 wonderful Thanksqiving. 24 JUDGE ROBERTSON: Thank you all. And I really 25 appreciate all of you.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: On motion of Mr. Strom, seconded by
2	Ms. Blackley, we are going to go into Executive
3	Session for a brief moment.
4	EXECUTIVE SESSION
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, we are back on the
6	record, and for the record, during Executive
7	Session, no votes were taken, no decisions were
8	made. We will now proceed to the next candidate.
9	Sir, raise your right hand if you will.
10	JONATHAN D. HAMMOND, being duly sworn, testifies
11	as follows:
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your name for the record.
13	MR. HAMMOND: Jonathan Drew Hammond.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well, sir. You have two
15	documents that you have prepared, the PDQ and the
16	sworn statement. Are they ready to be put into
17	the record?
18	MR. HAMMOND: Yes, sir, they are.
19	(Exhibit Number 10 was marked for identification
20	purposes - (15 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
21	Jonathan D. Hammond.)
22	(Exhibit Number 11 was marked for identification
23	purposes - (7 pages) Jonathan D. Hammond.)
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. This is your first time
25	screening, I believe. Is that correct?

1	MR. HAMMOND: It is.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome.
3	MR. HAMMOND: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You know our process here by which
5	we vet your candidacy. We focus on the nine
6	evaluative criteria, which includes a ballot box
7	survey, a thorough study of your application
8	materials, verification of your compliance with
9	the state ethics laws, a search of newspaper
10	articles in which your name appears, a check for
11	economic conflicts of interest. And no
12	affidavits or objections have been filed in your
13	candidacy. We are going to open it up with
14	questions by Breeden John, who you've met.
15	MR. HAMMOND: Yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And then we will entertain questions
17	by members of the Commission. And following
18	that, if you have any closing remarks you'd like
19	to make, I'll extend that over to you.
20	MR. HAMMOND: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome.
22	MR. HAMMOND: Thank you.
23	MR. HAMMOND - EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHN:
24	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hammond.
25	A. Good morning, Mr. Reed.

- CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Real quick, did you bring anyone
 with you? I apologize.

 MR. HAMMOND: I did, thank you. My wife, Amanda, is
- 4 with me. Thank you for recognizing her.
- 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. She's hiding behind you, 6 so come on up. Let everybody see you.
- 7 MR. HAMMOND: She never stands behind me. I always like her to be beside me.
- 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, very good. Welcome, both of y'all.
- 11 MR. HAMMOND: Thank you.
- 12 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Now, Mr. John.
- Q. Could you please state for the record the city and circuit in which you reside?
 - A. I live in the County of Greenville, City of Greenville. It's the 13th Judicial Circuit.
- 17 Q. Thank you.

- MR. JOHN: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that
 based on the testimony contained in the
 candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the
 record with the candidate's consent, Mr. Hammond
 meets the statutory requirements for this
 position regarding age, residence, and years of
 practice.
- 25 Q. Mr. Hammond, why do you want to serve as a Family

24

25

Court judge, and why do you feel that your professional and legal experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?

- I want to serve as a Family Court Α. Thank you. judge because this is where my professional and my personal experiences have brought me. believe serving as a Family Court judge is where I am supposed to be. I've been practicing or been licensed to practice for 23 years now. My career did not start in the Family Court. started in a much different track, but I wound up in Family Court as an associate at a larger firm and found myself drawn to Family Court in a way that I could not describe and certainly wouldn't have predicted. I have the passion, I have the desire, and I believe I have the experience to serve as a Family Court judge. I believe my experience, my professionalism, my qualities as a person and as a practitioner will serve the community, will serve the people that appear before the Family Court, and serve South Carolina.
- Q. Could you please briefly describe your experience in handling complex and contested Family Court matters and specifically discuss your experience

with financial aspects of Family Court work?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Absolutely. There are many cases that I touch Α. involving complex issues. I've tried and handled cases involving intricate legal issues regarding foster care, children in custody, children in DSS custody, complex adoptions, but in cases particularly involving division of assets. Ι have experience trying those cases, mediating those cases, and so what I know about those cases is they require attention to detail, they require diligence, but they also require just understanding and compassion for the sensitivity The people who are involved in those cases, the important issues to them are the most important issues to them, and so I approach each and every case, whether it is a large asset case or a small asset case or no asset case, with equal attention to resolving it and resolving it correctly and resolving it hopefully for the well being of the person involved and people involved.
- Q. Are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation, and if so, how would you go about handling that?
- A. I don't believe so. I have -- and in my application I noted, I have what I would consider

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

limited experience with juvenile justice cases, which is to say I have been involved in those cases mostly indirectly as a county attorney for DSS and as attorney for the guardian ad litem office, and abuse neglect cases. Those cases will have some overlap and overlay from time to time. So I certainly have exposure to it and some experience with it. So my lead up, my curve, if you will, for that type of work would be, I think, fairly short and not steep.

The Commission received 204 ballot box surveys 0. regarding you, 24 additional comments. The majority of these were positive, noting that you were knowledgeable and experienced in all areas of Family Court, always prepared, and would make an excellent Family Court judge. A few comments expressed concerns regarding your time management and responsiveness, and I guess I'll do these kind of together. The Citizens Committee --Upstate Citizens Committee also found you well qualified, but included the following comment that I'll read to you and then ask for your Interviews revealed that those who response. work with him say he can be difficult to reach. The candidate did explain this to the Committee

that he is responsible for court appearances in
several counties each week. This is some
explanation for our concerns. However, this
Committee is more concerned that the candidate
chose not to appear for his in person interview,
and instead notified us by text that he would

call in for the interview.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Absolutely. As to the responsiveness, it is Α. accurate that I appear in court very frequently. I represent the quardian's office in three different counties in the Upstate, and I serve as a private quardian ad litem. So the likelihood of me being in court or visiting with a child at a school or meeting with a child at another is pretty high. And so my responsiveness is not because I am not trying to get in touch with anyone, and everyone who needs to reach me. because I am working and trying to move cases forward and advocating for either my ward or for my client or just appearing in court for my client. As to the appearance at the Citizens Committee, that scheduling, that occurred when I was scheduled to actually appear for the abuse, neglect, DSS docket in an Upstate county. When it was scheduled, I did try to make some

arrangements to get coverage, but unfortunately I was not able to get coverage for that particular docket. So I did request to appear virtually. It certainly was no disrespect to the Committee or to the process. I take this process very seriously, and certainly would not oppose appearing in front of any person or any body that wanted to speak with me about this.

- Q. Thank you. And as noted, the Citizens Committee did find you qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental stability, and well qualified in the academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. Let's see. Mr. Hammond, you've been involved in two lawsuits as a named party. One was a foreclosure action from 2012. Could you explain that to the Commission, please?
- A. Yes, sir. That case occurred as part of a dissolution of a previous marriage, and my now ex-wife and I dealt with that. That case was resolved, and my name was in that case not as a payor on the mortgage, but as an equitable defendant. It was resolved by judgment. It was discharged appropriately.

1	Q. Very good. And then a second foreclosure from
2	February of this year. Could you explain the
3	nature of that, please?
4	A. Yes, sir. That was a matterI was a
5	beneficiary of a deceased relative, and the
6	property had gone into foreclosure. So myself
7	and my brother, who was also a beneficiary, were
8	named as, again, defendants in an equitable
9	fashion.
10	Q. Right, right. Okay. Thank you.
11	MR. JOHN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to request that we
12	go into Executive Session to handle a
13	confidential matter.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Seconded. All right.
15	All in favor, say aye.
16	(Ayes are heard.)
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We will go in Executive Session.
18	Mr. Hammond, stick with us.
19	EXECUTIVE SESSION
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, we are back on the
21	record, and for the record, during Executive
22	Session, no votes were taken, no decisions were
23	made. We will proceed now to further questions.
24	Mr. John.
25	MR. JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

- Q. Mr. Hammond, I just have a few housekeeping issues as we wrap up. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 6 A. Yes, sir, I am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, I am.
- 15 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 19 A. I have not.
- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
 members of the General Assembly on your behalf or
 aware of anyone doing so?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South

1		Carolina Code 2-19-70(E)?
2	Α.	Yes, sir, I have.
3	Q.	Thank you.
4	MR.	JOHN: I would just note for the record that any
5		concerns raised during the investigation
6		regarding the candidate were incorporated into
7		the questioning of the candidate today, and I
8		have no further questions.
9	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. John. Members of the
10		Commission, questions? Representative Jordan.
11	REPR	ESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
12	MR. HAMMO	ND - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN:
13	Q.	Mr. Hammond, you've been a sole practitioner,
14		solo practitioner, for the last six years, is
15		that right?
16	Α.	That's correct.
17	Q.	I want to go back a little bit and just as I
18		look back at your PDQ, it looks like you clerked
19		in federal court initially?
20	Α.	Yes, sir.
21	Q.	And then there seemed to be several stops, one,
22		two, three, four, five, six. Kind of walk me
23		through, was that just finding your footing or
24		was that looking for I guess, as you come here
25		today, your passion seems to be Family Court.

Tell me sort of the path that led you to your sole practitioner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. As I mentioned, when I Α. Absolutely. started law school and started practicing, I had a belief that I was supposed to go work at a big law firm and have a big office and work on highend litigation. And so I started in federal district court as a law clerk and progressed to what I would call larger law firms for South Carolina, worked on the business litigation teams or the bigger defense litigation teams. And in that market, when you get a few years' experience as an associate, you get recruited to go to other And so there was some bounce around, I firms. guess, from that perspective, trying to find what is the practice area that best fits my personality and my skill set and my passion. turns out that at the time I was an associate in one of those law firms. The Office of Indigent Defense didn't operate what's the 608 program now in DSS abuse and neglect cases. Those cases went down the county register, and the senior shareholders of these law firms would pass them to the associates. It trickled downhill. was handed a few of those, and I liked them.

5

6

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

23

24

25

That sounds a little bit sadistic, but I enjoyed 2 being in Family Court in a way that I had not 3 experienced before. I felt my skills being used 4 in a way that I could not describe, and I felt energized and passionate about it. It was a very serious and very difficult set of circumstances 7 to be handling, but I just took to it. I took to 8 it in a way that that's where I landed and that's where I wanted to be. So it was a roundabout way 10 to get where I was supposed to be as a 11 practitioner professionally, and it suits me 12 personally as well. I have a passion for helping 13 people.

- So based on that answer, I see kind of in the Q. middle of a few of those stops for a large insurance company, I'm assuming you saw or decided you didn't want to be in the corporate industry as well as part of that, figuring out what I like and what I don't like. Is that fair?
- That's correct. That's fair. Α.
- 21 And then on to, I think, immediately prior to Q. 22 your own firm, DSS, is that correct?
 - That's correct, sir. I was a county attorney for Α. DSS and the regional manager, regional director of DSS in the Upstate, I guess saw some things in

Ι

1 me that he liked and recruited me or asked me to 2 seek out a position as a county director. So 3 that's how I wound up as a DSS county director. 4 Thanks, sir. 0. 5 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank 6 you, Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 8 MR. HAMMOND - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 9 0. Just to follow up, because I think Representative 10 Jordan got pretty much to where I wanted to go. 11 I mean, obviously you had good marks in school. 12 That's how you got the clerkship. That's how 13 these big firms look for you, and that's why you 14 got in there in the first place. And it doesn't 15 happen often, but, I mean, I see you get in that 16 environment and you don't necessarily feel like 17 it's a great fit. And I think you gave yourself 18 several opportunities to try. I think at this 19 point, you know, as far as your scope of 20 practice, there are some areas of Family Court 21 that you really haven't been extremely exposed to up to this time, right? 22 23 Α. I think I've been exposed to almost all of the 24 areas other than juvenile justice directly. 25 haven't handled a lot of high-end asset cases.

have been involved in some, but I'm not the
practitioner that is typically going to get those
cases on a regular basis. I will get them
through a referral, through a family acquaintance
or a friend, as opposed to perhaps some of the
firms that are more known for handling those
kinds of cases.

But I think from a standpoint of at least your

- Q. But I think from a standpoint of at least your intellectual background, those are things you think you can handle?
- A. Absolutely, yes, sir.

- Q. Tell me this. I mean, why now as opposed to necessarily -- because it sounds like you've been very passionate about what you've been doing. I mean, and I understand you can say I could maybe do more for more people, but it seems like you've really enjoyed what you're doing up to now. And so you're kind of embarking on maybe a bit of an unknown adventure. So kind of what's been the motivation?
- A. Well, absolutely correct. And I enjoy what I do very much, and if I didn't, I would probably find something else to do. So my passion is here.

 But this is a point in my life and my career where I feel like this is the appropriate step

for me to take. I've been thinking about this for a few years, but it just wasn't the right time. I was enjoying my practice enough. My children were younger, and so I like my children, I like my wife, and I enjoy spending time with them, but we are at a point, my family is at a point, where this is a step that just seems like the right fit for me. And I believe I've got the experience to do this.

- Q. I think my demeanor has been shaped by my professional experiences and my personal experiences. I think this is a calling for me to serve in this way.
- Q. Last thing, and I touch on this with several people. I get the sense that not everybody likes it, but they have to deal with kind of the mentality in Family Court where everybody seems to be looking at the clock and making sure, okay, we only got 15 minutes. But I'm sure you've run into a number of situations where 15 minutes just doesn't do justice to why you're there. I mean, is that a fair statement?
- A. I think it's very difficult to digest the complexities of any number of cases in 15 minutes.

- 1 Q. Well, and I understand that if successful, you 2 would just be one person trying to do the best they can, But what I've found is is there's at 3 4 least a decent segment of judges who oftentimes 5 are ranked as the highest caliber that really say 6 the clock's just not the issue with me, that I've 7 got to do what I've got to do. And that, yes, 8 sometimes it backs up in the hall, and sometimes 9 I might have these folks go wait, and they'll 10 come back later, but we're going to do justice to 11 what the circumstances may be. I mean, is that 12 kind of going to be your philosophy? 13 Well, I think any person who's been a litigant in Α. 14 Family Court is deserving of all the attention of 15 the court. And so a clock is obviously part of 16 the process of being a professional and managing 17 your time, and a judge has to manage many things, 18 including the clock. However, getting the right 19 answer and serving whatever the issue is 20 correctly in accordance with the law in an 21 equitable way, that's the goal. And that goal, I 22 think, has to be shaped by time, but not just
 - Q. And let me just -- in that same vein, obviously people hire you for a service, and they also

shaped by time.

23

24

1 expect you to bring to bear the skills you've 2 developed over the course of years of going into 3 the Family Court and approaching these different 4 There are some judges that show up here 5 and say, I don't let the lawyers open their 6 mouths in any of these hearings, which seems to 7 me to be troublesome. I mean, what would your 8 approach be to that? 9

Approach would be to --Α.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Lawyer participation in these hearings, you know, Q. as opposed to just saying, sit down, I'll read the affidavits.
- Well, you know, I think the affidavit Α. rule, it's a rule of the Court, and we need to abide by the rules, especially if you're the person in charge of the rules in the courtroom, but I don't think that's -- I don't think everything should be limited to what's encapsulated in a document, a written document in front of the judge. There may be points made by an attorney. There may be points made by a pro se litigant that they couldn't communicate because they weren't aware, and they should be held to the same standards, but sometimes in a court of equity, I think you have to do what is

equitable. And again, I think sometimes there are things that we can do, things that can be done as a judge, that would get the right answer while adhering to the rules and the process.

- Q. Well, and just -- sorry, I don't want to overdo it.
- 7 A. Yes, sir.

- 8 Q. And I'll try to end at this.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. But you mentioned the court of equity. What's equitable about something that I seem to have picked up on listening here the last couple days, that effectively the parties don't exchange affidavits until they're literally walking in the courtroom? So what's equitable about trial by ambush?
 - A. In that moment, very little. Unfortunately, it is the process, and it is a gut wrenching process, and I often find myself looking at my client saying, you don't know what's going to be said or what is going to be raised in here, but what I always tell people is what you want to ask the court to do is what is right, not what you think can hurt the other party or what can better you. You want to do what's right here. So I

think it can be -- the process certainly can be improved.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Well, you mentioned that. As somebody who's Q. bright and enthusiastic, how do you build a better mousetrap when it comes to at least trying to avoid the surprises that seemingly should be able to be minimized by simply saying, give the other side of the thing three days in advance or I mean, I find it unconscionable that something? somebody's walking in there and going to have to face something for the first time, you've got a little bit of time that you're going to do it. Ι mean, you could spend half that time, if not all of it, sitting here trying to figure out what's being -- in the affidavit. I mean, it makes no sense to me that that is a standard, okay. So how do you try to deal with that?
- A. Well, of course, you could take some cues from other courts. In Circuit Court and in Federal court, where I do have some background, there's a little bit more formalistic approach to sharing and exchanging information in anticipation of a hearing. I would venture to say that that may actually streamline some of the docketing in Family Court because you may know these are the

1 This is where we can focus our contested issues. 2 efforts and perhaps resolve cases or resolve 3 matters temporarily before you ever set foot in a 4 courtroom. 5 Q. Well, thank you. I appreciate your candid 6 responses. 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett. 9 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 MR. HAMMOND - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT: 11 And thank you for applying for this most 0. 12 important job. I'm assuming that you've been in 13 front of numerous judges by now. 14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 What are the attributes of the best judges that Q. 16 you've been in front of? And I'm not going to 17 ask you for the name because that might put you 18 in danger, then I'd like to hear the worst, you 19 know, because I want to know where you're going 20 to be at. 21 Α. Yes, sir. So tell us about that. 22 Q. 23 Α. I could probably rattle off. We have great 24 judges in our state, in the Family Court in 25 particular, and that's why when I applied for

1 this I said I'm humbled to even have the 2 opportunity to apply because I'd be in great 3 company with our judges. The judges that I 4 respect and admire, which is most of them, all of 5 them, they show an attention to the facts, but 6 they understand the facts and the law have to be 7 merged in a way that gets the outcome that suits this family or suits these individuals or suits 8 9 these children in a way that does not --10 hopefully does not, cause more harm or more 11 stress to the situation. Family Court is not a 12 place, typically a place where people go for 13 happy times. And so the best judges, in my 14 opinion, are the ones that are constantly keeping 15 that in mind, that these are real people and 16 these are families being touched, and what 17 happens in this courtroom can impact them not 18 only today, tomorrow, but for the rest of their 19 And so that has always resonated with me 2.0 when I see a judge actually take the time to 21 connect with what's in front of them, to connect 22 with the sensitivity and the importance of the 23 situation. Conversely, I would say --24 Give me the other side. Q. 25 Yes, sir. I think if a judge presiding over a Α.

1 matter loses focus on grace and compassion, I 2 think that can result in additional distress on a 3 family, on a person, on a child. And it causes, 4 I think, some more unraveling to have to take 5 place in a way that the court -- the court's not 6 there to fix all the problems, obviously, and 7 I've said that to many clients. Sometimes these 8 are problems the Family Court can't correct. 9 if the Family Court is there to help guide and 10 push matters along the way our law requires, 11 hopefully you do get to a place where the court, 12 when the court is out of it and the lawyers are 13 out of it and the quardians are out of it, the 14 family can heal and move forward.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. As it relates to timing, you know, in these larger circuits where your dockets are tighter, tighter, et cetera, do you find that those judges that are acting that way are under the auspices of time constraints and they're having to move these cases at an abundant rate? In other words, I'm trying to -- to Mr. Safran's point, is there a better way to fix this mousetrap? Is there a better way to make it work? To your point, for grace, to make sure that the people are part of the -- and obviously the children have to be the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

first and primary. I've always said I don't really care about the parents. When I go in front of a Family Court judge, if I'm not protecting the children or giving him a way to protect the children, I'm going to lose that case. So I've always felt that way about it. So you want to comment on that?

I think that there are -- there's Α. always room for improvement in the process, and I think if the Family Court is serving the community the way it's supposed to, the Court will understand I need to give this my full attention and my full compassion. As to the question of improvements, I do think there are ways to improve. And, again, I would go back to in the Circuit Courts and in the federal district courts, there are obviously some more structured approaches to litigation. Family Court practitioners are, by and large, very capable and It does -- I don't think -- I do not skillful. think it would be difficult to condition the practitioners to adapt to a little bit formalistic approach to it, as opposed to let's see what we can zing early on in the process and let the other party dig out of this hole.

- 1 Q. To Mr. Safran's point, maybe 30 minutes in the 2 contested custody matters, as opposed to 15 is 3 just -- it just seems to me that it's very 4 difficult. And, as said, the status quo ante, 5 you know, when that judge says, I'm going to 6 maintain the status quo -- which I think they're 7 required by statute to try to do that if they can 8 -- provide the children safety. But, as said, 9 that pretty well sets the tempo of the case for 10 I don't know if that's the rest of the case. 11 been your experience. It's been mine.
- 12 A. Yes, sir. It has been.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And so maybe we need to have -- and the other point, you know, if you become a chief administrative judge, it might not be a bad thing to say, you know, I want my lawyers to have at least 24 hours or 48 hours of you supplying the other side. Now, you know, if you're a practitioner, you're scrambling right before court to try to get those affidavits done. I mean, I understand it. But, you know, in Circuit Court we have rules that we follow that could also be followed in the most important of cases, i.e., protecting children. And so it seems to me that we could adopt some of those standards in

1 our Family Courts. 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 0. Thank you. 4 Thank you. Α. 5 MR. HAMMOND - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 6 Mr. Hammond, I want to go back to the scheduling 0. 7 and your being in many places at one time and 8 being pulled by various judges and your term, 9 And I'm not trying to pick on, but I do 10 want to kind of expound on, have you, on the 11 concern raised by the Citizens Committee who, 12 again, as Mr. John noted -- they didn't ding you on any of the nine evaluative criteria that we 13 14 are looking for. But I want to know whether 15 their last line, again, about if it's more 16 concerned that you chose not to appear for the 17 in-person interview and instead notified us by 18 text, you would call in for the interview. 19 did you alert them that you would not be able to 20 attend the in-person interview? 21 So when I was initially contacted by the Α. 22 chairperson, I think it was -- Mr. Beatty Ashmore 23 was the chairperson of that Committee, I was

actually scheduled to be in two different

courtrooms that day already. One of those

24

1 matters was able to be resolved, but the one that 2 actually took place was a DSS docket in Cherokee 3 I mistakenly believed that the interview County. 4 would be conducted virtually if there was a 5 scheduling issue. And so I did not make any 6 arrangements to be there in person, again, not 7 because I didn't take the process seriously. Ι 8 specifically recall being in front of the judge 9 that morning and saying, I will be asking for a 10 break at a specific time because I have this 11 interview, this important interview, at which 12 time the judge said, I don't anticipate any 13 problems with that. So it was probably a mistake 14 on my part to not understand that I needed to be 15 there in person above anything and everything. 16 Perhaps I let my dedication to being in court get 17 in the way. 18

Q. Well, where was that court and where was the interview?

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A. It was in Cherokee County and my interview was in Greenville, so it's about 45 minutes away.
- Q. So did you -- my question at the outset, again -maybe you've answered and I'm just not hearing -but did you -- you said you got a text from the
 chair of that Citizens Committee that day?

Α. The original text, no, I think it was about a 2 week before the interview, maybe a week and a 3 half before the interview.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- And then did you reply to that text the day of 0. the interview?
 - I did. I said I will be calling in in the next Α. few minutes because I -- I thought it was maybe a Zoom call, candidly. I thought it was going to be a virtual, by the computer, by the phone interview, which I was happy to be in my car or be in a conference room, wherever I needed to be.
 - Q. And, again, this is just one touch point along the way, but we read various comments along the way, and that is perhaps an unusual comment to How did that interview take place? include. it by phone or did you create a Zoom where you were able to look at them and they were able to look at you and exchange ideas or questions?
 - I called Mr. Ashmore's cell number, yes, sir. Α.
- 20 And do you know him? Have you met him before? Q.
- 21 I've known him for many years, yes, sir. Α.
- 22 Okay. And the members of that Citizens Q. 23 Committee, you know them as well?
- 24 I know most of them, and I spoke with at least Α. 25 one of them leading up to that interview to send

1 some information that he requested. 2 This process, in terms of how you have come Q. 3 through, other than that perhaps hiccup, have you 4 found this to be a fair process, encouraging you 5 to share, if they have criticisms or challenges, 6 have you been given the opportunity to explain? 7 Α. Yes, sir, absolutely. The process has been very 8 fair to me. I've been pleased and impressed with 9 the process. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Any questions? Anybody else? 11 Mr. Hammond, as you recall at the outset, I 12 offered you the opportunity to make a closing 13 statement if you'd like. You don't have to. 14 I want to thank you all for your time. MR. HAMMOND: 15 I'm, again, truly humbled to be here. 16 that my passion has been shown in my answers and 17 my demeanor here today because it is sincere and 18 it is my belief that I'm ready to serve in this 19 way. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, and to the point, again, as a 2.0 21 new person here, and Ms. Hammond, new attendee 22 here, you do have many, many anonymous admirers 23 who have said great things about your ability, whether now or in the future. You certainly 24 25 enjoy a great reputation in the Family Court

1	arena.		
2	MR. HAMMOND: Thank you.		
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So thank you for your willingness to		
4	serve here and offer for election.		
5	MR. HAMMOND: Yes, sir, thank you.		
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: This will close this portion of the		
7	screening process. You know that the record is		
8	not closed because of our focus on adherence to		
9	and being bound by the letter and spirit of the		
10	state ethics law, as well as yours. Any		
11	violation by you or the appearance of impropriety		
12	by you would warrant you being called back in the		
13	event that that would occur, which we don't		
14	expect, because the formal record of		
15	qualifications is not released until later. I		
16	just need a verbal affirmation that you		
17	understand that we can call you back.		
18	MR. HAMMOND: Yes, sir, I understand.		
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you very much. Godspeed to		
20	y'all on your travels back, and Happy		
21	Thanksgiving.		
22	MR. HAMMOND: Yes, sir, the same to you. Thank you.		
23	(OFF THE RECORD)		
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning, sir.		
25	MR. TORRICOS: Good morning.		

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Let me ask you to raise your right
2	hand.
3	MARCELO TORRICOS, being duly sworn, testifies as
4	follows:
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your full name for the record.
6	MR. TORRICOS: Marcelo Torricos.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, Mr. Torricos. You have two
8	items that you have handed to are they ready,
9	the PDQ and the sworn statement, are they ready
10	to be entered into the record?
11	MR. TORRICOS: I believe so, yes, Your Honor.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. You'll be, Your Honor,
13	if you're successful, and it will not be us.
14	(Exhibit Number 12 was marked for identification
15	purposes - (20 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
16	Marcelo Torricos.)
17	(Exhibit Number 13 was marked for identification
18	purposes - (11 pages) Sworn Statement of Marcelo
19	Torricos)
20	MR. TORRICOS: It's habit.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. And so this is your first
22	time screening, correct?
23	MR. TORRICOS: That is correct, sir, yes.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so in this process that you are
25	now embarking upon for the first time, you

1 understand that we are focusing on the nine 2 evaluative criteria, which includes your ballot 3 box survey, a thorough study of your application 4 materials, confirmation that you have complied 5 with the state ethics laws, a search of newspaper 6 articles in which your name appears, a check for 7 economic conflicts of interest. And in this 8 case, no affidavits or objections have been filed 9 in opposition to your candidacy. We have Ms. 10 Wilkinson, who's ready to ask some questions. Ι 11 appreciate your patience. We are about 23 12 minutes behind schedule, and so we will jump to 13 questions, and I'll offer you the opportunity to 14 make a closing statement if you like. 15 MR. TORRICOS: Thank you, sir. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. MR. TORRICOS - EXAMINATION BY MS. WILKINSON: 17 18 Good morning, Mr. Torricos. Please state for the 0. 19 record the city and circuit in which you reside. 20 I live in Simpsonville, South Carolina, which is Α. 21 in Greenville County, which is a part of the 13th Circuit. 22 23 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record 24 that based on the testimony contained in Mr. 25 Torricos' PDQ, which has been included in the

requirements for this position regarding age,

3

residence, and years of practice.

4 5

0.

Α.

Family Court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and professional experience qualify and

Mr. Torricos, why do you want to serve as a

record with his consent, he meets the statutory

6 7

will assist you to be an effective judge?

8

there, Ms. Wilkinson, was serve. Why do I want

9

to serve as a Family Court judge? And the short

Well, I think that the key word in your question

11

answer to that is service to my community. I was

12

born in Bolivia, South America, which is not only

13

one of the poorest countries in Latin America,

14

but one of the poorest countries in the world.

15

16 professionally, academically, and what

professionally, academically, and what I'm doing

17

before you today is something that people in

18 19

wholeheartedly believe that we live in the

And what I've been able to accomplish

countries like that only dream of.

20

greatest country on earth. We have created the

21

greatest judicial system to have ever existed,

22

and I want to be a part of that, and I want to

23

give back to the people of this state and

2425

Greenville County and the Thirteenth Circuit that have provided me with so many opportunities to do

1 things that, like I said, people in other parts 2 of the world only dream of. In terms of my 3 experience, I've been very lucky to work at a law 4 firm with other lawyers that have provided me an 5 opportunity to really dive into almost every area 6 possible in the Family Court arena, divorces, 7 separate support and maintenance actions, custody 8 cases, child support cases, alimony cases, DJJ 9 cases, DSS cases. I've done pretty much 10 everything you could possibly do in Family Court 11 numerous times over and over for the last ten, 11 12 years. And so I think when you take my 13 professional experience and then my real-world 14 life experiences and you put those together, I 15 think I make a fine Family Court candidate. 16

Q. Are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a Family Court judge, and how would you handle that additional preparation?

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. I would say that if I had to pick an area, it would be probably DSS. I have handled DSS cases in the past, especially as they've sort of been attached to some of my private cases, but I think that's an area that I could sharpen. I've been sitting in on DSS hearings and on the DSS docket

2.0

with the judges in Greenville that have allowed me to do so, and so I've been doing that since about September. And so I'd say that DSS would be that area that I probably would need to fine tune a little bit. But like I like to say, I'm sure I know enough just to be dangerous, right? So I'm comfortable in the arena, but always, you know, I don't know everything, and that would give me an opportunity to sort of sharpen up that tool.

- Q. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested Family Court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of Family Court work.
- A. So when you use the term complex Family Court matter, my mind immediately goes to two areas, right? You have complex financial cases and you have complex custody cases. I think I have a breadth of experience in both of those areas. In terms of complex financial cases, I've handled cases where we've divided, identified, valued, and divided estates worth 15, 17, 20 million dollars. I've also done the opposite. I've been a part of cases where marital estates were under water, and really it's a debt fight, and we're

trying to allocate who's going to take what debt, 1 2 and we're fighting about that. But, you know, 3 I've spent a large portion of my career poring 4 over EBITDA calculations and cash flow statements 5 and profit and loss sheets and calculating 6 deductions and amortization schedules. 7 familiar with all that. So in terms of complex 8 financial cases, I'd say I've got a breadth of 9 experience there. I will say that when it comes 10 to those cases, though, the more you do them, the 11 sort of easier they get and less complex they 12 become. But in terms of complex custody cases, 13 those are always complex. They were complex when 14 I started practicing law. They were complex ten 15 They're probably going to be complex, years in. 16 you know, if I'm elected, to the end of my judicial career because of the emotions attached 17 18 with those sorts of cases. But in terms of my 19 experience with those types of complex cases, 20 I've had custody cases with mentally handicapped children. 21 I've had cases with children with 22 behavioral issues that require so many resources 23 and sort of so many nuanced ways of dealing with 24 schedules and support. A majority -- I would 25 say, the majority of my handling of complex

1 custody cases, though, would be interstate 2 custody actions. For some reason, over the last 3 five years, I've been hired on a bunch of these 4 You know, a mother from Oklahoma hires me 5 because there's a custody action now in South 6 Carolina with a father, or a father from Virginia 7 hires me because there's a custody action now 8 with a mother who lives in South Carolina. 9 had a lot of experience when it comes to 10 interstate custody actions, and so when it comes 11 to those two areas, I think I'm very well set. 12 Q. Mr. Torricos, the Commission received 181 ballot 13 box surveys regarding you with 38 additional 14 The ballot box survey, for example, comments. 15 contained the following positive comments:

Q. Mr. Torricos, the Commission received 181 ballot box surveys regarding you with 38 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: good judicial temperament with broad knowledge of the law, would be a great asset on the bench. Mr. Torricos is considered one of the preeminent Family Court attorneys here in the Upstate. I appreciate he is willing to step away from private practice to serve. He is an even-keeled attorney who is widely respected for his temperament and intellect. He would be an asset to the Family Court in Greenville as we need a Family Court judge who speaks fluent Spanish.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Seven of the written comments expressed concerns which showed a pattern in two areas. First, 3 several comments focused on a lack of experience 4 in Family Court. For example, it was stated that you need to continue sharpening your skills in Family Court. How would you respond to this

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

concern?

Well, thank you for that. A humbling part of Α. this process is always listening to some critiques, and it's always a little difficult to hear, but I would respectfully disagree with that. I think that I've got plenty of experience in all of the relevant areas that would be relevant to me taking the bench at this moment in Like I said, I've represented hundreds and hundreds of individuals in every sort of form of domestic litigation in this case, whether they be uncontested or contested, high net worth, low net I've represented grandparents, aunts and worth. uncles, brothers and sisters. I've done DJJ work. I've done DSS work. I've done pro bono And so my response would be, you know, I certainly appreciate the concern, but I think I'm satisfied as to what my experience level is at this moment in time. And I honestly wouldn't be

here today if I didn't think that I had the requisite experience.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. The second pattern of concern focused on your connections to members of the General Assembly.

 How would you respond to this concern?
- It is true. I've practiced law with Chairman Α. Bannister. I've practiced law with Mr. Bannister the entirety of my career. But we've had face-to-face conversations, and we are both on the same page. I owe nobody anything. That may not be perfect English there, but if Bruce Bannister doesn't owe me anything, I don't owe Bruce Bannister anything. And so if anyone has any notion that there be any favoritism in that area, I can unequivocally say that there would never be. We have the type of relationship where I don't think he would ever ask me to do anything I was uncomfortable doing, and I surely would never ask him to do anything he was ever uncomfortable doing. So that's my response.
- Q. Mr. Torricos, you have been involved in two lawsuits as noted in your PDQ. The first was in state court in Noriega v. Wilkins. Please describe the nature and disposition of the case.
- A. So along with my Family Court practice, I also

1 practice in the area of criminal defense. In that 2 case, I was sued by a criminal defendant that I 3 In that suit, he alleged that I was represented. 4 negligent in failing to request credit for time 5 that he had done on home incarceration prior to 6 his quilty plea. The only issue was that he was 7 never on home incarceration, and I correctly 8 requested the appropriate credit that he 9 deserved, which was the 39 days that he did in 10 jail prior to bonding out. And the case was 11 dismissed because the court of General Sessions 12 recognized that this gentleman was never actually 13 on home incarceration and was never entitled to 14 that credit. So that case was dismissed.

Q. The second case was Torricos v. Greenville County
Planning Commission. Please describe the nature
and disposition of that case.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. So I am the trustee of my younger brother's trust, and the trust purchased a piece of property in Greenville, and we applied for us to subdivide the property on behalf of the trust, and it was denied. We believe that the denial of that application was wrongful, and we appealed and had the denial eventually overturned, and the property is being subdivided.

- 1 Mr. Chairman, I would note that the MS. WILKINSON: 2 Upstate Citizens Committee found Mr. Torricos 3 qualified in the evaluative criteria of 4 constitutional qualifications, physical health, 5 and mental stability. They found him well 6 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 7 fitness, professional and academic ability, 8 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 9 temperament.
 - Q. Mr. Torricos, we do have some housekeeping issues. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 15 | A. Yes, ma'am.

11

12

13

- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any member of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 19 | A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
 the limitations on contacting members of the
 General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator

1	e	either prior to this date or pending the outcome
2	c	of your screening?
3	A. N	To, ma'am.
4	Q. H	Mave you asked any third parties to contact
5	m	members of the General Assembly on your behalf,
6	c	or are you aware of anyone attempting to
7	i	ntervene in this process on your behalf?
8	A. N	No and no.
9	Q. H	Mave you reviewed and do you understand the
10	c	Commission's guidelines on pledging in South
11	c	Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)?
12	A. I	I'm aware of it, yes.
13	MS. WI	LKINSON: I would note for the record that any
14	c	concerns raised during the investigation
15	r	regarding Mr. Torricos were incorporated into the
16	<u>c</u>	questioning of him today. Mr. Chairman, I have
17	n	no further questions.
18	CHAIRM	MAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you, ma'am, Ms.
19	₩ W	Wilkinson. Questions by members of the
20	C	Commission.
21	MR. TORRICO	S - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
22	Q. I	want to start with your very youthful
23	а	appearance and youthful chronology here in
24	У	outhful age and your progress or progression
25	f	from graduation in 2013, I believe. Is that

1 right? 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 John Marshall Law School? 0. 4 Yes, sir. Α. 5 Q. Where is that? 6 Atlanta. Α. 7 I had never heard of that school, but Q. 8 that's probably because it's so top notch that I 9 would never be considered. 10 It's a very, very teeny, tiny private school in Α. 11 Atlanta, sir. 12 Q. You grew up where? 13 Α. In Atlanta. 14 Okay. And the path to South Carolina, you may Q. 15 have mentioned that. Forgive me for not paying 16 attention to that. 17 Α. So I moved to Greenville after my sophomore year 18 of high school. I finished at Mauldin High, and 19 I met my wife at Mauldin High. We went to 2.0 Clemson together, and then I decided to go to law 21 school. I had a conversation with my wife about, 22 you know, would we move back to Atlanta or stay 23 in Greenville, and that conversation was very 24 And here I am living in South Carolina. I wouldn't change it for the world.

25

I love it.

- 1 So that's sort of my path to Greenville.
- Q. Well, and Clemson, of course, as well, with a degree in psychology.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. That alone -- do you think that degree makes you more suited for Family Court?
- A. So, yes, the short answer is yes. A very big part of the curriculum for a bachelor's in psychology is developmental and adolescent psychology. And in my experience with complex custody cases, when we get reports from mental health professionals, behavioral professionals, and they spit out verbiage in these reports that are 20, 30, 40 pages long, I think that that background and that degree do help you interpret those results, and they help you articulate arguments before the Family Court. So absolutely, yes, sir.
 - Q. You were no wallflower in any of your undergrad or graduate school, and I'm recognizing or reading about your mock trial competition, your engagement with the Latino Law Students
 Association. CALI, excellent award. You put yourself out there.
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. It reminds me of somebody else that I know very well that just put themselves out there, squeezing every bit of the undergrad and law school experience. Then you -- during that, you were clerking at the U.S. Attorney's Office and then became -- got to clerk with Judge Childs as well, all while in undergrad, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

- 9 Q. I mean, in law school.
- 10 | A. Law school, yes, correct.
 - Q. Before you graduated. So a guy that's on his way, taken off, you've done exceedingly well, it appears, with your breadth of work and the ability to do wonderful things for your family, your two young children, from a financial standpoint.
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Why would you give up that path to perhaps
 greater prosperity and go to a job that you may
 well have for a long time, depending on if you're
 successful here, and enjoy it on the bench? Why
 would you choose this path?
- A. You know, I've been asked that question a lot throughout this process, and I think that the answer to that is that when you feel called to

1 something, you sort of run towards it, and I 2 don't see a reason why to delay my service to the bench when I think we need it now, in a way. 3 4 think that in Greenville, in the 13th Circuit, we 5 have an absolutely fantastic composition of 6 judges that I look forward to practicing before 7 every time I have a hearing. We've got male 8 judges, we've got female judges, we've got white 9 judges, we've got black judges, we've got all 10 different types of judges from all different 11 walks of life. We really don't have any younger 12 judges. I think that's one area where we're not 13 diverse in, and we have no Spanish-speaking 14 judges that I've ever appeared in front of. 15 so I think that where I am in my practice, where 16 I am in my personal life today is very stable, 17 and I think that right now is the appropriate 18 time to make this jump. I think that you're 19 I would be giving up probably the prime 20 earning years of my career to do this, but that 21 is a sacrifice that I'm willing to make in the 22 name of service. 23 You worked as a law clerk with Judge Stilwell. Q.

Saw lots of civil, criminal cases, litigants, pro

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes, sir.

1 se attorneys. In terms of your not holding him 2 up as an example, but a judge who has the right 3 approach with control of the courtroom, but also 4 the perception and the takeaway of folks feeling 5 like they've gotten a fair shake, as a law clerk 6 you get to see a lot more than folks who don't. 7 As a judge yourself, whether it be a Circuit 8 Court judge, whether it be a Family Court judge, or whoever, whatever level, federal or state, who 9 10 could be a who if you want to fill in the blank -11 - I'm not looking for a plus for anybody 12 necessarily -- but who do you hold up as what you 13 would like to be considered comparable to in a 14 favorable way? 15 So I would say that when I look at the judges Α. 16 17 18 very long time.

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. So I would say that when I look at the judges that I've appeared in front of, Rob Stilwell certainly has sort of been a mentor of mine for a very long time. When I left his chambers, I thought that this would be a real possibility for me, that this is something that I would really look into very hard about becoming a judge one day. He motivated me and influenced me that much. I think that highly of him. Right next door to Judge Stilwell was Letitia Verdin, and she has rocketed up the judicial ladders, and for

Ι

1 good reason. She has exceptional control of the 2 She's a quick thinker. She studies courtroom. 3 She's updated on the hard. She knows her cases. 4 She's patient. She's kind. law. She's 5 understanding. So between those two, I think I 6 was able to mold myself in a very, very positive 7 You know, when I was with Judge Stilwell, way. 8 there was a period in time where Judge Verdin 9 lost a clerk, so I was able to step in and help 10 her with some stuff, and I really enjoyed my time 11 She's a blessing to the people of with her. South Carolina. And I hope that one day people 12 13 will maybe view me in that light, hopefully. 14 In terms of your mix of practice, you list 40 Q. 15 percent as criminal. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Q. Still? 18 Yes, sir, yes, sir. Α. 19 Are we talking juvenile, Family Court, or are you Q. 20 talking about general sessions outright? 21 General sessions. I haven't had a DJJ case in a Α.

synchronized in very many ways. Criminal work

sharpens you in ways other areas of law don't.

while, but I have found that the blend of

criminal work and Family Court work are

22

23

24

1 mean, in terms of understanding of evidentiary 2 rules and procedure, trying cases in general 3 sessions is where you get really sharp, and I've 4 always really enjoyed trial work. I consider 5 myself a trial junky. That's why I went to law 6 school. I wanted to be a trial lawyer. I didn't 7 want to be a transactional lawyer, and that's why 8 I clerked for Rob Stilwell, and I clerked for 9 Michelle Childs and have tried to consume as much 10 time in the courtroom as I possibly can. And a 11 blend of Family Court work and criminal work has 12 really helped me accomplish that.

- Q. You have listed five cases. And I don't want to say not all winners, but definitely one where you didn't prevail, and that being maintaining sole custody for your client.
- A. Correct.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. You lost that. Why is that one -- why did you include that one?
 - A. That case really taught me two things. One, that you never have a case in the bank ever as a lawyer. That was a case that I was confident I thought I was going to win, and I ended up losing it in a way. It was a case that involved a parent -- it was one of those interstate custody

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He was from Virginia. His mother was in cases. South Carolina. He was charged in Virginia with assault and battery on a minor child, and when that happened, the mother in South Carolina filed a modification of custody action, and the child was brought from Virginia to South Carolina. she wanted custody of the child, and he obviously wanted custody of the child back. The assault and battery did not involve their child. another child, and at the end of the day, even though he lost sole custody, he retained joint custody after his arrest, and so it, in a way, helped me reframe what is a win. I went into trial with a client who was arrested for assault and battery on a child and yet did enough to demonstrate to the Court that he was a good enough parent to at least maintain joint custody, and at the time, I didn't feel -- I felt like I just outright lost the case, but now that -- when I was going through the process of filling out my PDO, I look back on my case and say, you know what, I did the best that I could with the facts that I had. I tried really, really hard. I think I did a really, really good job before that judge, and ultimately they thought the joint

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

custody was appropriate, and so it sort of helped me reframe what a win was in many different ways.

- My last question to the glee of my brothers and Q. sisters here on this Commission, but we -- the last week has been -- two weeks now, second day of our second week, have entertained various complaints, a few largely from Family Court litigants who do not feel that they got a fair I don't ask you to weigh in on what is a fair shake or not, but you are offering to come into an area of the law that is fraught with feelings that can never be totally satisfied. And many judges who have served have no complaints at all, no negative ballot box comments, but who are stepping into -- and you for the first time, an area where you've got to manage expectations, but not manage the outcome except based on the facts that you have before Do you see this as an area that you can navigate without feelings being hurt, and if so, how do you do that where everybody leaves the courtroom believing that they've been heard and given their day in court?
- A. Certainly. I think that any lawyer that spends a lot of time in Family Court at times walks away

1 feeling like they weren't heard, and I think that 2 that is the most important thing. You're right, 3 you can't control results outside of what you as 4 a Family Court judge have been -- the authority 5 that you've been given by way of statute, and so I think that for me, it's an understanding that I 6 7 have a job to do. My job is -- as a member of 8 the judicial branch, is to take the facts and 9 apply them to the law as the members of the 10 legislature have intended it to be done, and the 11 results will sort of pour out from there. 12 Anytime you walk into a courtroom and there are 13 children involved, there's money involved, 14 there's relationships involved, there's always --15 the possibility that someone's feelings could get 16 hurt are always there, so you can't eliminate it, 17 but giving attorneys and litigants, pro se 18 litigants especially, opportunities to lay out 19 their cases and to be attentive and to listen and 20 to be engaged is the way to do it. And I think 21 that -- you know, you mentioned my age and my 22 youthful appearance, and I think that when it 23 comes to being engaged, alert, awake, and 24 energetic, I think my youth plays into that 25 positively. Like I said, I've appeared in front

of a lot of judges all across the state, and
there have been times where I walk out of that
courtroom and I'm like, I don't know if he was
awake, I don't know if he was listening or she
was listening, and Family Court judges get tired,
and so I like to think that I could bring a spark
of energy to the bench in Granville County.
Q. Very well. Representative Caskey.
REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
MR. TORRICOS - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY:
Q. (Speaks Spanish.)
A. I appreciate the question. I didn't see that
n
coming.
COMING. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish. A. In Spanish. So just for the record, the question
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish. A. In Spanish. So just for the record, the question was how many clients have I represented that
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish. A. In Spanish. So just for the record, the question was how many clients have I represented that don't speak English in Family Court?
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish. A. In Spanish. So just for the record, the question was how many clients have I represented that don't speak English in Family Court? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: He said more than that.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish. A. In Spanish. So just for the record, the question was how many clients have I represented that don't speak English in Family Court? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: He said more than that. MR. TORRICOS: He did say more than that.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish. A. In Spanish. So just for the record, the question was how many clients have I represented that don't speak English in Family Court? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: He said more than that. MR. TORRICOS: He did say more than that. Q. Just summarize my point. You don't have to
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish. A. In Spanish. So just for the record, the question was how many clients have I represented that don't speak English in Family Court? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: He said more than that. MR. TORRICOS: He did say more than that. Q. Just summarize my point. You don't have to summarize the whole thing.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want you to reply in Spanish. A. In Spanish. So just for the record, the question was how many clients have I represented that don't speak English in Family Court? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: He said more than that. MR. TORRICOS: He did say more than that. Q. Just summarize my point. You don't have to summarize the whole thing. A. Sure, sure. (Speaks Spanish.)

1 clients I've had that -- or represented that 2 didn't speak any English. And my response to 3 that was, I would guess around 40 clients that 4 didn't speak any English that I represented in 5 Family Court. 6 REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: And I would offer, Mr. 7 Chairman, the reason I began that question in 8 Spanish is I want to make a broader point about 9 the importance of appreciating the difficulties 10 that folks have when they come into a situation 11 where they only speak one language, and that it 12 is a real value to have people who can speak 13 another language. And it is something that our 14 state has not fully embraced for reasons far 15 broader than our purposes here, but it's 16 something worth considering nonetheless. 17 Q. So, thank you for offering. I appreciate your 18 service. Glad to have you. 19 Α. Thank you. 20 MR. TORRICOS - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 21 I am curious --0. 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 -- if you're successful and you have an English Q. 24 speaking or non English speaking, Spanish 25 speaking only, can you be both the interpreter

and the judge to speak back and forth, as you've just done with Representative Caskey?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

That's an interesting question. I'll tell you a Α. I've been a lawyer in one case and an interpreter on the case right behind it in general sessions. One of the first times I was in general sessions, I was before Judge Stillwell, and he thought in a way -- the interpreter didn't show up. He's looking around the courtroom and says, I need an interpreter, and I'm sitting there sort of in the gallery, and he sort of pops up to the side. He points, brings me up, swears me in as an interpreter, and I interpret the case right then and there. I've done it sort of as a lawyer and then an interpreter, so I will let you know how that goes in terms of whether I could be a judge and interpreter at the same time. I think I could provide information in Spanish, like where to go to the clerk's office to pick out a packet for a temporary hearing or that they need to fill out a financial declaration. But let's be clear, the record needs to be preserved for appellate review, and so walking in and out of different languages on the record would be pretty

Q. And we would ask you both at your own time, not now, to translate for the court reporter in writing, submit that to this body, the entirety of your conversation. If you don't do that, I don't think there's any shame. We'll just chide Representative Caskey for that.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any questions? Mr. Safran.

MR. SAFRAN: Just a quick one.

difficult.

MR. TORRICOS - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

- Q. Let me ask you. You kind of touched on something in terms of the inability of some of the clients to understand English, and one of my concerns has been -- and one reason I don't handle a lot of Hispanic cases -- is that I think you lose something sometimes with the interpreter. You as a lawyer recognize that a big part of your representation is to connect with your client, and I think that the inability to speak the language kind of leaves something to fall short in terms of that connection. I mean, have you seen that?
- A. Yes, sir. Not only do you lose it in terms of connection with your client, you literally lose it with interpreters in the courtroom.

Q. Right. And that's the other question. I wonder how often they don't necessarily accurately convey what you're basically trying to get across.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Α. So I will say that we have a lot of really good interpreters, so this is not a cloud on our interpreters in the state because they do a really good job and they try really hard, and for the most part, they're very, very highly However, I had a case when I was just effective. coming out, maybe my first year or second year. It was a very serious general sessions case. were defending a gentleman that was accused of criminal sexual conduct on a minor where the implications were not just a little jail time. We're talking about decades in jail. And as a part of the defense, we got that case mistried because I continued to hear poor interpretation from the court reporter in that trial. And when you're dealing with high-stakes criminal litigation and cases where the intent of the defendant is clearly before the court, words like I think and I believe, creo y pienso, are very, very different. So you and I want to say, well, I believe X, Y, and Z, or I think X, Y, and Z,

1 and we really don't think about the difference 2 between believing and thinking something. But 3 again, in the criminal context and in the 4 interpretation context, she kept interpreting --5 it was either she kept substituting the word think for believe or the other way around. 6 7 know what I'm saying? And so I objected a number 8 of times. She continued to do it. The case got 9 mistried, and we had to tee that thing up a couple of months later. And so it was 10 11 ineffective use of judicial time and all that 12 sort of stuff. But I certainly absolutely agree 13 with you that there's a lot of loss when you 14 don't speak the language your client does. 15 Well, I mean, do you see that the number of Q.

- Q. Well, I mean, do you see that the number of
 Hispanic clients is increasing in Family Court
 over time?
- 18 | A. Uh-huh.

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So the other thing I've noticed is that there seems to be a cultural difference, and that there are certain things that we may take for granted that we may more or less want to convey, even if it's something very simple, like you should fight this, that there's still sometimes a reluctance on the part of some clients, depending on where

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

they come from and what their socioeconomic status was -- that there's just a reluctance to want to take it on. I mean, do you run into that too?

Absolutely. And I will tell you, you mentioned Α. cultural differences in Family Court. There are a lot of cases where judges or opposing attorneys or even quardian ad litems critique individuals for living with their parents. And by that I mean a father. You know, they break up, they're going through the divorce proceedings, father moves in with grandma because he's looking for a place to live or whatever. That's viewed as a negative in so many instances because, you know, apparently he can't support himself, that he needs his mother's help to raise the children, things like that, right? And so -- but in the Hispanic community, the idea that your abuela lives with you is very, very common. It is not a sign of weakness. And I've had to sort of educate quardian ad litems at times on those issues and maybe some judges and other lawyers that try to sort of poke at that and needle that issue that, you know, mom had to move in with her dad or dad had to move in with a grandma. Like,

yeah, but that's just the way, you know, they
were brought up. They lived with their
grandmothers. And so I really appreciate the
comment, and I totally agree with you that there
are definitely cultural issues that are not
entirely observed in Family Court that should be.

- Q. And do you envision potentially being -- if successful sitting on the bench and necessarily hearing something and knowing that whatever the court reporter is putting down is wrong, just like you did in that trial.
- A. Yeah, yeah, absolutely. And I think it is my responsibility and my job to stand up and say something about it and to confront those issues because, you know, Family Court cases are -- it's a high rate of appeal and we need to have clear records and the interpreters need to be on it.

 And so I think that in that way, I'm an asset to the bench.
- Q. Well, and lastly -- I mean, you touched on it earlier. I mean, you look like you have all the makings of a very shining star in the attorney end of it. And I understand that there's always some goal to be on the bench. I think yours was nurtured early. I mean, when I was a law clerk

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

doing similar to what you did, I had thoughts then, but sometimes things just don't work out or you just don't necessarily have that same fire. I guess the question is, though, you're still only about ten years out roughly. I mean, do you really feel like you've experienced everything that you might necessarily want to experience in the context of being a lawyer? I mean, because, again, it seems like the sky is still the limit for you when it comes to that.

- Well, I appreciate that, Mr. Safran, and I really Α. And I think that the answer to that is yes. I think that I'm satisfied with my career to this And if I am lucky enough to serve my people in Greenville County and in the 13th Circuit in Pickens, then I'm going to look back on my career very fondly and say that I did really good work that I'm very, very proud of. got to work with some excellent people at my law firm that I'm going to miss very dearly. clients, I mean, so many clients after the fact that -- I'm going to miss that. But in terms of my satisfaction with my career and where I am, I think I am satisfied.
- Q. Well, thank you.

1 Α. Thank you, Mr. Safran. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If nothing further, Mr. 2 3 Torricos, this will close this portion of the 4 screening. You understand that the record is not 5 closed until the formal release of the record of 6 qualifications. 7 MR. TORRICOS: Yes, sir. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: In the event that you were to 9 violate by direct or indirect the appearance of 10 impropriety of the state ethics law, you 11 understand we can call you back because that 12 would be deemed very serious by this Commission. 13 MR. TORRICOS: Yes, sir. 14 Don't expect that to be the case, CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 15 but again, you have acknowledged that, and that's 16 all we need for a complete record. And can you 17 translate yes, sir, in Spanish for the court 18 reporter? 19 MR. TORRICOS: Sí, señor. 2.0 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Muchas gracias. 21 Thank you, sir. I appreciate it. MR. TORRICOS: 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Godspeed to you, and Happy 23 Thanksgiving to you and your family. MR. TORRICOS: 24 Thank y'all very much. 25 (OFF THE RECORD)

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome Judge Smoak.
JUDGE SMOAK: Welcome, how are you?
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well, thank you. Thank you for
being with us today and being here early. We are
17 minutes late, but let me assure you that we
will make quick work of you, hopefully. You've
been here before, and so let me first get you to
raise your right hand.
THE HONORABLE GERALD C. SMOAK, JR., being duly
sworn, testifies as follows:
JUDGE SMOAK: I do.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The two statements, PDQ and the
sworn statement, are they ready to be entered
into the record?
JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Judge, you know how this
works. Our effort in vetting your candidacy for
reelection, we're looking at those nine
evaluative criteria, which includes a ballot box
survey, a thorough study of your application
materials, verification of your compliance with
state ethics laws, a search for newspaper
articles in which your name appears, a study of
previous screenings and a confirmation that no

1 economic conflicts of interest have occurred. Nο 2 affidavit or complaint has been filed in 3 opposition to your campaign for reelection. 4 We're going to turn it over to Ms. Benson, Paula 5 Benson, and then members of the Commission may 6 have questions, and then we'll go from there. 7 Thank you so much for being here, and Ms. Benson. 8 (Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification 9 purposes - (12 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for 10 The Honorable Gerald C. Smoak, Jr.) 11 (Exhibit Number 15 was marked for identification 12 purposes - (4 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable 13 Gerald C. Smoak, Jr.) 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. BENSON: 15 JUDGE SMOAK - EXAMINATION BY MS. BENSON: 16 Judge Smoak, after serving 29 years on the Family 0. 17 Court, why do you want to continue serving as a 18 Family Court judge? 19 Α. I continue to like what I do. I enjoy the 20 people. I enjoy trying to solve the disputes 21 between persons coming to Family Court. I enjoy 22 different parts of Family Court. I enjoy 23 adoptions. I'm just a person that just loves 24 what he does, and I still love it, and I hope to 25 continue.

Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you and also among the court personnel that practice with you?

- A. Well, I would hope it would be that I am a patient person. I hope it would be that I have a good sense of humor at times when it's needed. I hope it is that when the law is cited that the attorneys know I know the law, and they know I render my decisions quickly. I don't sit on my opinions. I don't wait days and days and days. Court personnel, I hope they would think I'm a nice fellow to be around because that's certainly some of the people you enjoy most are the people at the different courthouses. So I would hope that would be some of them.
- Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge Smoak. The Commission received 412 ballot box surveys regarding you with 26 positive additional comments and no negative comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: kind, courteous, experienced, outstanding, one of the best, respectful, fair, thoughtful, awesome, and personable. Several commentators said it was a privilege to appear

1 before you. 2 MS. BENSON: I would note that the Lowcountry Citizens 3 Committee found Judge Smoak qualified in the 4 evaluative criteria of constitutional 5 qualifications, physical health, and mental 6 stability, and well qualified in the remaining 7 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 8 professional and academic ability, character, 9 reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. 10 The Committee stated in summary: very 11 experienced, great demeanor, patient, and an 12 excellent judge. 13 Just some housekeeping issues, Judge Smoak. 0. 14 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 15 contacted any members of the Commission about 16 your candidacy? 17 Α. No, ma'am. Are you familiar with section 2-19-70, including 18 0. 19 the limitations on contacting members of the 20 General Assembly regarding your screening? 21 Yes, ma'am. Α. 22 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 23 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 24 either prior to this date or pending the outcome

of your screening?

1 A. No, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

7

8

- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on your behalf?
- 6 | A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code section 2-19-70(E)?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- MS. BENSON: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
- 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Members of the Commission. Mr. Safran.
- MR. SAFRAN: You know, when I saw you walk in the
 room, I was thinking about somebody we both knew
 so well, and the first thing that popped in my
 mind was him telling you to get a haircut. These
 forms, you remember as well as I do.
- 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Why don't we name that person, Mr. 24 Safran? Give the candidate a lifeline.
- 25 MR. SAFRAN: The candidate knows. We sat together in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his class, I do believe. So let me say this. Ιt is extremely uncommon to see the kind of responses that we get to the ballot box. mean, there is without any qualification no doubt that you are as good as they come. You've been doing it a long time, and to hear what these people say, that when you're in as high a charged atmosphere as a Family Court day after day, and that nobody could say anything other than he's a great guy, he's a great judge, he's patient, he is the model, I think that's something to be very, very proud of, and you've certainly earned You've put a lot of time in, and I don't have any doubt that you love what you do every day, and we love the fact that you love doing it because people like you are what we need on the bench. And, unfortunately, some of them get to a point where they say, you know, it's time to go, but I don't think that day's around for you. And I can tell you, you know, you come from good Your daddy was a great one, and, you stock. know, I just wish there was another Smoak out there, you know, to be kind of waiting in the bullpen to come on. So I want to congratulate you for doing it. I want to thank you for doing

1 it. I want to make sure that everybody around 2 knows that if they want to know how it is done, 3 all they need to do is come to your doorstep. 4 JUDGE SMOAK: Well, I appreciate that. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett. 6 SENATOR GARRETT: You graduated in 1983. 7 JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir. 8 SENATOR GARRETT: Is that correct? 9 JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir. 10 All right. I wish we could just SENATOR GARRETT: 11 clone you and give you as an example to each of these young Family Court lawyers who are coming 12 13 I mean, it's impossible to have over 14 400 comments and not even the first negative 15 comment. I mean, that's wonderful. I don't know 16 how to say it, but that's just beautiful. 17 thank you, thank you, thank you. And I would 18 hold you up to any young Family Court judge. Ι 19 hope you decide at some point that you will 2.0 teach. I'm sure you're doing it when you're 21 working with these younger lawyers and that kind of thing as they're coming up, but I hope you do 22 23 it in a more formalistic way. We need you in 24 this world where everybody's fighting about 25 everything. We need your kind of leadership and

1 your kind of judgment. Thank you, sir. 2 JUDGE SMOAK: Appreciate that, Senator. 3 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Other questions by other members of 4 the Commission? 5 JUDGE SMOAK - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 6 Judge, I, too, want to tack to the familial and 0. 7 your father, as Mr. Safran mentioned again, and 8 the legacy of service and love of the law that 9 your family has put in place in our state for a 10 long time and your willingness to continue to do 11 it on the bench. Neither child of yours, neither 12 nor, have a law degree, so I don't know if 13 there's a change in career paths perhaps one 14 might make to fulfill Mr. Safran's hope, but you 15 certainly have blazed your own trail in a great 16 wav. I just have to touch on one of the letters 17 of reference, and something that unfortunately 18 we're not going to get to see here unless you 19 take the bait. Your Clerk of Court said you had 20 a great sense of humor, so I want to know what 21 your retort to Mr. Safran's comment about your haircut would be. 22 23 Α. The only retort I have to that is he echoed what 24 my wife said this morning. I mean, I just

couldn't fit it in. I mean, that's the first

thing she said, the least you could have done is qet a haircut.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- You know, Mr. Safran reminds me of my wife a lot Q. of times as well, in a good way, only a good way. Well, Judge, you obviously do have a light touch in your courtroom. As the two commenters have said, near impossible to have everyone leading, litigants and the lawyers, who, again -- these folks don't have to tell us who they are -- and we call it out, the good or the bad, and there are a handful of y'all that have just exceeded that metric for us in terms of not pleasing everybody but not offending someone such that they would take a shot at you based on how they were treated in your courtroom. So you're marked to that. Perhaps your father or who else has given you the imprint of this is how to do it, or perhaps here's how not to do it? You don't have to go to the negative, but give me the positives.
- A. I mean, probably my dad and mom. I mean, treat people like you want to be treated. I mean, it's kind of simple in my opinion. Nobody wants to have to, you know, take a bunch of flack. I mean, just remember how you would like to be treated if you were in the same position, and

1 that's what I try to remember every day, every 2 hearing. It's harder some days, but I try to 3 remember that. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, very well. All right. Unless 5 there are any other questions or comments, Judge, 6 again, we thank you for your willingness to 7 continue to serve. And again, I made the offer 8 at the outset, if you'd like to make any closing 9 remarks, the floor is yours. 10 I don't think so. The only thing I can JUDGE SMOAK: 11 say in closing is if I'm back up here again, 12 chances are I'll be, I think I figured it out a 13 month away from 72. I don't have to tell you all 14 what that means. And I guess I would be remiss 15 in not saying that I guess almost 30 years now 16 I've been lucky enough to work with, I mean, some 17 fantastic people, whether it's the Family Court 18 judges, the people in the courthouses, the 19 And, of course, most if not all of y'all weren't on this committee in 2000 or '95, but I 20 21 just appreciate that opportunity. Not many 22 people can say that, that they were given that 23 chance and were able to do it 30 years. 24 appreciate that. 25 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, thank you very much for your

1	passion and your humility and your people's touch
2	by implementing the Golden Rule as well as any
3	judge in our state and perhaps our country, could
4	do. So congratulations to you.
5	JUDGE SMOAK: I appreciate it, Senator.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Real quick, housekeeping. This does
7	not close the record, just this portion of the
8	screening, and you know it well, the ethics laws,
9	we adhere to both the letter and the spirit. Any
10	violation by you, you would understand that we
11	could call you back in that unlikely event. For
12	the record, I need a yes.
13	JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Not yes, sir, but yes, and God bless
15	you. Godspeed. Happy Thanksgiving to you and
16	your family.
17	JUDGE SMOAK: Thank y'all. Y'all have a good holiday.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you.
19	(OFF THE RECORD.)
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, welcome, and thank you for
21	being here early. Rare is that ever said out of
22	my mouth about anything that I'm involved with.
23	So for the record, that is in the record now.
24	Judge, if you will, please raise your right hand.
25	THE HONORABLE DAVID G. GUYTON, being duly sworn,

1 testifies as follows: 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. You've got a PDQ there 3 and a sworn statement. Are those ready to be put 4 into the record? 5 JUDGE GUYTON: They are. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. They will be so marked. 7 Judge, as you know, our effort here and the 8 process by which you are submitting yourself for 9 reelection, we are looking at the nine evaluative 10 criteria, which includes a ballot box survey, a 11 thorough study of the application materials, 12 confirmation of your compliance with the state 13 ethics law, a search of newspaper articles in 14 which your name appears, a study of past 15 screenings and a check for economic conflicts of 16 There are no affidavits or complaints interest. 17 being filed against you, and therefore, we are 18 going to entertain you, sir, with questions for 19 Ms. Starnes. And then at the close of that, if 20 there's anything you'd like to offer in addition, 21 we'll offer you the final, formal closing 22 statement. 23 (Exhibit Number 16 was marked for identification 24 purposes - (16 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for 25 The Honorable David G. Guyton)

1 (Exhibit Number 17 was marked for identification 2 purposes - (7 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable 3 David G. Guyton.) 4 JUDGE GUYTON: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So welcome, and Ms. Starnes. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. STARNES: 7 JUDGE GUYTON - EXAMINATION BY MS. STARNES: 8 Good to see you again, Judge Guyton. 0. 9 Good to see you. Α. 10 Judge Guyton, after serving for roughly 15 years Q. 11 on the Family Court bench, why do you want to 12 continue serving as a Family Court judge? 13 I think with the experience level I have now, I Α. 14 can certainly be very effective with the use of 15 our courtroom time, and I think I've been able to 16 develop a reputation for being a fair judge and an efficient judge. And I'd also like to run 17 18 this last time to basically make sure that York 19 County is in good shape when it comes to our 20 Family Court system, and also I've been fortunate 21 enough to be the chair of the Family Court Judges 22 Advisory Committee, and Chief Justice Kittredge 23 just reappointed me in that capacity, so that has 24 me training the new judges each year for the new

judge orientation school each May. So we had

seven last year and six coming in, I think, this
year, so I want to make sure they're in a good
spot, too.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you. Judge Guyton, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
- A. I hope fair and impartial. I think they know that I run a timely courtroom, so if the docket's supposed to start at 9:30, I expect them to be there and present, ready to start the court at 9:30, and again, I hope that they feel I make the maximum use of the limited court time that we do have.
- Judge Guyton, the Commission received Q. Thank you. 349 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 49 additional comments, most of which are extremely positive. For example, such comments included: Judge Guyton is an excellent judge. He is kind, He works hard, and his decisions are fair and understanding of the issues. A judge of the strongest character and knowledge. He is an asset to the judiciary in South Carolina. Guyton is an outstanding jurist, principled and He is a patriot, a diligent worker, and a person of unparalleled ethics. Four out of the

1 0

_ |

49 comments expressed concerns about your judicial temperament. What response would you offer to these concerns?

A. I would say that there are times when patience can get pushed to the limit, sometimes by counsel, very few, but there are a few, and then sometimes it's hard to be patient with some of the pro se, when I'm afraid they get used to seeing the Judge Judy type TV shows, and they come in without an attorney who's given them a background maybe on the law or what to expect.

And sometimes you have to really make sure that they understand that the judge has to be in control of the courtroom, or things can get out of control pretty easily.

Q. Thank you.

MS. STARNES: The Citizens Committee, the Piedmont
Citizens Committee, found Judge Guyton to be well
qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical
fitness, professional and academic ability,
character, reputation, experience and judicial
temperament and qualified in the evaluative
criteria of constitutional qualifications,
physical health, and mental stability. The
Committee commented: Judge Guyton has served our

1 state with distinction for some time. 2 continues to exhibit the professionalism, 3 humility, and diligence that make him a well 4 regarded Family Court judge. 5 Q. Now, just a few housekeeping issues for you. 6 Judge Guyton, since submitting your letter of 7 intent, have you contacted any members of the 8 Commission about your candidacy? 9 I have not. Α. 10 Are you familiar with section Thank you. Q. 11 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting 12 members of the General Assembly regarding your 13 screening? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 16 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 17 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 18 of your screening? 19 I have not. Α. 20 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 21 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, 22 or are you aware of anyone attempting to do so? 23 Α. I have not, and I'm not aware. 24 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 25 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South

1 Carolina Code section 2-19-70(E)? 2 Α. Yes. 3 0. Thank you. 4 MS. STARNES: I would just note for the record that 5 any concerns raised during the investigation 6 regarding the candidate were incorporated into my 7 questioning today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 8 further questions. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Questions by members of 10 the Commission? I want to just start by --11 again, for the record, you and I were in law 12 school together, and I remember you fondly, both 13 from a personal standpoint and as a student as well in terms of the seriousness that you showed 14 15 there in most every context. But your 16 willingness to do this and offer up now since 17 2010? 18 JUDGE GUYTON: 2010. It's been 14 years. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. And the comments by the 2.0 director of the children's leadership --21 JUDGE GUYTON: At the law center. At the law school and then your 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 23 Chief of Police, Watts, I believe -- and I think 24 he said -- I don't know if it was incessant, but 25 intense energy on behalf of making folks aware of

1 what happens with children and your interest in 2 that as a judge, not just waiting to hear from 3 folks, but proactively going out in the community, whether law enforcement or whoever, to 4 5 try to be a tool for helping the fate of kids in 6 our society. So I commend you for that, and 7 again, the passion that you have to do it, the 8 overwhelming support that you enjoy by those who 9 didn't have to write their names is not lost on 10 the folks in this room and obviously your local 11 area, and the fact that you could run unopposed 12 for quite a while and continue to serve with 13 great distinction, to the pleasure of the Supreme 14 Court as well. So by all stripes, you are doing 15 better than the hall of fame, which if it's 16 baseball, it's a 300 batting average. I think 17 you're nearly about a thousand. 18 JUDGE GUYTON: You're very kind, very kind. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 SENATOR GARRETT: 21 JUDGE GUYTON - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT: 22 Thank you for agreeing to reup. I saw some 0. 23 military background. Thank you for your service 24 there. You have the demeanor. I haven't had a

chance or pleasure to be in front of you doing a

2

3

Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 **20**

21

22

23

24

25

little domestic work, but you have that appearance of being pretty strong in the courtroom. Do you consider yourself that?

- I do, and I think going through the Marine Corps to begin with and then the Army National Guard all these years has certainly helped me maintain a standard of discipline that I do try to bring to the courtroom. This haircut is not just for this proceeding. It stays this way, and Senator Rankin can tell you it's been that way since 1985. But I think the leadership skills that we get trained on and then get during our experience with the Army and the Marine Corps first has definitely helped me from a judicial standpoint because it's all about not just having a tight schedule and being effective with it, but how to handle people and, you know, make sure that people understand where you're coming from and having a willingness to listen as well.
- Q. I like for lawyers to be on time. If I'm on the other side and I'm there on time, I certainly like the other side to be on time. And I've seen the pro se litigants sometimes come in and in clothing that frightens me, to be honest with you. And so I don't know how you handle that.

We have a responsibility, of course. They don't have to have a lawyer. I had one client one time come into the courtroom in a pair of shorts and I thought Judge Charles was going to have a heart attack. Needless to say, he admonished me and I had no clue this gentleman had a problem with our judge and he did it on purpose, but I didn't know. Anyway, you never know what you might experience in court one day. But thank you for that. And going through all of your material, I noticed that you had a few reversals, and I want to ask you about that.

- 13 A. Certainly.
 - Q. What do you think is the biggest factor that would cause you to be reversed? Do you have a sense of what it is? Is it law? Is it facts, or is there a commonality?
 - A. I would think probably, especially from a Family
 Court standpoint, that it would be facts because
 certainly I've been affirmed by the Court of
 Appeals and then reversed by the Supreme Court.
 And then I think also reversed by the Court of
 Appeals and then affirmed by the Supreme Court.
 And because the standard for Family Court is
 really a de novo standard such that the appellate

1 courts can simply look at the record and make a 2 decision, I try to be good about making a good 3 record for them at this point and also now making 4 determinations of credibility for some of the 5 witnesses that are in front of me. But sometimes 6 a case looks different on paper than it does when 7 you're hearing and seeing people. And so 8 sometimes the court, the appellate courts say 9 that they defer to the judges who are in the 10 I think the majority of time they courtroom. 11 probably do, but every once in a while they don't 12 get the same feeling that we do by being in the 13 courtroom.

Q. That's truly a good, good statement. And, you know, you may want to pass that on to the to the other Family Court judges who are coming on new.

We're having some new ones. So just, you know -
I just think it's good to pass that sage wisdom.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A. I try to. And then Justice Lockemy was one of my mentors through the National Guard. And every year he would remind us of that. So I'm trying to really pass that on to the new judges at orientation school.
- Q. Well, thank you so much again for reupping and congratulations on a job well done.

1 Α. Thank you. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge. Thank you again, 3 and you have the opportunity if you'd like to 4 make any closing remarks. 5 JUDGE GUYTON: Just thank y'all for what you do. Τ 6 know what you do is not easy, and you're 7 reviewing a lot of information in a short period 8 of time, but what you do is extremely important because we do need to have, I think, the best of 9 the best, especially when it comes to Family 10 11 Court, with the importance of trying to find a 12 civil way as families sometimes fall apart or in 13 looking at juvenile cases which are getting more 14 and more difficult these days with kids and 15 weapons and then neglected kids, DSS abuse and 16 neglect cases. Some of those are getting tough, 17 but I really appreciate what you all do in trying 18 to make sure that we get the best in these 19 positions. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right. And you work 2.0 21 with Senator Hayes, correct? 22 He and I were law partners. JUDGE GUYTON: I credit 23 him when I got off active duty with the Marine 24 Corps in '92 with he was a major in the Army 25 National Guard at the time and he said, we need

1	some guys with active duty experience. And so he			
2	got me into the Guard, and then I worked with he			
3	and Hugh Harrelson and became partners with them.			
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And then you've ran for and got			
5	elected too correct?			
6	JUDGE GUYTON: 2010.			
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah, yeah. Well, and again, we all			
8	think very highly of Wes Hayes, Senator Hayes,			
9	both as a contributor to this state, but also as			
10	an attorney as well. So, all right, Judge, that			
11	will close this portion of the screening process.			
12	And again, you know the drill, that the record is			
13	not closed until the formal release of the record			
14	of qualifications. Any violation of the spirit			
15	or the letter of the law, the ethics law by you,			
16	you understand would be considered very seriously			
17	by us. And you know that we could call you back			
18	in that unlikely event should that occur,			
19	correct?			
20	JUDGE GUYTON: Certainly.			
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right.			
22	JUDGE GUYTON: Thank you for getting me in early			
23	today.			
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, thank you for being here			
25	early, and pursuant to Senator Garrett's			

1	admonition, on time is right on time. Earlier is				
2	really on time. So safe travels back to Rock				
3	Hill, and happy Thanksgiving to you and your				
4	family.				
5	JUDGE GUYTON: The same to y'all. Thank you.				
6	(OFF THE RECORD)				
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, judge.				
8	JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Thank you.				
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will, raise your right hand.				
10	THE HONORABLE JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM, being duly				
11	sworn, testifies as follows:				
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. You've got a PDQ and the				
13	sworn statement. Are those ready to go into the				
14	record?				
15	JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Yes, sir.				
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Judge, you know this				
17	process, our focus of the nine evaluative				
18	criteria, which includes a ballot box survey, a				
19	thorough study of your application materials, a				
20	check for economic conflicts of interest, a				
21	search of newspaper articles in which your name				
22	appears, past screenings and your compliance with				
23	the state ethics law. No affidavits have been				
24	filed in opposition to your campaign for				
25	reelection, and no one is here to testify for				

1	you, or against you. You didn't bring any guests
2	in because you were here so early, and we thank
3	you so much for your nimbleness in getting here
4	sooner.
5	(Exhibit Number 18 was marked for identification
6	purposes - (19 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
7	The Honorable Kimaka "Kim" Nichols-Graham)
8	(Exhibit Number 19 was marked for identification
9	purposes - (5 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
10	Kimaka "Kim" Nichols-Graham.)
11	JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM: You're welcome. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I will offer you an opportunity for
13	a closing statement if you like at the very end.
14	Mr. Hinson will ask some questions, as you know,
15	and then members of the Commission may as well,
16	and then we'll go from there.
17	JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Okay.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you very much. Mr. Hinson.
19	JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY MR. HINSON:
20	Q. Good afternoon, judge. How are you doing?
21	A. I'm doing well. How are you?
22	Q. Good, good. Judge, after serving four years on
23	the Family Court, why do you want to continue
24	serving as a Family Court judge?
25	A. Because I believe that I continue I can

And

1 continue to make a difference and to help with 2 the administration of justice and that I have 3 been able to do a lot of good work. 4 Judge, what do you think your Thank you. Q. 5 reputation is among attorneys that practice 6 before you? 7 Α. I believe that I have a reputation for being 8 fair, unbiased, for being diligent and allowing 9 everyone the opportunity to be heard. 10 Judge, the Commission received 357 ballot box Q. 11 surveys regarding you with 13 additional 12 comments. The ballot box survey, for example, 13 contained the following positive comments: 14 judicial temperament and knowledge of the law. 15 She has demonstrated tremendous growth. She is 16 professional and intelligent. Judge 17 Nichols-Graham is very courteous and has a great 18 judicial temperament. A small portion of the 19 respondents questioned your knowledge of the law, particularly in complex cases. 20 How would you 21 respond to that concern? 22 I wish I knew which cases they were referring to. Α. 23 I do believe that in complex cases one side is 24 going to be upset about the decision, and if it

is a close case, then they may question it.

2.0

I would defer to the Appellate Court's assessment of my ability, and my record on appeal is pretty good. The Court of Appeals has not indicated that there has been a problem with me understanding complex issues, and they have reviewed cases involving equitable distribution, alimony, contested custody cases as well as contested termination of criminal rights cases and permanency planning hearings from the DSS cases. And I have been upheld by the Court of Appeals on those issues.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. A few questioned your temperament. How would you respond to that concern?
- A. I was surprised to hear that there was some question about my temperament because I believe I have a reputation for being a kind judge, and I want everyone to feel comfortable in the courtroom, whether or not they're attorneys, attorneys and self-represented litigants. And evidently, there are a few members of the Bar who may have responded that they indicate they may not feel comfortable with my temperament, and I will take that under consideration. I will be mindful of that going forward because my

1 intention is the opposite. Having the position 2 that I've taken, that I want everyone to be 3 comfortable in the courtroom when I'm presiding, 4 that unfortunately sometimes people mistake 5 kindness for weakness, but I still require that 6 everyone be respected and be respectful in the 7 courtroom, and I do go forward, and I am direct, 8 and I am concise. I will be mindful. 9 smile more, and I will do whatever I can to make sure that even more members of the Bar in the 10 11 community feel as comfortable as I want them to 12 feel, which I want everyone to feel comfortable 13 in my courtroom.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge, you indicated in your PDQ that in January of this year, you were sued in your official capacity as a judge. The petitioner received an unfavorable ruling in the Family Court, and you, I guess, heard a motion to reconsider. The Supreme Court unanimously dismissed the writ of mandamus as well as any other requests made. It's under seal, so obviously we can't get into specifics. Can you confirm that I have that correct, that the Supreme Court threw it out and all of the, I guess, requests that were made by the petitioner?

- A. Yes, I can confirm that the Supreme Court did not find that I had abused my discretion in any capacity whatsoever, that the writ of mandamus was denied, and all of the requests for relief by the petitioner were denied. It was denied, and then that case -- ironically, they did not appeal for the actual -- any further, any further, and so nothing else came of that matter.
- Q. Thank you, Judge.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

- 10 I would note that the Upstate Citizen MR. HINSON: 11 Committee found the judge qualified in the 12 evaluative criteria of constitutional 13 qualifications, physical health, and mental 14 The Committee found her well stability. 15 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 16 fitness, professional and academic ability, 17 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 18 temperament.
 - Q. And I just have a few housekeeping questions for you. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 23 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General

1		Assembly regarding your screening?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
4		sought or received the pledge of any legislator,
5		either prior to this date or pending the outcome
6		of your screening?
7	Α.	No.
8	Q.	Have you asked any third parties to contact
9		members of the General Assembly on your behalf,
10		or are you aware of anyone attempting to
11		intervene in this process on your behalf?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Have you viewed and do you understand the
14		Commission's guidelines on pledging and South
15		Carolina Code 2-19-70(E)?
16	A.	Yes.
17	MR.	HINSON: I would just note for the record that any
18		concerns raised during the investigation
19		regarding the judge were incorporated into the
20		questioning today, and with that, I have no
21		further questions.
22	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you. Senator
23		Garrett.
24	SENA'	TOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you,
25		young lady. Appreciate you being here, Your

TITOUR NITC

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

Honor.

JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT:

- I've went through and read everything, and out of 0. 349 you only had three. That's great. So very few any negative statements and whatnot. So for a Family Court judge who sits -- and I've been in the Family Court, and it really gets heated sometimes -- and for you to be able to keep the peace and only have three is pretty remarkable. There was one that I wanted to ask you about because I guess if after 40 years of practice in front of different judges, one thing that's always important to me is consistency, and there was one statement that you were not consistent. You want to tell us about how you view that? Were you a practicing lawyer before you got to the bench?
- A. Yes, sir, I was.
- Q. So you know what I'm talking about. You know, you go in front of one judge, and you kind of -for instance, in Laurens County, we had one
 judge, if you went in front of him and you had an
 alimony case, you were going to win it. And if
 you went to the other office, the other judge,
 you would lose the alimony case. So we always

in front of because of the consistency, and so I

3

asked you about that and will just allow you can

I am consistent. I have a heightened

knew which judge we wanted to try to get our case

4

comment on that.

Okav.

5

Α.

6 sense of the duty to protect the integrity of the

7

8 consistent from case to case and across the

9

I am not sure what that response was

court, and I make sure that my decisions are

referring to. I wish I had additional 10

11

information because I see this process as an

12

opportunity to improve. I think that everyone

13

can improve from no matter what position they're

14

operating on. And so I wish I did have

15 16

provided that, but I am extremely consistent, and

17

I'm aware of the different areas of law and how

18

they come together and how they interact.

19

response is just the exact opposite.

additional information from the person who

2.0

extremely consistent in my decisions and how they

21

impact people.

22

And you would agree that's an important ability Q.

23

or character of a sitting Family Court judge is

24

to try to be consistent with rulings, and that's

25

just one. Again, that's just one little

We

1 statement out of all those. If you were 2 inconsistent, it would seem like there'd be a lot 3 more than one, okay? So I'm telling you, I don't 4 think much about it, but the point of consistency 5 to a practitioner and to the people and to the 6 court, in my estimation, is one of the most 7 important. 8 Α. I agree. It is fundamental in protecting the 9 integrity of the court system. 10 Thank you for doing such a good job. Thank you. Q. 11 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Blackley. 12 JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY MS. BLACKLEY: 13 Good afternoon. 0. 14 Good afternoon. Α. 15 I don't think we've ever had the pleasure of Q. 16 meeting, but I want to follow up on what was just 17 Consistency is important, but we also know 18 that no two cases or scenarios could be exactly 19 So consistency could be misconstrued the same. 20 based on who is in front of you, but I want to 21 applaud you for the work that you've done. And 22 out of all the comments that came in, you got 23 about three that may be of concern for some 24 folks, but not for me as a Commissioner here. 25 get to see a myriad of comments on all

candidates, and they can go from one extreme to the other, but please don't -- I don't want you to walk away feeling any unpleasantries based on the fact that, you know, we asked you about those, but because it weighs heavily that there were way more positive, especially -- we see this especially with Family Court judges, but I also wanted to make a comment on your reference letters. You've got some great reference letters from people that I know pretty decently, and so I wanted to commend you on those. You know, Melia Jefferson, I don't think they come any better than her, and we're actually Liberty Fellows, the same Liberty Fellows class, but I know her work and her ethic as well as Mr. Sutton. appreciate what you are doing for families in your county and in your area, and I thank you for offering yourself up again. We appreciate you being here.

19 20

A. Thank you.

21

22

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, other questions?

JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

23

Q. I want to ditto what Ms. Blackley just said in terms of the both anonymous comments and the overwhelming regard and -- the overwhelming

number of folks, rather, that hold you in very high regard, and again, the negative as well, but there are far, far fewer. Senator Garrett referenced who had some issue. Again, no telling what that issue is, but again, to the point of the predominant view of your service thus far, kudos to you from folks who have weighed in anonymously and these letters of reference as well. You're in a busy part of the world, correct?

- 11 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And so I wouldn't be doing your question and answer session, justice without asking your docket management and how you specifically either bristle at what you're told to do by Columbia, perhaps, or how you have created a system that makes everyone feel like that they're being heard, regardless of the limited amount of time that you're given.
 - A. I do make sure everyone is given an opportunity to be heard in each hearing, whether or not they're represented by legal counsel. If they are a party to this case, to any case, they have an opportunity to be heard. I make sure that the attorneys have an opportunity to make their legal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arguments, and I manage my docket by trying to issue my rulings at the end of each hearing. do take some things under advisement for a brief period of time. If I need to prepare the order for various reasons, then I won't issue a complete ruling right then at the end of the hearing, or I will reserve my ruling for a small amount of time. I do add more facts into my orders for motion hearings because I want the next judge to not to have to start from scratch. And in Family Court, I think that's important because the cases are not assigned to the same judge for their duration. So I think it's important for the next judge to know exactly what my findings of fact were, even if they were temporary, even if it was a temporary ruling before the next motion hearing, so that the family doesn't feel like they have to start all over again every time they come to Family Court. And so I do write more than I anticipated that I would be writing as a Family Court judge because I do draft a good bit of my orders, but I make sure that I am timely in delivering my decisions, and I make sure that my rulings are organized, and I make sure that they understand why I

1 reached the decisions that I reached. T believe 2 I shared at some point in the process that none 3 of my decisions are arbitrary, and I want people 4 to understand why I reached the decisions that I 5 reached. And I want to help not just the next 6 family, but other members of the bench, so that 7 they don't have to start from scratch when they 8 receive a file, because we don't have a lot of 9 extra time built in our docket. And sometimes we 10 get affidavits before the most recent 11 administrative order. Sometimes we'd have a 30-12 minute hearing, and we would get boxes of 13 information. And so I am mindful and efficient 14 in that way, in that my time is useful to me, 15 it's meaningful to the parent, and it's useful to 16 the next judge that touches the case file. 17 Q. And that is an incredible gift to that litigant, 18 let alone to the judge who picks it up at a final 19 or perhaps another temporary or modification of a 20 prior order. There was one comment that suggested 21 your speed in getting orders out might not be to 22 their liking. And you've just explained that 23 perhaps, but do most -- or do you allow proposed 24 orders to be submitted by opposing parties?

25

Α.

Yes, I do, and I prefer that. But there are some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

times when my ruling is not captured in the proposed order, and I feel that justice requires me to draft the order myself. And it would be easier and it would be faster to just accept the proposed order and to write all over it, but if I have the time, I will prepare the order myself if my instructions have not been followed. And then sometimes you need extra time in contested custody cases and cases where we're dealing with older teenagers. I have reserved jurisdiction and extended the time on some cases to help with reunification counseling, and I've provided oversight to make sure that there was a legitimate effort to follow out previous orders in my order. And I understand that the attorney who lost the argument against reunification would feel that I took more time, because if I made a quick decision, then the family would not have been any reunification. If I had decided right then immediately, if I hadn't extended the time for the case, reserved jurisdiction and remained involved and added them to my docket when I found places in my docket to do like 30 day reviews for that family, then the other attorney would have felt like they won the case. So I agree, that

attorney would say I took too much time, but justice required it.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Very good. You have been in the hunt for service to this state on the Family Court bench longer than I have been on this Commission, but I remember you years back, '16, '18, particularly '18, and then you finally got it in 2020. What surprise and difference now in 2024 with what you've got now that you didn't expect that you were going to get when you were first elected? What's different now for you?
- Α. Well, there were few surprises, if any. I think the -- I didn't realize how sensitive I am when it comes to ethics. I didn't realize that everyone shared my same understanding of the court system and participating in the court system. And I thought I was a qualified candidate and a good lawyer, but I think I'm a good judge. And now I think I have a better understanding of what that means. I thought I would be a good judge before I became a judge. And now I understand that there is a difference. There is a heightened sensitivity, that's not normal, that's not average, and I think that's what you want to see in your judges. You want

judges to have a heightened sensitivity for the integrity of the court system and the judicial process.

5

Q. Real quick, your preparation for this position, indigent law, children advocacy. I'm curious, not a long answer, but in terms of we've had others ask this and other judges comment voluntarily about the mental health issues with our children. Can you speak to what you're seeing and what suggestions you might have for the handful of us that are in the House and the Senate regarding anything uniquely related to mental health challenges that you're seeing in your court?

A. I think it's often that those issues will come up in a case of some -- in some kind of way. Some party will have an impairment or a challenge when it comes to mental health. It gets quite complicated when you have the parents as well as the children sharing that same complication or the same challenge. And I'm aware that there is a push in some parts of the country for children to do more self advocacy, and I have a history of being a child advocate. However, I do not want children to believe that whatever they say in a

1 hearing will determine the outcome. I believe 2 that's too heavy of a burden for a lot of them to 3 bear, and it's not fair for them to be put in a 4 situation where they believe what they say in a courtroom is going to determine which parent 5 6 they're going to live with or whether or not 7 their parent is going to have a finding of abuse and neglect. I think I'm sensitive and I'm 8 9 mindful of that. If it is beneficial to the 10 child and therapeutic to the child to participate 11 directly in the courtroom with their parent, then 12 that's in the best interest of the child. 13 it's too easy for us to have children testifying 14 when we have other professionals that can provide 15 the evidence that we are asking the children to 16 provide for the adults. So I'm sensitive to 17 that, and I encourage people to use the rules of 18 evidence and the different ways to get evidence 19 in and to limit the number of times that we in 2.0 Family Court -- which is a civil court, it's 21 different than the criminal side -- rely on minor 22 children to bear those burdens because it does 23 affect mental health. 24 Q.

Q. The last point about the child being the font of whatever information versus the expert being

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

called on, are you talking what is your -- you're being sensitive to what, coaching by the parent or credibility of the child who -- or are just putting too heavy a burden on them even participating or other -- what -- help me understand what you're talking about.

Α. I think this. When they want to be heard and they need to be heard and it's therapeutic for them to be heard, that is what is preferred. when there are other ways to receive that evidence and the child does not want to be in that situation, then it can -- I just think we have to be careful. We have to be mindful. Τf they want to blame anybody after the hearing, I want them to blame me instead of themselves or the other parent. I am accountable for decisions that are made on those -- in those situations that are unfortunate. I don't want the minor child to be held accountable or to feel like it was their fault if a decision does not go away a certain way. And so I'm sensitive to that. I guess that -- but there are other issues that mental health impacts in the court system. That's just one of the issues that came to mind. That is something that you probably would not have

1 anticipated from a judge who used to be a 2 children's advocate. I am now a judge, but I am 3 still, you know, protecting what's in the best 4 interest of a child. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right, Judge. Any 6 other questions? Mr. Safran. 7 JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 8 Just very briefly. I think what I'm hearing from 0. 9 you is this, you don't want to lay the burden on 10 the child to say, it was me saying this or not 11 saying this that cost something for mom or cost 12 dad this right, that right. I mean, that's what 13 I'm hearing. 14 I want the adults to be the responsible Α. 15 individuals, and I don't want the children to feel like it was their fault. 16 And let me switch gears with you. I think there 17 Q. was some criticism as it related to the 18 19 timeliness of orders. And I understood you to 20 say that you at least afford the attorneys the 21 opportunity to at least draft the orders, and 22 then ultimately they're your orders. And what 23 I'm hearing is, if they're not really 24 satisfactory to bear your signature, you're going

to make them that way.

- 1 A. And that is an issue some of the time, yes.
- Q. Okay. And I mean, because at the end of the day,
 you want an order that fully expresses what you
 want, and one, frankly, that if it's appealed,
 that it's going to hold up on appeal, right?
 - A. Right. And I may want more facts, or I may have instructed the certain things be placed in the order that may not be an attorney's client's best interest to be in the order. It has to be in the order.
 - Q. Well, but I mean, I think the point is, is that, you know, even if you are telling one party versus another to say, I want you to draft it, they ultimately are responsible to convey your ruling, to basically put in there what your feelings are, not necessarily leave out what's not advantageous to them, correct?
- 18 | A. Correct.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. So that's why I think I'm assuming you're going to have to do some doctoring of the order later; is that fair?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. We had some testimony earlier, I guess, about
 some people who are fortunate enough in the
 Family Court area to have a law clerk. Do you

I mean,

think having a law clerk, even if it was a shared
law clerk with, say, another judge, would be
beneficial to you in terms of giving you more
time to maybe do the things that would afford
litigants maybe more opportunity to have longer
hearings or to basically be able to get these

orders done in a more timely fashion?

would that be helpful to you?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

It is helpful. And when I have cases in Α. Greenville, we do have access to a law clerk that's shared among the judges in Greenville, but they don't sit in the courtroom with the judges. I know we traditionally think of law clerks who sit in the courtroom with the judges. I think it would be even more beneficial for the law clerk to sit in the courtroom with the judge, and so that -- it would be a better use of time that way, but it's definitely beneficial to share a law clerk or to have access to any kind of law And we have more -- I believe we have clerk. more self-represented litigants. I haven't looked at the data, but I know we have a good bit of self-represented litigants in Family Court. don't know if it's more than it was, you know, ten years ago, but I know there are a lot of self

1 represented litigants and people who lose legal 2 representation or relieve their attorney during 3 the course of a case, and they may be the 4 plaintiff, and they cannot prepare an order. 5 Now, if they have the means to consult with an 6 attorney, I have been known to tell them to 7 consult with an attorney to draft an order. Ιf 8 it's clear that they have the means and they have 9 just chosen to represent themselves, then I have 10 been known to do that. But it is -- the use of a 11 law clerk is definitely beneficial in Family 12 Court. 13 0. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Any other members of the 15 Commission? Judge, without that, and again, I'll 16 offer you the opportunity for any further 17 comments, closing remarks, you'd like to make. 18 JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Just thank you for this 19 opportunity to serve the state, and I hope I 2.0 receive the opportunity to continue to serve the 21 state in this capacity. Thank you for your 22 service, and I appreciate this opportunity to 23 improve.

group, I'll assure you. Much more time in our

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you are well received by this

24

1	exchange. At every turn, you are conveying how		
2	to improve and the difficulty in carrying this		
3	job out, but doing it so well so far since 2020.		
4	So kudos to you in the path and the reception of		
5	how can we make it better. So with that, this		
6	will conclude this portion of the screening		
7	process. Let me remind you that any violation by		
8	you of the spirit or the letter of the state		
9	ethics law would be being very seriously		
10	considered by us. You understand that the record		
11	is not closed until the formal release of the		
12	record of qualifications. In the unlikely event		
13	you were to go astray of ethics law, you		
14	understand we could call you back for		
15	questionings in that regard, correct?		
16	JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Yes, I understand.		
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, thank you, and thank you for		
18	being early, and wish you a wonderful		
19	Thanksgiving.		
20	JUDGE NICHOLS-GRAHAM: Thank you. Thank you all.		
21	(OFF THE RECORD)		
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge Madden, welcome.		
23	JUDGE MADDEN: Thank you.		
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, you have before you the PDQ		
25	and the sworn statement. Are those ready to come		

1 into the record? 2 JUDGE MADDEN: They are. (Exhibit Number 20 was marked for identification 3 4 purposes - (19 pages) Personal Data Ouestionnaire for 5 The Honorable Timothy E. Madden.) (Exhibit Number 21 was marked for identification 6 7 purposes - (7 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable 8 Timothy E. Madden.) 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Judge, you know this process. 10 Our focus on the nine evaluative criteria as we 11 consider your candidacy for reelection to Family And you know that those focus on the nine 12 Court. 13 evaluative criteria, which includes a ballot box 14 survey, a thorough study of your application materials, verification of your compliance with 15 the state ethics law, a search of newspaper 16 17 articles in which your name appears, a search of 18 previous screenings and then a check for economic conflicts of interest. No affidavit or complaint 19 2.0 has been filed in opposition to your reelection. 21 And we are five minutes early with you, and so I 22 would offer you five minutes to make any opening 23 statements, but strongly urge you not to make any 24 opening statements. And if you haven't said 25 something in the full exchange, I'll offer you

1	the opportunity for a closing statement.		
2	JUDGE MADDEN: In furtherance of my acquisition of		
3	good listening skills, I have heard you, and I		
4	have nothing to say at this time, and I'm happy		
5	to answer your questions.		
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We welcome you, and now we'll turn		
7	it over to Ms. Crawford and then to members of		
8	the Commission. Very well. Thank you. And you		
9	did take an oath? Did I?		
10	JUDGE MADDEN: Not yet.		
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Forgive me. Why don't we do that?		
12	JUDGE MADDEN: Okay.		
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That right hand comes up, and you		
14	say		
15	THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY E. MADDEN, being duly		
16	sworn, testifies as follows:		
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well.		
18	JUDGE MADDEN: Thank you.		
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Sorry. Thanks.		
20	JUDGE MADDEN - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:		
21	Q. Good morning, Judge. Judge, you've now been on		
22	the Family Court for about four years.		
23	A. That's right.		
24	Q. Is that correct? And why do you want to continue		
25	service?		

- 1 Α. There are four big reasons. One is to continue 2 to fulfill a commitment to public service, which 3 is very important to me and that I want to honor. 4 Two is to give back to this profession, the legal 5 community. And three is to help people in their most troubled time in life to find reasonable 6 7 solutions that they have not been otherwise able 8 to find. And four is to help meaningfully 9 improve the Family Court experience for everyone 10 who participates. 11
 - Q. And what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
 - A. I believe my reputation is that I am prepared, punctual, hardworking, knowledgeable of the law, knowledgeable of the rules of evidence, knowledgeable of the rules of civil procedure, a stickler for details, not easily convinced. That is, I look for more than a superficial showing. I hope that's my reputation. Maybe I said it the way I wanted it to sound rather than the way it is, but I hope that's my reputation.
 - Q. We'll segue into the ballot box in a minute.
- 23 A. Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

24

25

Q. What do you think your reputation is among court personnel and staff?

- 1 Α. I believe that to be very good. I've had a good 2 working relationship with those who I have 3 employed through the state as my administrative 4 assistant or otherwise, those deputies in the 5 courtroom, one of whom wrote a letter as one of 6 my five letters -- is from a deputy up in York 7 County who I developed a friendship with outside 8 of the work in the courtroom. I had a very good 9 working relationship with the clerk of court and 10 the deputy clerk of court in Greenville over the 11 last year when I was chief administrative judge. 12 And with court reporters and other court 13 monitors, I don't recall any adverse interactions 14 with any of them. 15 Q.
 - Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge, the Commission received 465 ballot box surveys regarding you with 76 additional comments. It contained the following positive comments. These are some examples. One of the best legal minds in the state, excellent judge, particularly for complex cases. He keeps good control over his courtroom and requires attorneys to be prepared for hearings and to draft solid orders. Exceptional job as administrative judge in Greenville County this past year. He caught up the backlog during this

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

time, implemented a process to have hearings heard within 30 days and streamlined scheduling. Finally, unparalleled knowledge of family law. However, 21 of these written comments expressed concerns, and the majority of these concerns deal with your temperament, focusing on your treatment of attorneys and, in some instances, court staff. Of note and specifically, many comments used adjectives such as rude, arrogant, unpredictable. How do you respond to this comment?

I'm surprised to hear that. I don't -- I'm surprised to hear that, and you shared that with me before today, and I certainly have taken that into account in making sure that whatever I do that may have been perceived as rude, arrogant, or unpredictable, that I've attended to that. But I am always punctual. And if someone is not ready to go and I am hustling them along and that's perceived as being rude, I apologize if that's the perception they received, but I'm not apologizing for being punctual. There are many times when I have had hearings where I did not believe that a lawyer presented sufficient evidence to support what was being asked for. And I would have asked additional questions and

And the

That's

1 may have said to the lawyer something along the 2 lines, I needed that level of detail, and that 3 could be perceived as being arrogant. I have no 4 idea where the comment about court staff came 5 from. In the entire time I've been on the bench, 6 I remember one time where I popped off at a 7 deputy because he brought a juvenile into the courtroom in shackles. And I said, what is that 8 9 juvenile doing in shackles? Because the rule is 10 you don't bring them in in shackles. You have to 11 ask permission to put them in shackles. 12 deputy apologized, took the juvenile out, and 13 after the hearing, or actually when they brought 14 the juvenile back in while on the record, I 15 apologized to him. I said, I want to apologize. 16 I shouldn't have popped off like that. the best way I can answer those. 17 18 0. 19 20

Thank you, Judge. And while several or numerous comments noted your intellect, knowledge of the law, noted that it's unparalleled in the Family Court area, there are several comments that indicate that you lack experience and perhaps poor judgment in some areas, notably juvenile What response would you offer to this matters. concern?

21

22

23

24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Α.

Well, as I told this Committee five years ago, I		
do lack experience in juvenile matters. I		
certainly have a lot more of it today than I had		
five years ago, and I have done all that I know		
to do to capture that learning curve. I don't		
really know where that comment comes from about		
that not serving the juvenile community well. I		
try to take extra time in juvenile hearings, but		
as this Committee probably knows, juvenile		
hearings really consist of two types of basic		
hearings. One is a detention hearing, whether		
that juvenile is going to stay go to Columbia		
and stay or stay in Columbia until the case is		
disposed of. And the other is the dispositional		
hearing, which is an adjudication of guilt. In		
the entire time I've been on the bench, I've had		
one trial of a juvenile maybe two. Almost all		
juvenile cases are either pled or dismissed. And		
so the extent to which a Family Court judge is		
spending time in juvenile cases is mostly spent		
in taking guilty pleas and deciding whether or		
not those juveniles stay in detention or in the		
appropriate disposition of a charge, which you		
always have three recommendations in the		
courtroom, one from DJJ, whose job it is to help		

2.0

rehabilitate these juveniles, one from the state and one from the attorney representing the juvenile. And so in dispositional hearings, I carefully consider all of that information. Now, I don't always follow it lock, stock, and barrel, and that might be frustrating to some people because I tend to craft my own solutions, which I think meet the issue before.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. The comments and by way of reputation, I've heard of two different types of physical feedback you are renowned for giving litigants or attorneys. One -- and we'll address them separately. One is the do better stamp or card. Can you elaborate on that and how often do you actually do that, or is this an urban myth?
- A. It's become one. About three years ago, my assistant heard me telling another judge or another judge telling me, you know, you get into these hearings and this happens and that happens, and sometimes you just want to say to the lawyers, do better, do better. And for Christmas that year, she gave me a rubber stamp that says do better. And so I have this rubber stamp. It says do better in red letters, and I give it to myself every day. That's kind of how I start my

1 day is I remember to do better. And then from 2 time to time, I've had hearings where I might hand a lawyer back an order that's been submitted 3 4 that needed some work and stamp do better on that 5 lawyer's order. In the entirety of the time that 6 I've owned the stamp, I know that there are less 7 than ten times that I have given -- stamped it on 8 a document and handed it back to a lawyer or 9 given it to someone. I did stamp it on my 10 business card, which, by the way, also includes 11 my cell phone number, and I've given that to a 12 couple of people, but I have never done it in an 13 embarrassing way. So ten people have received a 14 do better admonishment, and they have all been 15 well deserved, in my opinion, based on whatever I 16 saw, either the written work product or the 17 product in the courtroom. 18 And the second physical type of feedback or 0.

Q. And the second physical type of feedback or physical thing that you've been known to give people is something called a challenge. Was it a challenge coin that you instituted? Can you explain what that is?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Sure. I brought one because you asked me to.

I'll let Mr. Strom pass it around. So when I

went on the bench, there were judges who had

1 cultivated a tradition of giving adopted children 2 or children in adoption hearings stuffed animals. 3 And I am not a warm and fuzzy person, nor am I a 4 stuffed animal person, and that just didn't suit 5 my personality, but I did want to do something to 6 recognize and appreciate the significance of that 7 day for that family. And I knew that the 8 military had this concept called challenge coins. 9 I never served, but I took their concept, made it 10 a little bit bigger so it could be called a 11 medallion, had one made with the state seal on it that you've seen and a little sketch on the back 12 13 about children and families and Family Court, and 14 every adoptive family receives one. And that's 15 been a fun thing for me. We now have about ten 16 other judges who are doing the same thing. all use the same medallion, and I think I'm on 17 18 about my fourth reorder of those. We do them at 19 They're not terribly expensive, our own expense. 2.0 but they look a lot more expensive than they are. 21 And that's been a thing that I have felt like has 22 added value to those adoption hearings. 23 Thank you, Judge. Judge, on to the Citizens Q. 24 Committee report. I'd note that they found you 25 well qualified as to the evaluative criteria of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, and experience, and qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, mental stability and judicial temperament. Committee stated in summary: "We had a lengthy and candid discussion with Judge Madden. The Committee spoke with dozens of attorneys that appear before him in advance of this interview." The consensus from our interviews was that while he is given the highest marks, one attorney calling him the "most competent Family Court judge in the state" from private Family Court There is a concern with his treatment attorneys. of institutional lawyers in his courtroom. zeal for excellence shows in a vastly improved docket in Greenville County, and the Family Court is well run and efficient. That same demand for excellence creates tension for attorneys with a significant number of clients. While every attorney is held to the same standards, the practicality of handling a massive caseload needs to be acknowledged. He understands that he needs to be aware that the agency attorneys are burdened with a heavy docket. We discussed this

2

3

Α.

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

in our interview. Would you like to give the Commission your thoughts on this comment?

Yeah, I'm happy to do so. You know, I look back at my testimony before this Commission five years ago, and one of the things that I told you then that I was keenly aware of is the burden placed on our agencies for the work that they do. specifically I'm talking about the Department of Social Services because I know that they are all overworked. I know that they are spread thin in their resources, so I was surprised when the Citizens Committee raised this issue. The way it was raised with me in the Citizens Committee is that I held the DSS lawyers to too high of a standard, and I expected too much of them, and I took issue with the Citizens Committee with that, and I take issue with it today. If there is anybody who walks in a Family Court, it ought to be a lawyer who's charged with the responsibility of protecting our abused and neglected children who gets held to the highest possible standard. And I have done that with DSS lawyers, and I have been insistent that DSS move their cases along, and I have certainly now been criticized for I know in many counties when I started, that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and they had not had DSS day with me, it was customary that lots of people were in the courtroom, that it was very loud and noisy and disorganized, and I immediately stopped that. my mind, that was not the way to get things done, and when cases were set at a certain time, I insisted that they be called by that point in So I kept them to a docket, and if somebody didn't get served, I told the lawyer they were responsible for not getting that person served, and that was one of the criticisms that I received from the Citizen Committee, that I couldn't hold the DSS lawyer responsible for something that a paralegal was supposed to do. Ι take issue with that. A lawyer is responsible for the work of his or her staff, whether you work for the state of South Carolina or you work for Mr. Garrett's law firm. It doesn't matter, and so those are the kinds of things that I've done, and I don't apologize for the work for lawyers with DSS believing that they are now being held accountable and held to a high standard, and I intend to continue to do that. Now, one of the things I did after that Citizens Committee is I started trying to make sure that I

1 was in the right frame of mind as every hearing 2 So now, as soon as a hearing is started. 3 concluded, I will leave the bench, and I wait 4 until they have the next hearing ready before I 5 walk back in because what I found for me is that that creates a whole different impression for me 6 7 of the hearing and for them of me. It calls that 8 hearing to order just like every hearing ought to 9 be called to order. It sets the tone, it creates 10 a little bit of calm, and it moves us on. 11 while I don't agree with the comments that were 12 made, I did not ignore them, and I have tried to 13 improve and do better. 14 Thank you, Judge. A couple housekeeping issues Q. 15 on my end, and then the Commission members will 16 ask you some questions. Since submitting your

- letter of intent, have you contacted any members of this Commission?
- 19 No. Α.

17

- Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 20 Q. 21 the limitations on contacting members of the 22 General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 25 sought or received the pledge of any legislator,

1		either prior to this date or pending the outcome
2		of your screening?
3	Α.	No.
4	Q.	Have you asked any third parties to contact
5		members of the General Assembly on your behalf?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	Are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene
8		in this process on your behalf?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	Have you reviewed and do you understand the
11		Commission's guidelines on pledging in South
12		Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)?
13	А.	I have and I do.
14	MS.	CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the
15		record that any concerns raised during the
16		investigation regarding the candidate were
17		incorporated into the questions I raised today.
18	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: All right. Members of the
19		Commission, questions?
20	JUDGE MAD	DEN - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
21	Q.	Judge Madden, I know you from our days at the
22		State Infrastructure Bank. We were on the first
23		board.
24	А.	Yes, sir.
25	Q.	And that seems like 80 years ago. And I grew to

1 appreciate who you were and the way you dealt 2 with people back then. I remember, and I've 3 known you ever since, admired you. We share the 4 same recognition of folks that you would want to 5 have the letters of reference written on behalf 6 of you as you do. I don't know them as well, but 7 it does pain me six years ago or four years ago -8 - was it four --

A. It was five to this month.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- five. And it pains me probably no less than you to hear anything but positive and for me to read anything less than positive. Without a doubt, you're in a highly-trafficked circuit up there. Y'all have how many Family Court judges in Greenville that are resident and/or are generally assigned though at large?
- A. We have seven who live in Greenville County,
 three of whom are at large, but we hold between
 four and five terms of court every week.
- Q. We have had judges perhaps from less trafficked areas who have gotten no negative comments. I am sensitive to folks gaming a judge who might be a snap, crackle, and pop judge who they just don't like the results of or like the treatment of being held to a standard that you were held to or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was held to or others maybe older. So it pains me to hear this. It pains me to talk about it. In terms of that, what we call kind of the sweet spot of the light touch, they overwhelmingly say that you are the brightest guy, one of the brightest judges, the most accomplished lawyers out there, and I know that. You can't get any more creds or credibility as being one of the brightest Family Court litigators that our Transitioning to becoming state's ever known. the nicest or the most fair or perhaps the working well with the little man and the big man or the little woman and the little or the big woman -- again, pick however -- either you're being gamed a little, and they're fewer than positive without a doubt, but it's more than just three or four. So to the folks that will watch this and that know you to be the type judge that you've told us that you want to be, as Tom Traxler wrote in 2019 about you, when he defined robitus -- great lengths he goes to describe what we all know to be that guy or that gal, speak to the point that you are not committing the sin of Speak to that as best you can if you understand the theme of my question.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

Α.

Sure. You know, when I think about robitus, I think of those experiences you and I had and others of our generation or older who have had in front of judges who literally gave you a dressing down in the presence of your client or who took you to task or who twisted your arm until it broke to try to get you to settle a case, who talked more than he or she listened. Those are the kinds of things that I remember and think about that I 100 percent have avoided doing. I believe that if you talk to these people who have made any of these comments, they will tell you to a person that I listen more than I talk, that I don't embarrass anyone in front of a client, and that to the extent that I am doing things that is a more systematic approach to improve the Family Court experience, that it is just that, that it is indifferent as to the person or the place, and it's not about me and my proclivities one way or the other, but it's about improving that atmosphere and making it a respected institution where people, 50 percent of the married people, end up. And so it's the one court that we all know somebody has probably gone through or going to go through, and it's my job

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to make sure, as I see it, that that institution has the highest possible level of respect. And so none of this, in whatever way it's coming back to you, is derived from an atmosphere where I'm taking a lawyer to the woodshed, as I might have been taken in front of certain judges, but more about -- I believe, more about me asking an extra question or two or insisting on compliance with a statute when it hadn't been complied with. made the DSS lawyers in Lexington County furious because I dismissed several of their cases. dismissed them because they were two years old and they hadn't served somebody. And I said, you've got to do better than that. We cannot -go re-file them. That's without prejudice. not harming a child. I've been to -- and Senator Rankin, I have been to 30 counties. So in the first three years on the bench, I spent very little time in Greenville County. And so I had the opportunity to enjoy time in lots of places, and lots of places in South Carolina do things their own way. And sometimes when a judge from out of town comes in and does things the way that judge does them, it's perceived to be something other than what I wanted it to be, which is

adherence to the rules of law, rules of evidence,
the rules of civil procedure. I also -- I take
some respite in the fact that I don't think
anybody said decisions were not fair, that the

5 outcome was not reasonable. And that's my

6 reaction.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And again, no different than I would expect. so, again, my theme here, analogy of the sweet spot, we have corporately struggled with the taskmaster who pays more attention to the clock or perhaps the form versus the substance and the humanity that goes on more in a Family Court. As you recognize and have already stated, that suggests perhaps that they've got to run this They've got to get these cases done. factory. You inherited a backlog. You've been complimented for creating a system that has effectively, I guess, erased that backlog. But to the person who says that you are not courteous, rather they say you're discourteous or that you are perhaps more -- again, theme and granted the exception of the comments rather than the whole, but if they're believing that or telling us that it is more mechanical than human, that would be my takeaway from that. Speak to

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that and disabuse me or the world or, again, whoever, that that is what's happening in your courtroom.

Well, I don't want there to be any confusion. Α. As much as I'm punctual and as much as I want to move a docket, I don't do that to the disadvantage of the litigants who are spending their time in the Family Court. And I cut no one off and I give everyone their full opportunity to be heard. And even when lawyers have done things that would be completely offensive to all of you, I have not held their client responsible for those failings. For example, the lawyer who failed to show up for a hearing for 35 minutes after it was scheduled to start left with a decision in favor of that lawyer's client. there are times in my life where if that had happened, that client would have been penalized for the tardiness of his or her lawyer. have tried to be very conscious of who's really in the game and making sure that the outcome of the game is directed toward helping those people and not so worried about the lawyers. lawyers who are being held accountable are being held accountable for things that they should know

1 how to do and should do better, not to try to 2 make them into something that they're not. 3 One comment hits that. I know attorneys who Q. 4 believe he is too strict, but he makes everyone in the courtroom follow laws and rules without 5 6 exception. I don't believe he's strict. Rather, 7 he follows and upholds our laws and rules. Ι 8 appreciate that. So, again, this is not the 9 It is more than just a couple of majority. 10 outliers, and that I think for our purposes or 11 mine at this point in terms of getting you to 12 answer your critics. Again, anonymous though 13 they may be, that's part of our job here. 14 to stop and invite anybody else if they've got 15 questions. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 17 MR. SAFRAN: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. 18 JUDGE MADDEN - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 19 Q. Judge Madden, I think you and I kind of came up 20 about the same time, and I hear you. I mean, I 21 think coming through that period, there were many a judge that did not suffer fools at all, and 22 23 they let you know it. And unfortunately, I think 24 maybe people have become more thin skinned.

mean, I've noticed that, frankly, people go in

now, and they might think it's okay to come in
unprepared. They may think that it's okay to
kind of fumble around and to not really be up to
par for what they're there for. And I'm assuming
that's -- if we're talking about some of these
responses, those are the instances where they're
probably occurring. Is that a fair statement?

- A. I would assume so. That is my impression as well.
- 10 Q. Well, and let me ask you this. I mean, do you think some of the lawyers are intimidated --
- 12 | A. Yes.

8

- 13 Q. -- just because of what you've got on the table?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. And do you think it's fair for them to feel that
 way if they are not used to meeting the standard
 that maybe we all think ought to be where the Bar
 is?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And, I mean, so you're sensitive to that, at least in the sense that you're not holding it against the clients just because the lawyers may not be up to snuff that day.
- 24 A. Exactly.
- 25 Q. Now, let me ask you. I see here that you've kind

of moved things in a way which we all admire.
You know, you get these backlogs, and that's the excuse for basically why things don't get done the way they should in Family Court. I mean, have you heard that too?

A. Once or twice.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- All right. So let me ask this. I was intriqued Q. by the local rules, and I have sat in here as somebody who hadn't been in Family Court as a practitioner in many years by choice, but I still remember, and I hear some things because I'm not up to date on all of it that scare me. And such as going to a hearing, in a temporary hearing, where we all know that the tone is going to be set for that case substantially on that day, that in many instances what's going to end up is what's happening on that day, and we're basically short of time because they're only giving you, what, 15 minutes many times for these things. Is that normally the case?
- 21 A. Most of the time.
 - Q. And yet, for instance, like one party doesn't know what the other one's going to say in an affidavit until they effectively walk in the room. Is there something that can be done to

3

4

5 6

7

Α.

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

remedy that, at least give you a few days' notice so that you can come in there and spend the time arguing as the attorney to hit the stuff that you are sitting there scrambling to figure out when you walk in the door? I mean, can't that be remedied by a local rule, possibly?

Well, Mr. Safran, you've said local rule twice. We can't have any local rules, but what we can do is try to manage our docket in a way that in that particular county, when that chief administrative judge is in charge, that everybody knows how much time to request or how the docket's going to get But there is a rule, Rule 21, of the Family Court rules, that specifically addresses temporary hearings and specifically says how much time you give for notice and what has to be served and when. And your question is timely because just last week I was participating in the Family Law Council meeting with Judge Buchanan. Judge Buchanan and I have been working on a redraft of Rule 21, which would change it to allow more time for notice of that hearing, which would require, if adopted, the service of affidavits in advance and do things that would make temporary hearings more like motion practice

1 in Circuit Court. And so there are many who 2 believe that that should happen and that there is 3 room for improvement, that there is a do better 4 opportunity with temporary hearings. I am among 5 them and am trying to do what I can to improve 6 that. But with respect to the motions practice, 7 particularly as it exists today, one of the 8 things that we did in Greenville County is that 9 we set those motions for temporary relief at 9 10 o'clock and set three at a time. So we triple 11 booked them. And what that does is it makes 12 people sit in the hallway and talk and exchange 13 their information and give them the opportunity 14 to not be quite so attacked. Now, if you're 15 first up and you haven't worked it out, you don't 16 get that opportunity to talk. But it's those 17 little things that I remember from practice that 18 needing that opportunity to have that 19 conversation that I think have been beneficial in 2.0 helping reduce the number of hearing -- contested 21 hearings, and have made the outcomes better for 22 everybody involved. 23 And I show my ignorance by using the word local Q.

Q. And I show my ignorance by using the word local rules.

24

25

A. That's okay. I just want to make sure that --

- Q. No, because that's what I --
- A. I wouldn't want to get charged with creating a local rule.
 - Q. No, no, no. But I mean I'm picking up basically from the comments, and I guess there are certain things that as the administrative judge that maybe policies that you might massage a little bit that might be more in line with trying to get the things done you want, okay?
 - A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I guess my concern has been throughout that 0. it seems like there's got to be a better way to run the railroad. And that effectively when we look at what's at stake, we look at the high charged emotions in these particular hearings, I mean that's where Family Court is. That's what it's all about. It just strikes me sitting here, and I mean six years ago we went through this the same way, that it seems like as much as we've got to follow -- the standard is this is how much time you get, but it seems like sometimes we're basically putting form over substance, and that essentially what's going on is that we are denying at the outset, when things are probably going to have the most impact, the time, the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

And here's the thing. And look, I don't mince

opportunity to be able to make a meaningful presentation as opposed to letting it happen. And then you're way behind the eight ball by the time you come back for that final hearing. so to that extent, I get the sense that you're trying to basically improve the system.

- Α. One hundred percent, one hundred percent.
- Q. words about anything. We all remember going in front of judges who were very, very capable, but at the same time maybe impressed us like it was Andy Griffith, okay. I mean, we have had those. And that's kind of the best of all worlds, but not everybody's Andy Griffith. And so I guess the question that the chairman was kind of driving at that we all ask, we have in the time I've been here, and this will be my last hurrah this year, kind of had situations, and I'm not looking to call this one that, where some people gave the impression that going in front of a certain judge was a harrowing experience, that it was that they felt terrorized. Now, I don't get that necessarily from what I'm reading here, but, you know -- and I'm not going to ask you at your stage of life and career to basically change your

1 personality because it ain't happening, okay. Ι 2 get that, but what, if anything, is there that 3 could potentially occur where maybe they don't 4 feel quite as intimidated, where maybe they don't 5 feel necessarily that, hey, he's talking down to 6 And, I mean, look, I'm first to say, if me? 7 they're not ready, if they're not prepared, 8 unfortunately sometimes it's not remediable, 9 I get it, but, I mean, what's your thought 10 on that?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, I think time helps with that. Α. The more we get used to the way a judge handles his or her courtroom, the less that you have adverse reactions versus a new judge who comes in on day one and has changed not the standard but has changed the approach. And so I think that helps. I think that helps with judges. The longer a judge is on the bench, I don't think a judge gets worse with age. I think they get more accepting, unfortunately, that it's not always going to be the way that you want it to be or would expect it And, you know, feedback every few years like this is certainly valuable. I mean, as I think I told Ms. Crawford and I know I've told others, I believe that -- and I think this has

been the pending legislation -- I think a midterm survey of judges is helpful because we don't receive criticism. Even those who have responded anonymously with the adverse comments that have been mentioned here are never going to say that to my face. And so we need some method by which to get that feedback so that we can all be a little introspective and learn from it.

- Q. Let me say this. I applaud the idea that we all ought to be held to as high a standard as can be sustained, okay. You came from that. I try to subscribe to that, hopefully more often than not. But, you know, I don't believe that we ought to be, "dumbing down" anything. I don't think we lower the standard just to make people happy. I mean, and I think that is certainly --
- A. You and I agree on that point.

Q. Yeah, I agree. And I think the point is this. I also feel very strongly that when you look at a situation like DSS, the power they have to basically change lives, that it can't just be they run the show, that you've got to have somebody there who actually is the ringmaster, and you as the judge have to be to say, you guys are going to have to do these things because I've

1 got to make sure the power is checked to some 2 extent, that this is not just going to be 3 rampant. And unfortunately I think other judges 4 have probably let them run the show and that 5 basically they don't hold them to that standard. 6 So I have no criticism whatsoever over that because I know just how much DSS can change 7 8 things on a whim, and I think you've seen it too, 9 and I think you've responded appropriately to it. 10 But, you know, just pretty much I think you've 11 I don't think this is ever given me the answers. 12 going to be any kind of an attack on your 13 intellect, on your ability or your fairness. 14 at the end of the day, those are the things that 15 really matter. I just think that we have to more 16 or less express it to you just in the hopes that 17 maybe over time, you know, things do soften a 18 little bit. I mean we see, for instance, before 19 you came in, Judge Smoak. I'm sure you're 20 familiar with him over time. 21 Α. I am. I've known him since law school. He's as good a 22 0.

Q. I've known him since law school. He's as good a guy as you'll ever meet, but, you know, that's his style, okay. That's not your style, but I hope maybe somewhere in between, you know, you'll

23

24

1 find something down the road. Thank you for 2 returning. Thank you for some candor here that I think was necessary. 3 4 Thank you. Α. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Other members of the Ms. Blackley. 6 Commission? 7 JUDGE MADDEN - EXAMINATION BY MS. BLACKLEY: 8 Good afternoon, Judge. Q. 9 Α. Good afternoon. 10 Good to see you. I often like to -- when I see Q. 11 something that I find a little disturbing, I like 12 to ask so someone can defend themselves. I do 13 think that I agree with everything that Mr. 14 Safran has said and what our chairman has said. 15 But I wanted to ask you in particular about one 16 comment, and I won't read the whole thing, but 17 there was an example given about an assault and 18 battery that was on video where a defendant was 19 clearly the primary aggressor and the victim was 20 hit from behind while walking down the hall. 21 it indicates that you called it a school fight

22

23

24

25

and dismissed the case. And they're basically

saying that you don't follow the law when it's

clearly written in criminal code in juvenile

cases and that you have a tendency to dismiss

juvenile cases. I would love for you to tell us
about that. I know that -- I mean, we don't have

to go into confidential details --

A. No, no.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- but I would love for you to be able to respond to that.
 - Α. Yeah, I'm happy to. I can tell you exactly where those comments are coming from. They're coming from York County, where I've spent a fair amount of time. I want to say, secondly, there was no video played in my courtroom of an assault where a child was hit from behind. I've never seen that, but I have made the comment that in light of the facts, that no juvenile delinquency adjudication is going to be made because this is a school disciplinary matter. And I've done that probably five times in that same circuit from that same school district where they immediately call law enforcement for every fight on Two of those cases involved schoolhouse grounds. relatively small in stature children who were being bullied and retaliated, one of whom was being bullied for his sexual orientation. other was being bullied for something else, but both of them had had enough, and they popped off

1 and hit somebody. And I took all of those facts 2 into account, and they had no prior history, and 3 I said, did the school discipline these children? 4 And they said they did, and I said that I'm 5 dismissing this case, which I as a Family Court 6 judge have the prerogative to do, and that's what 7 I did. And those were the only times that I have 8 ever dismissed cases, and at the same time, I've 9 never had a juvenile come in front of me who had 10 a gun charge that didn't get detained until his 11 charge was adjudicated. And I have never been 12 light in imposing rehabilitative measures for a 13 juvenile who's been involved in a violent crime 14 or a gun charge or things like that. Does that 15 address your question?

- Q. Definitely. I wanted you to have the opportunity to respond to that.
- A. Thank you.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And, you know, when I first started reading these comments, I was like, whoa, that's not what I remember when you were running. But I mean, there has been time to build up opinions and ideas and thoughts of folks, and I've been on the receiving end of being maybe a little too firm or, I guess, opinionated, but, you know, my thing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

is let's follow the rules, and we're going to be held to that same standard. You know, I do want to also give you the opportunity -- because what was concerning probably most is that supposedly some court officials felt like you've been rude to them or maybe treated unkind. I know you touched on it, but would you like to say anything else about that?

I really don't know where that's coming from. Α. Ι have -- as I said, I've been to 30 counties, and I have enjoyed a very good working relationship with bailiffs and deputies and court reporters. And, I mean, I've received gifts from court reporters for Christmas that I held court with a year or two before. I mean, so I really don't know where that's coming from. I'm sorry. would be happy to defend it, but I am respectful of the jobs they have to do. I am always attentive to security concerns. You know, the clerk of court who comes in on DSS, you know, Child Support Enforcement Day, I may challenge them a little bit to show that the order was actually personally served on the person before I lock somebody up for failure to pay child support, but other than that, I don't know where

tha

that's coming from.

- Q. Well, sometimes firmness can be taken as rudeness or whatever, and I understand all of that, and I would challenge when I was clerk that we would be able to answer those questions for a judge. And I can tell you most support staff in the court system like judges who can get things done and we can get cases moved, which is probably the most important thing. So I just wanted you to have the opportunity because that one right there was kind of disturbing to me, and I wanted you to have the opportunity to respond, and I wish you all the best.
- A. Thank you. You know, of every adverse comment, that one concerned me the most because I couldn't figure out where it came from.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett.

18 JUDGE MADDEN - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT:

Q. Thank you for your movement towards re-election.

I happen to like friendly, fair, but firm judges.

I think that should be a tradition. That should be a standard. And sometimes I think there's a confusion between moving in a fast pace and helping somebody out, you know, to help them out and make them feel good. I actually think that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's just the other way around. I think the discipline, following the rules, understanding the rules, being consistent actually helps the substance. It raises the bar, ultimately, taking care of the people that we're assigned to take care of. So some of these negative statements --I was just trained a lot different, similar to Mr. Safran there. We had some real tough judges that we came after, and they couldn't get by with what they did to us back in the day, especially our female counterparts. I mean, you just couldn't do that, what they did back in the day, but it made us stronger, it made us better for Now, there were some absolutely the most part. ridiculous judges. I mean, there's always that. And then there's the pristine judge who's just got the ability to be perfectly -- you know, like Judge Smoak. I mean, here he is after all those years, 29 years, not the first negative comment. I mean, that's a standard on this side, but I also think there's a standard on the other side, and that standard is to be friendly, fair, but firm. And so if these young lawyers that come in are not prepared, as Andy said, you don't tolerate fools well. Not only for them, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

worse is for their clients because if they're not prepared and they're not ready, the client could suffer if the judge doesn't do what's in the best interest of the child, and oftentimes that helps one client or the other, depending on what it is So I just wanted to say that. And then let's talk about DSS or some of the institutional The standards that I've seen in the courtroom over the last 20 years is that the standards seem to have dropped, to your point. Have you served that order? You want me to hold him in contempt? Fine. When did you serve him with the order? And either they don't have it -and then they're scrambling to try to get it -they should have known that the judge is going to ask for that. It's contempt. You've got to prove that you've got an order served on that person before you can do it. And oftentimes these people are pro se litigants. They don't have a lawyer. So how are you asked to hold somebody in contempt, literally put them in jail, fine them, make them do public service, without a jury, and they haven't even demonstrated the most fundamental of service or process of the order. I get it. I get it. And a lot of times it seems

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

these institutional lawyers -- and again, we have some great ones, don't misunderstand. I think Robert Cone is one of the better ones that we got to interview here earlier. But my point is, if they're not prepared, if they haven't dotted the Is and crossed the Ts, how does that help the administration of justice?

- I agree with everything you've said. And, you Α. know, one of the things that I heard somewhere in the Citizens Committee was, who are you, Judge Madden, to tell the lawyers to do it better or to criticize them for not doing it well? Well, if I don't, then who's going to do that? don't get feedback except through this process, I think it's fundamental in the role of a judge to help improve that system and elevate that system and to make sure that due process is followed and the rule of law is followed and to help correct those wrongs, not in an embarrassing way, not in a way that's draconian, but in a way that doesn't penalize the case in front of you and makes it better for the one that comes next.
- And this thing about do better, that kind of Q. bothers me a little bit. To be honest, that's a little harsh. And if it's true that you actually

1 gave it to a deputy and asked a deputy to deliver 2 it to the lawyer, that worries me a little more. 3 It would seem, again, as we're talking about 4 evolution in our practice, we could be friendly, 5 fair, but firm and not necessarily have a deputy 6 then know -- because you know how the deputies 7 are, they're going to go (whispering), you don't 8 know what the judge did, what did he do, he gave 9 old so and so one of his red cards, you know, one 10 of his not do that. So all I'm suggesting is it 11 may be a better practice --

- A. I receive that and understand it, and the context is missing. As I told Ms. Crawford, less than ten in the history, which has become an urban legend now, but all given with a smile, all with a conversation, none on the record. But look, I think if there are thin-skinned people who that is that offensive to, that they've taken the time to send in this comment, then that's an awareness of the population that's working in front of me that I need to take to heart.
- Q. Right.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. And so if I give any now. I won't give one to you, Senator Garrett, if you come to us.
 - Q. That's good. I mean, if you give it to me

1 privately, that's perfectly fine. 2 Α. I did, however, give a stamp to Speaker Smith. Ι 3 told him he might need to administer that 4 periodically, so if he starts giving them out, 5 you can blame me for that. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: In fact, I think he's got a 7 medallion about the size of yours that he gives 8 to these House members, and none on display 9 today. 10 SENATOR GARRETT: I'm going to be prepared, Judge, if 11 I come in your courtroom. You're not going to 12 have to worry about giving me one. JUDGE MADDEN: 13 Thank you. 14 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Jordan. 16 JUDGE MADDEN - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 17 Q. So you're responsible for the stamp I got? 18 If you got one from the speaker that says do Α. 19 better, yes, sir. 20 Q. I've got a lot of questions then as we go. I do 21 have a couple. I won't belabor some of the 22 I'm very glad to hear you say that you 23 value the input. One of the things in the 24 upcoming new legislation was giving y'all 25 information on a more frequent basis so folks in

1 your position that want and value that input have 2 access to it at an earlier time. I do want to 3 pull you back to one of the comments. 4 heard your answer to Mr. Safran's question about 5 the local rules, but there was one comment that 6 addressed this concept of -- you've answered the 7 question in that local rules don't exist, of 8 course, as we all know, but that some of your 9 local rules create an increased cost for 10 I don't know if you saw that comment litigants. 11 or are familiar with that comment.

A. I don't know what that is. Oh, I do know what that is. I do know what that is. I know the concept behind that comment that was mentioned.

Would you like for me to an---

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I would. I mean, certainly we would agree. You know, you've seen many, many cases that you've handled, but now as a judge as well, and some folks come to Family Court with a lot of resources and some come in where they just spent their last \$2,500 on a lawyer to try and help them navigate a process that is completely foreign to them. And so I do want to hear you address this concept of costs and not creating unnecessary costs.

1	A.	Yeah, I'm very sensitive to that. Having
2		represented people at every phase of the economic
3		spectrum for 31 years, I'm very sensitive to
4		that. Where that comment came from is when I
5		inherited the role as Chief Judge for
6		administrative purposes in Greenville last year,
7		our backlog was drowning us, and it was mostly
8		for cases where the lawyers had requested a day
9		or longer for a final hearing. And we had cases
10		where the hearing request had been on the
11		scheduling clerk's desk for two years and had not
12		been scheduled. And so as you all have been
13		criticized for backlogs in the judicial system,
14		can you imagine a family whose life had been held
15		in balance for that long? Well, our Chief
16		Justice at the time had issued an order that
17		allowed for what we call ABC docketing. And so
18		in Family Court, you know, everything is day
19		certain. You don't have a rolling roster like
20		you do in Circuit Court. And the Chief Justice
21		had issued and said all cases requesting a
22		hearing for a day or longer will be scheduled in
23		an ABC priority. That is three cases set for the
24		exact same day, the exact same time. You're A
25		status, if you go forward when you're in A
	Ī	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

status, then the B and C get continued automatically, and when they come back, they come back as an A status. I expanded that to D and E, And in order to get rid of some of these backlogs, I just went from three to five, and it worked. And it worked for a number of reasons, but not the least of which is lawyers, as we all know, are creatures of deadlines. We do things when there's a deadline in front of us and when we have to respond to that deadline. So by scheduling those cases for trial, many of them settled that otherwise weren't going to be scheduled until 2025 or 2026. And I'm happy today to tell you that we took 500 of those cases to a final decision in less than 11 months, and as of today, there's one left to be tried. And anybody who got continued, only got continued once and came back as an A case the second time. So is it an inconvenience because they had to Is it an additional prepare twice, sometimes. expense because you had to prepare twice, maybe. I hated it when I was a lawyer. I hated being the B case because I was going to be ready to go. But that's where that's coming from is that they felt like they had to get ready if they were the

1 E case when they might not go, but the reality 2 was the E cases were getting tried more often 3 than the A and the B and the C. The other area 4 that it could have come into play is a 5 disciplined approach to the 365 day administrative order. The Chief Justice issued 6 7 an order, and this has been in place for years, 8 that said all Family Court cases except for DSS 9 abuse and neglect cases must be disposed of 10 within 365 days of the date of filing unless, for 11 good cause, that's extended by the administrative 12 judge. Well, I took a very disciplined approach 13 to that because we had gotten a big backlog of 14 those that hadn't been done and cleaned that up. 15 Some people complained about that because their 16 case wasn't over with. Then they need to refile 17 and pay another \$150 filing fee or \$175 filing 18 fee and start over. And I understand that, but I 19 think when you're talking about less than ten 2.0 percent of all the cases that are filed in a 21 given year and adherence to a mandate from the 22 Chief Justice, I had to pick a lane. 23 made sure that we adhered to that rule and got 24 caught up. 25 Thank you for that clarification.

Q.

1 Α. Sure. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Caskey. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Judge, good afternoon. Good to 5 see you, sir. 6 JUDGE MADDEN: Good afternoon. Thank you. I've listened with great 7 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 8 interest to the various colloquies that you've 9 had with my colleagues here today, and I'll just 10 offer a perspective with respect to this whole 11 issue of directness with lawyers. I think I'm 12 perhaps too insensitive to these sorts of things. 13 I think that if someone is properly chastised for 14 failure to meet professional standards, that is 15 an appropriate thing to do, provided it is done in the appropriate context, typically in private. 16 And the do better thing, I think, is a fantastic 17 18 tool to have in one's quiver when used 19 appropriately. It seems to me that pro se 2.0 litigants wouldn't be the right audience for 21 that, but a licensed lawyer would be, in particular, where applied directly from you and 22 23 privately. I'll continue to support that as you 24 do that, Judge, though I would just caution you 25 to make sure you're right. Make sure you

1 continue to challenge yourself to do better 2 because if one day somebody brings one of those 3 motions or other papers in here and they've got 4 it stamped with do better and they met the 5 standard, then you'll have questions to answer 6 for that. But barring that, I think lawyers 7 ought to be held to the highest of standards. 8 That's what our professional oath calls us to do, 9 and if our judges aren't going to tell our 10 lawyers that we need to do that, then who will? 11 So, that's all I have. Thank you, sir. 12 JUDGE MADDEN: Thank you. 13 JUDGE MADDEN - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 Judge, I want to tack to the 500 down to one. Q. 15 11 months, I think you said that backlog of A 16 through --17 Α. Ε. 18 -- has worked. To the degree that there's a **Q.** 19 perception that cases that need to be heard 20 sooner can't still be or can't yet be heard 21 sooner, is there some suggestion that you have 22 otherwise? And I don't know what that type case 23 would be. I don't think I have a blanket rule or suggestion 24 Α. 25 for you that could take the form of a statute,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but every administrative judge has the authority over the docket. And so if a lawyer or lawyers in the case have a mess that needs to get heard and it needs to get heard sooner rather than later, those lawyers can take that issue before the administrative judge and say, we've got to have a hearing. And I know this case is only three months old, but it's been brewing for 13 years, but we've got to have a hearing. there's a way that the administrative judge can assert his or her discretion and set that case on a priority basis. So that's the best answer I can give you to that. I get motions every day for something to be heard on an expedited basis or an emergency basis, and unfortunately the use of that term gets diluted because your version of an expedited need or an emergency need might not be the same as someone else's, and that's always a challenge. But when there are cases where the welfare of children are hanging out there, where children are abused or neglected and those cases are not getting heard, that's a real problem. And lawyers involved in those cases need to be bringing those to the attention of the judge who's in charge of the docket so they can be

1 attended to.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. You have left -- again, I hearken back to our exchange years ago -- a successful practice.

 Again, as my comments at the outset, you were recognized as one of the top lawyers in the state, without a doubt. Five-ish years later, other than these anonymous comments and the questions of Ms. Crawford and the members of this Commission, is it what you thought it would be, and how are you enjoying it?
- I'm enjoying it very much. It's been an honor Α. and a privilege to serve. I get asked that question periodically about, are you glad you did What do you think? And my response initially was, this is great, I'm enjoying it, I don't miss the practice of law. And as I got into it, my response changed to say this. that there were things about practicing law that I would not miss, but I thought there were things that I would miss, and today, I don't miss anything, what I thought I would miss or what I didn't think I would miss. And I enjoy every day, where in practicing law, I could have never said that. But I enjoy waking up every day and going to work. And that, to me, is the calling

- of the public service aspect of this. And that,
 to me, is what's going to make me do better
 because I enjoy what I'm doing, and I want to do
 it well. And that tells me I'm in the right
 place in my life to use my talents for what
 they're intended for.
 - Q. The tough, tough, tough cases that you've had to rule on, where again, the families, but more acutely, the children's future and development after your decision hangs in the balance, aside from the law, aside from the facts presented to you, in terms of your conveying to a family, they're your charge, effectively, to do better by their children from that day forward. How do you handle that? How do you convey your almost the Solomon like wisdom in oil on water type messages, you hope to be the last time they come before you.
 - | A. Yeah.

- Q. What do you employ to convey your hope as a judge that they do better?
 - A. You know -- so I'd say two ways. There are plenty of hearings which I will conclude and give a little bit of a talk, not a ruling, but a talk, some observations. But not a lot because I never

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

liked judges who thought they were preachers, and I'm not a good preacher. I'm an observer and finder of fact and concluder of law in making a decision, and so I don't want litigants to think I'm preaching to them, and particularly at the end of a trial where they're owing their lawyer \$30,000 and hating everybody in the courtroom and just want to go home. So I might say a little More often I might send a signal at a bit there. temporary hearing, like I did this morning, for example, before I drove down when I had a 17 year old young lady who just had a baby that was 25 days old who was asking for an order of protection against her 18 year old boyfriend and the father of that child because he hit her three months into her pregnancy, and she now wanted an order of protection. And regardless of the outcome of that hearing, what I said to them is, you've got a long way to go. You know, you two are going -- you're parents, you're young, you're immature, and you have a long way to go for the rest of this child's life, and you have got to figure out the path that keeps you out of this room and somewhere else for the benefit of this So I might say something like that. child. But

1 the other thing I do is in the orders I spend a 2 lot of time after a long contested hearing working on the language of the order because I 3 4 know I'm writing it for really two people, the 5 Appellate Court and those parents. And the 6 lawyers don't give a hoot what it says because 7 they're moving on to the next case unless it says 8 something bad about the lawyer, which I never do 9 in an order. But in those orders, when a parent 10 has done something right or done it the right 11 way, I'll make sure to say that, but not every parent gets a trophy. So if the parent has done 12 13 things the wrong way, I don't throw them under 14 the bus, but I might say poor choices were made by this father on this occasion when he did these 15 16 things, and that adversely impacted this child 17 this way. So that if there's one playbook they 18 can go back to or one piece of paper they can go 19 back to learn from, that's it. 20 Q. Very well. 21

A. I'm trying not to be a preacher. I'm just calling it as I saw it.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Without any other questions, hands raised, I'll offer you, again -- when we started just a few minutes ago at 1:38 -- an opportunity

1 to make a closing comment if you like. 2 JUDGE MADDEN: No. I am sensitive to your time. Ι 3 appreciate the opportunity to respond to these 4 I'm happy that they were a very small 5 minority of the responses that you received, and I am going to take all of it to heart and I'll do 6 7 better. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, and, Judge, you are doing 9 exceedingly well, not that you need to do better 10 by any metric other than the minority of 11 comments, but continue to do exceedingly well, and as you can and you do and see and have just 12 13 said to raise your own Bar. We can't ask 14 anything more of you as you continue to do the 15 work of the people, a thankless and far less financially rewarding choice that you've made 16 17 that we all appreciate your doing. 18 JUDGE MADDEN: Thank you very much. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: With that, Judge, that will conclude 2.0 this portion of the screening process. You know 21 the drill. We are very much sticklers for the 22 letter and spirit of the ethics law. 23 violation by you or the appearance of any 24 propriety by you would be deemed very serious by 25 We would call you back in that unlikely us.

1	event of that circumstance. You do know that, do
2	you not, sir?
3	JUDGE MADDEN: I do know that. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well, and your coin is on its
5	way, rolling its way down the hill back to your
6	pocket.
7	JUDGE MADDEN: I certainly don't want Ms. Crawford to
8	keep that. That might violate the rules. I'd
9	have to amend my financial disclosure. Thank you.
10	(OFF THE RECORD)
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome back. Judge.
12	JUDGE MCGEE: Senator, thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning or good afternoon,
14	rather.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And Judge McGee, thank you so much
16	for your patience. It is a little after your
17	appointed hour at 2:00. And so we want to make
18	quick work of questions and answers and give you
19	every opportunity to say what you'd like for the
20	Commission to hear.
21	JUDGE MCGEE: Thank you, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: First, let's reaffirm the oath, if
23	you will.
24	THE HONORABLE JAMES G. McGEE, III, being duly
25	sworn, testifies as follows:

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The two documents, are they ready to				
be entered into the record?				
JUDGE MCGEE: I have no objection.				
(Exhibit Number 22 was marked for identification				
purposes - (14 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for				
The Honorable James G. McGee, III.)				
(Exhibit Number 23 was marked for identification				
purposes - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable				
James G. McGee, III.)				
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And, Judge, you know the				
process well, our screening process, focusing on				
the nine evaluative criteria, which include a				
ballot box survey, a thorough study of the				
application materials, verification of compliance				
with state ethics laws, a search of newspaper				
articles in which your name appears, a study of				
previous screening and a check for economic				
conflicts of interest. No one has objected to				
your reelection. No affidavits filed in				
opposition to your campaign. We will turn it				
over to Breedon John for questions and then				
members of the Commission, as they may have.				
Welcome, Judge McGee.				
JUDGE MCGEE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.				
JUDGE MCGEE - EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHN:				

1 | Q. Judge McGee, nice to see you.

- 2 A. Yes, sir. Nice to see you again as well.
 - Q. After serving about 11 years on the Family Court, why do you wish to continue?
 - A. I'm really liking what I'm doing. You know, I feel like I'm getting good at it, you know, getting better at it. When I first started, you know -- it's a way to serve the people of South Carolina, and I like it a lot. It's just something I really enjoy. I won't say a calling. That's kind of a big, heavy word. It has a lot of religious connotations, but I do almost feel like that.
 - Q. What do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
 - A. I hope that it's that I'm fair to them, that I listen to them, and that, you know, I don't try to embarrass them in front of their clients.

 That's the other thing. That's one thing I said when I became a judge. I said I want to be the kind of judge that I would want to appear before if I were a litigant or an attorney. That's been my goal, and I hope I've achieved that.
 - Q. And how about your reputation with court personnel?

- A. I would hope that that would be very good as
 well. I try to treat everyone with respect that
 I've come into contact with. That was kind of
 the way I was brought up.
 - Q. Yes, sir, yes, sir. Thank you. The Commission received 370 ballot box surveys regarding you. There were 43 additional comments. The majority were positive, the vast majority. Respondents noted that you're a very practical and intelligent and excellent judge with great judicial temperament and that you treat all in your court with kindness and fairness. There were a couple comments that I suppose could be considered negative, although they're very similar to some of the compliments you received, mostly that you can be too nice.
- 17 A. Right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2.0

21

22

23

24

- 18 Q. Could you share your thoughts on kind of your
 19 style in the courtroom and appropriate demeanor?
 - A. That's probably my grandmama's fault. She taught me to be nice to everybody. You know, that's, again, if I'm walking -- especially a self represented litigant -- if I'm walking into the courtroom, it might be the first blush I have with anything legal. And this person sitting up

1 there with his black robe on, I want them to feel 2 comfortable in what they're doing and have some 3 confidence in the court procedure. So some of 4 that may be a little bit of ingratiating. 5 know, hey, how are you doing, Mr. Smith? 6 know, hope you're doing well, but the other side 7 may want me to put him in jail and think I'm 8 being too nice to him. That's probably where a 9 lot of -- it's just really just in my makeup and 10 demeanor on or off the bench.

- Q. Sure. Yes, sir. And as mentioned, there were only a couple comments there.
- MR. JOHN: The Pee Dee Citizens Committee found Judge
 McGee qualified in the areas of constitutional
 qualifications, physical health, mental
 stability, well qualified in the remaining areas,
 ethical fitness, professional and academic
 ability, character, reputation, experience and
 judicial temperament.
- Q. And just a couple items here, Judge McGee. Since you submitted your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission regarding your candidacy?
- A. No, sir.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including

1 limitations on contacting members of the General 2 Assembly? 3 Α. I am. 4 Since you submitted your letter of intent, have Q. 5 you sought or received a pledge of any 6 legislator? 7 Α. I have not. Do you know of any third party attempting to do 8 Q. 9 so on your behalf? 10 No, sir. Α. 11 Have you reviewed and understand the Commission's 0. 12 guidelines on pledging and Section 2-19-70(E)? 13 Yes, sir. Α. 14 And I would just note that any concerns MR. JOHN: 15 raised during the investigation regarding the 16 candidate were incorporated into the questions 17 today. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 18 All right. Questions by members of 19 the Commission? Representative Jordan. 2.0 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Very briefly, Mr. Chairman. 21 Judge, don't stop being nice, please. My only 22 bone to pick is I will never get to be the nicest 23 person to ever represent District 63. 24 JUDGE MCGEE: Oh, you've got that, Representative. 25 You're very kind. Thank you. No.

1	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: But when I read that, the too	
2	nice, I think I've seen it all now.	
3	JUDGE MCGEE: That's like a backhanded compliment kind	
4	of, right?	
5	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: It speaks I think we've	
6	talked a lot about it here, the things that you	
7	see in Family Court and the struggles you have to	
8	help people. Some people are going through some	
9	of the most difficult things that they're ever	
10	going to face in their lives. And to handle them	
11	with the care and the niceness is truly a	
12	remarkable thing.	
13	JUDGE MCGEE: Thank you. Thank you, Representative	
14	Jordan.	
15	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: No such thing as too nice.	
16	JUDGE MCGEE: Okay. You and my grandma would be right	
17	up there on an agreement on that one, I promise	
18	you.	
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.	
20	MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	
21	JUDGE MCGEE - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:	
22	Q. Yeah, we had Mr. Ruffner in here yesterday	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q and he said that he had held on for 12 years	
25	an email that you had sent him and that you had	

- been true to your word. And let me tell you, that is, to me, as important as anything else, that you don't forget where you came from.
 - A. That's right.

2.0

- Q. That you want to be the kind of judge that you wanted to appear in front of. And, you know, you have lived up to that in every way. And that is just an outstanding accomplishment because you and I have both seen plenty that said it but never held up.
- A. I know it. I've been in front of some when I was practicing law.
- Q. And that's it. You know, I mean, sometimes that robe wears very heavy, but let me ask this. We had Judge Smoak up here today, and we just could not help in the same way with you to just be in awe of just how people felt about him. So tell us, what's the secret sauce?
- A. You know, thank you, Mr. Safran. You know, I think my whole life is kind of like that. I hope so. And this comes from my upbringing. I lived very close to my grandma. My mom and dad were great, don't get me wrong, but my grandmother lived just a few blocks over. So I would see her all the time. One thing she taught me very early

- and repeated it, treat other people the way you
 want to be treated all the time. I got that
 drilled in my head, and I've always tried to do
 that. And I think it's made life a lot easier,
 as a matter of fact, to do that, to have respect
 for everybody.
 - Q. Let me ask this, and I'm going to be quick. You, from what I see, allow lawyers to be lawyers when they are appearing in front of you.
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.

8

- 11 Q. You recognize why they're there. You recognize
 12 that their clients are paying them hard earned
 13 money to be there, and that you let them play a
 14 role in these hearings. Is that pretty straight
 15 up?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. I mean, does it hurt you in terms of time? Does
 18 it hurt you in terms of turning cases over?
- 19 | A. No.
- Q. So, I mean, the people that basically say, I

 don't let them talk, I basically just let them

 sit there while I read the affidavits and more or

 less just kind of look at them like they're

 children just to pat them on the head. There's

 no difference in what you're able to accomplish

by basically letting them function the way
they're supposed to function.

- A. No, sir. And, as a matter of fact, I think it's important for the client to know that what they want heard was heard by me. And if I don't let it be heard, then they don't think I got the whole picture. So, not only do they need to see the lawyer saying it, they need to see me taking in it as well.
- Q. Well, and, Judge, am I fair in saying this, that when you go into this -- we keep hearing the word court of equity -- that you're not looking for a winner or loser. You're looking for everybody to hopefully come out of this process as good as they possibly can be, even though the circumstances are pretty bad.
- 17 A. I agree.

- Q. And, I mean, so, again, this is not about winning and losing. This is about basically trying to do something to turn a bad situation into the best salvageable thing that can happen.
 - A. And also make them understand -- I think the best compliment I've ever gotten on the bench was,

 Judge, I don't like the way you ruled, but I understand why you did it. And that, to me, is

1		like getting an A plus on a term paper.			
2	Q.	That's it. Says it all.			
3	A.	Yeah.			
4	Q.	Thank you very much.			
5	A.	Yes, sir.			
6	JUDGE MCGEE - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:				
7	Q.	Judge, real quick question. And Luther, or Fred			
8		Carter			
9	A.	Yes, sir.			
10	Q.	cannot write better about you in terms of your			
11		ability and your skills and your honor and			
12		discretion. What role do you play at Francis			
13		Marion?			
14	A.	I was their general counsel at one time, part			
15		time, while I was running my law practice. I			
16		taught political science there for about three or			
17		four semesters. And then I helped them out some			
18		on the legislative end, the budgeting and things			
19		like that, I would come up here on behalf of the			
20		university and talk about some of the things that			
21		we were doing at that time.			
22	Q.	And that ended when you became a judge?			
23	A.	Yes, sir, yes, sir. Yes, sir, it did.			
24	Q.	All right.			
25	A.	But Fred's still a good friend of mine.			

- 1 Q. Super.
- 2 A. He's great.
- 3 Q. Super guy.
- 4 A. He's been great for the university, no question.
- Q. Real quick. You obviously enjoy it to the degree that you're being too nice is exemplary. You've been doing it for a minute.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And the drive and the passion to continue to want to do it --
- 11 A. Yeah, absolutely.
- 12 Q. -- speak to that again. Where's that coming from?
- 14 I don't -- you know, people -- when I started Α. 15 practicing law, I gravitated towards family law. 16 People said, why do you like it? I said, I don't know why I like it, but I do. I just do, and I 17 18 think it's more having an impact on people, and 19 I've got children and things like that, and I'm 2.0 trying to smooth down families as I go and make 21 sure these kids are taken care of. And I feel 22 like I do have some impact doing that. 23 really written on my heart is all I can say. Ι 24 couldn't tell you why other than I feel an urge 25 to be the way that I am, and I couldn't explain

it.

2.0

- Q. Well, and we've heard folks use the term calling.

 And so you've certainly defined that as a

 calling, both by the letters of reference and the

 folks that have taken the time to write

 anonymously about how well you're doing. So I

 appreciate your zeal, your passion, and your

 willingness to continue doing it on behalf of

 folks.
- A. I thank y'all, for all the Commission members, for taking your time out. I sat on this board for a year, I think. And you've got to sit through all day. I appreciate what you all do. It's very important in this process. So thank you very much for your time as well.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, very good. If there are no other questions, Judge, we're going to close this portion of the screening. And you know, again, how it works. The record is not closed until the final release of the record of qualifications.

Because of our adherence to both the letter and spirit of the ethics law, and yours particularly, you understand, in the unlikely event of your breaching that or the appearance of impropriety -

JUDGE MCGEE: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: you understand we could call you
back for further questions.
JUDGE MCGEE: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Judge, happy trails back
to the Pee Dee, and happy Thanksgiving to you and
your family.
JUDGE MCGEE: Thank y'all again. Good to see you.
Thank you.
(OFF THE RECORD)
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge.
JUDGE MCGEE: Senator.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, welcome. Thank you for
being right on time and for forgiving us for not
being right on time, but we're trying to do
better here
JUDGE MCGEE: It's no problem.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: with the calendar. Thanks again
for being early. If you will, raise your right
hand.
THE HONORABLE RANDALL E. McGEE, being duly sworn,
testifies as follows:
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. You have before you that
PDQ and sworn statement. Are those ready to be
entered into the record?

1 Yes, sir. These are what I have. JUDGE MCGEE: 2 problems. 3 (Exhibit Number 24 was marked for identification 4 purposes - (14 pages) Personal Data Ouestionnaire for 5 The Honorable Randall E. McGee) (Exhibit Number 25 was marked for identification 6 7 purposes - (5 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable 8 Randall E. McGee.) 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Our investigation of 10 your candidacy, you know that we focus on the 11 nine evaluative criteria, which includes a ballot box survey, a thorough study of the application 12 13 materials, verification of your compliance with 14 the state ethics laws, a search of newspaper 15 articles in which your name appears, a study of 16 previous screenings and check for economic 17 conflicts of interest. No violations or 18 accusations or complaints being filed against 19 No affidavits. No witnesses to testify for 2.0 you or against you. We will turn it over to Mr. 21 Cohl for questions, and then if you would like, at the very end, offer you the opportunity to 22 23 make a closing statement. 24 Yes, sir. Thank you, Senator. JUDGE MCGEE: 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman MR. COHL:

JUDGE MCGEE - EXAMINATION BY MR. COHL:

- Q. Good afternoon, Judge McGee. What do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you and the court personnel that work with you?
- I think I have a good reputation. I do my level Α. best every day to treat everyone with the utmost respect, work with the folks in the various counties in which I go to. I refer to myself as a traveling judge. I'm from little tiny Calhoun County. We only have court three days a month there, so every week I'm somewhere other than my home county. So being in different courthouses in different counties, you have to learn to be flexible because everybody does it different. And so I do my level best to try to treat everybody with courtesy and respect while I do the job, and I would think that my reputation backs that up. I hope so.
- Q. Thank you, Judge McGee. The Commission received 350 ballot box surveys regarding you with 48 providing additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Judge McGee demonstrates kindness and compassion while also upholding the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 law and the rules of court. He's highly 2 intelligent and has a very strong work ethic. He 3 is very considerate to attorneys, litigants, and 4 witnesses involved in each case. He listens 5 carefully and rules from sound reasoning. runs his court efficiently, but always takes the 6 7 time to speak directly to juveniles, foster 8 children, and pro se parties with compassion. 9 None of the written comments expressed a concern. 10 I would note that the Lowcountry Citizens MR. COHL: 11 Committee found Judge McGee to be qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 12 13 qualifications, physical health and mental 14 stability and well qualified in the evaluative 15 criteria of ethical fitness, professional and 16 academic ability, character, reputation, 17 experience and judicial temperament. 18 Committee stated in summary: conscientious, 19 committed, great temperament, compassionate, very 2.0 likable, fair, excellent judge. 21 Now, Judge McGee, just a few brief housekeeping Q. 22 Since submitting your letter of intent, issues. 23 have you contacted any members of the Commission 24 about your candidacy?

25

Α.

I have not.

Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 1 0. 2 the limitations on contacting members of the 3 General Assembly regarding your screening? 4 Α. I am. 5 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 6 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 7 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 8 of your screening? 9 I have not. Α. 10 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 11 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, 12 or are you aware of anyone attempting to 13 intervene in this process on your behalf? 14 I have not, and unaware of anyone doing that. Α. 15 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. Commission's guidelines on pledging in South 16 17 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)? 18 I have been, and I'm aware of those. Α. 19 Thank you, Judge McGee. Q. 2.0 MR. COHL: I would note for the record that any 21 concerns raised during the investigation 22 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 23 the questioning today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 24 further questions.

All right. Questions by members of

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

1	the Commission? Mr. Senator Sabb.
2	SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman. I really don't have any
3	questions, but I just kind of want to share
4	something. Judge, you were elected which year?
5	JUDGE MCGEE: 2013.
6	SENATOR SABB: Yeah. And at the time, were you the
7	only judge from Calhoun County?
8	JUDGE MCGEE: I was the only judge from Calhoun
9	County. Now we have Heath Taylor as our Circuit
10	Court judge from Calhoun County too, but I was
11	the only one from Calhoun County.
12	SENATOR SABB: And Harry Ott was your House of
13	Representatives member?
14	JUDGE MCGEE: That's correct.
15	SENATOR SABB: Yeah.
16	JUDGE MCGEE: Russell's dad, and Russell will be over
17	here very soon.
18	SENATOR SABB: Yeah, we look forward to working with
19	him for sure. So wasn't your race extremely
20	close?
21	JUDGE MCGEE: Senator, it was very close, and I won by
22	one vote.
23	SENATOR SABB: Would it surprise you to know that that
24	was an extremely difficult race for the body?
25	The person that was running against you was from

1 Horry County and just a wonderful young lady. 2 And did you know that we had really good counters 3 in the House at the time? Of course, Harry Ott 4 was counting for you. And Harry Ott came to me, 5 and he says, Ronnie, I know we've got two 6 excellent candidates in the area, but you're from 7 rural South Carolina, and on the farm, when we 8 had these kind of situations, we wanted to make 9 sure that rural South Carolina had judges. 10 he says, Horry has judges, but Calhoun does not. 11 Don't you think Calhoun County deserves a good judge? And I proudly cast the deciding vote on 12 13 your behalf and have never been prouder of that 14 decision because all of the rural areas do need 15 resident judges. And that is the first time I've 16 ever shared that story or that exchange, but in 17 reading your ballot boxes and all of those kinds 18 of things, it's just a reminder that what we do 19 is significant. And just happy that I was a part 2.0 of giving the folks of Calhoun County and the 21 great state of South Carolina what it needed in 22 your election. 23 JUDGE MCGEE: Senator, thank you for those comments, 24 and that was a very stressful day up at the And, of course, my opponent -- she 25 Statehouse.

1 was elected Family Court judge just a few months 2 later upon the retirement of a judge in her 3 circuit. 4 SENATOR SABB: Did you know that there's another story 5 that I want to share just because you said that? 6 But I really appreciate the help that JUDGE MCGEE: 7 you gave me. And I was the only -- the six, at 8 that point, that was the first time we had at 9 large judges for Family Court. There were six 10 created, and out of the six, I was the only one 11 elected from a rural area, and I'm very proud of 12 that. I'm very proud of that. Thank you, 13 Senator. 14 With good reason, but I've got to share SENATOR SABB: 15 the rest of the story. That's what Paul Harvey 16 used to talk about. So did you know that the 17 young lady that was in the hotly contested race 18 with you was aware of the fact that I was the 19 only holdout? And she had wonderful friends who were friends of mine, and it was one of the most 2.0 21 difficult decisions that I had to make as a 22 legislator when it came to judge races. 23 you know that she knew, when you won by one, who 24 cast the winning vote? 25 JUDGE MCGEE: I'm sure she did.

1 SENATOR SABB: And what was really special about her -2 - and this I remember really well also -- when I 3 came out to congratulate folks, she met me with 4 an incredible smile and said, man, I couldn't get 5 you on this one. And she says, but look, I know 6 it was a tough decision for you. Thank you for 7 being so kind to me in the conversations that we 8 And in that moment, I knew that she would 9 become a judge, and I knew that she would also be 10 a good jurist. And because we've had some folk 11 who have been on the losing end, and the way that 12 their personality changes, you quickly realize 13 that that person was really not cut out for this 14 job, but I knew. And when she ran, she had no 15 opposition. Nobody ran against her. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And the rest of the story was the 17 first person asked to be her counter was Senator 18 Sabb. Not true, not true. 19 JUDGE MCGEE: I will say, after I got elected that 2.0 day, I walked back over to the Blatt building and 21 thanked all the people that supported me. Then I 22 came back over here, thanked all the people that 23 supported me. And Senator Rankin, you didn't

understandable, but you saw me in the hallway,

support me because you're from the beach,

24

1	and you brought me into your office, and you
2	shook my hand, and you said, Randy, we're glad to
3	have you, and congratulations, and that meant a
4	lot to me that you did that.
5	SENATOR RANKIN: Well, I was channeling Ronnie Sabb,
6	no doubt. And Lisa, Melissa Emory
7	JUDGE MCGEE: That's right.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Now Buchanan
9	JUDGE MCGEE: That's right.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: who has distinguished herself
11	just as Senator Sabb has forecast.
12	JUDGE MCGEE: No question about it.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And more acutely, as have you.
14	JUDGE MCGEE: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so this is your day, your
16	moment, in terms of our recognition of what
17	you've accomplished thus far. And of note to us,
18	not one negative comment about you by your
19	friends or your foes who anonymously can say good
20	or bad. There are a few of y'all who have
21	enjoyed that this cycle, which is extraordinary
22	on your part.
23	JUDGE MCGEE: Very humbled by that. What we do every
24	day, the issues we deal with, the difficulty of
25	them, adversarial process, somebody's walking out

1 of the courtroom dissatisfied most of the time 2 with a ruling because it's an adversarial 3 process, and to think that I'm lucky enough, 4 fortunate enough, that no one has complained and 5 said something negative, I'm very humbled. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Last point, and additionally, it is 7 not often that the Citizens Committee takes the 8 time to write a note or write words of, again, 9 challenging, upbraiding gently, or praising. And 10 as Mr. Cohl has identified with you, they took 11 the time to go the extra step, which obviously 12 suggests that you are going the extra step at 13 every turn in your role as a Family Court judge. 14 I promise you I'm trying to do the best JUDGE MCGEE: 15 I can every day. 16 With that, unless there are further CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 17 questions, we want to thank you again for your 18 continued service and your willingness to 19 continue doing this and serving the most needy of 20 our state, who, as we know, the children don't 21 pick that they're going to court if it's in the 22 context of a divorce. And so thank you for doing 23 it so well, so lightly. And so I would suggest 24 in a very biblically appropriate way in that you 25 are doing it like Solomon.

1	JUDGE MCGEE: That means a lot, Mr. Chairman. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. In the realm of closing
4	this out, unless there are further questions,
5	and, again, I did offer you the opportunity to
6	make a closing statement if you'd like.
7	JUDGE MCGEE: I appreciate the opportunity to serve,
8	and I'd love to continue to do it. And I thank
9	you for giving me the chance to do that.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. This will conclude this
11	portion of the screening. You know that the
12	record is not closed until the formal release of
13	the record of qualifications. Ethics laws of our
14	state we adhere to. We expect you to adhere to
15	both the spirit and the letter. Any violation by
16	you or the appearance of impropriety, you know
17	that we could call you back for questions about
18	that. In that unlikely event, you do know that,
19	correct?
20	JUDGE MCGEE: Yes, sir. Very good.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Happy trails, and happy Thanksgiving
22	to you and your family.
23	JUDGE MCGEE Happy Thanksgiving. Thank you all for
24	having me.
25	(OFF THE RECORD)

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, Judge.
2	JUDGE PHILLIPS: Good afternoon. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. First, let me ask you to
4	raise your right hand.
5	
6	THE HONORABLE DAVID EARL PHILLIPS, being duly
7	sworn, testifies as follows:
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You've got two documents. Are those
9	ready to be entered into the record?
10	JUDGE PHILLIPS: Yes, sir.
11	(Exhibit Number 26 was marked for identification
12	purposes - (17 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
13	The Honorable David Earl Phillips)
14	(Exhibit Number 27 was marked for identification
15	purposes - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
16	David Earl Phillips.)
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. You've got a guest with
18	you, I see, but I can't really see, we can't
19	really see. If you'd like to introduce your
20	guest.
21	JUDGE PHILLIPS: This is my wife and best friend,
22	Marianne Phillips.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, Ms. Phillips.
24	MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for being here, and my

1 apologies, Judge, for the delayed start time. 2 will do as quickly as we can to get you back on 3 the road, but thank you for your patience. 4 JUDGE PHILLIPS: Thank you all for your time. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You understand our role here in 6 terms of vetting your candidacy for reelection 7 focuses on those nine evaluative criteria, which 8 includes a ballot box survey, a thorough study of 9 your application materials, confirmation of your 10 compliance with the state ethics law, a search of 11 newspaper articles in which your name appears, a 12 study of past screenings and a check for economic 13 conflicts of interest. No complaints have been 14 filed or affidavits in opposition to your 15 continued service. No one or witnesses to 16 testify against you. In the interest of speed 17 here for making up the time to you, we'll turn it 18 over to Staff Counsel Walpole and then members of 19 the Commission, and then I'll offer you the 2.0 opportunity to make a closing statement if you'd 21 like. 22 JUDGE PHILLIPS: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Walpole. 24 MR. WALPOLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. 25

1 JUDGE PHILLIPS - EXAMINATION BY MR. WALPOLE: 2 Q. Judge Phillips, good to see you again. 3 Α. Good to see you. After serving 11 years on the Family Court, why 4 0. 5 do you want to continue serving as a Family Court 6 judge? 7 Α. I believe that I'm still making a difference in 8 the lives of the people who come before me, 9 especially, I think, with the children that we 10 see so often, the matters that affect them so 11 directly. I feel like I'm helping them. I feel 12 like I've helped a lot of people over the course 13 of the last 11 and a half years. I feel that 14 that's rewarding to me. I enjoy it, and I love 15 my job, and I just appreciate the confidence that you all have placed in me and allowed me to do 16 17 this job for such a long period of time. 18 Thank you. Judge, what do you think your 0. 19 reputation is among attorneys who practice before 20 you? 21 I think I've been pretty consistent and firm and Α. 22 also fair over the years. I think that's something that I stated that I would do when I 23 24 first was a candidate for judicial office, and I believe I have been that. I have fulfilled what 25

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- б
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- I have promised that I would do, and that would be firm and fair and very consistent in my rulings.
- Q. Same question, but as it pertains to court personnel.
- A. Court personnel, well, I look at them as family most everywhere I go. Even if I'm not there very often, I treat them with respect and dignity.

 They have hard jobs as well. They make what we do possible, and so I appreciate very much the people that I work with.
- Q. Thank you. Judge Phillips, the Commission received 242 ballot box surveys regarding you with 23 additional comments. The ballot box surveys, for example, contain the following positive comments: Judge Phillips is the epitome of kindness. He has unimpeachable integrity and works hard. We are lucky to have him on the Judge Phillips has phenomenal judicial temperament. It is always a pleasure to appear before Judge Phillips. He gives prompt and well reasoned rulings, and he allows you to try your And lastly, for many years now, the Committee has emphasized judicial temperament in the screening process. Judge Phillips may be the

gold standard in that category. None of the
written comments expressed concerns. Judge
Phillips, of note, a specialized question for you
today. Of note, a majority of the comments
received commended your judicial temperament.

How do you believe your judicial philosophy has

- A. How do you believe your judicial philosophy has made your temperament distinctive?
- Q. I try to treat people the way I would want to be treated if I were in their shoes. I believe there's a place for firmness in what we do. I think sometimes we have to assert ourselves more in the courtroom. It's not always the easiest to do that, but when people come before me, I just try to be consistent, and every once in a while you have to take a breath when somebody comes in and it gets heated, but that is my philosophy. I try to start with kindness as much as I can.
- A. appreciate it. Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. WALPOLE: I would note that the Upstate Citizens
Committee found Judge Phillips qualified in the
evaluative criteria of constitutional
qualifications, physical health and mental
stability. The Committee found him well
qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical
fitness, professional and academic ability,

- character, reputation, experience and judicial temperament.
- Q. So Judge, just a few housekeeping issues. Judge, since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 7 | A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 9 the limitations on contacting members of the 10 General Assembly regarding your screenings?
- 11 | A. I am, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact

 18 members of the General Assembly on your behalf,

 19 or are you aware of anyone attempting to

 20 intervene in this process on your behalf?
- 21 | A. I am not.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South
 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

1	MR.	WALPOLE: I would just note for the record that
2		any concerns raised during the investigation
3		regarding the candidate were incorporated into
4		the questioning of the candidate today. Thank
5		you, Judge Phillips. Mr. Chairman, I have no
6		further questions.
7	CHAI	RMAN RANKIN: Members of the Commission? Senator
8		Garrett.
9	JUDGE PHI	LLIPS - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT:
10	Q.	Were you born in Williamston?
11	A.	I was born in Easley, South Carolina, but I've
12		lived in the Powdersville area of Anderson County
13		all my life. Well, I've moved a little closer. I
14		guess I don't know if you know where
15		Piercetown is.
16	Q.	I do.
17	A.	It's a little closer to Anderson than
18		Powdersville.
19	Q.	I was born in Williamston, so I know a little bit
20		about that.
21	A.	Yes, sir.
22	Q.	It's Mike Gambrell's area over there.
23	A.	Well, Senator Gambrell is one of my favorite
24		people.
25	Q.	He's good people. He was my roommate.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'm impressed by your record. I just want you to know that. Thank you, and you're a great judge --
- 4 A. Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. -- and more judges should emulate your record. I mean, when you can go in and do the hard things you do and have no negative comments, that's tremendous. I mean, it's dog eat dog, unfortunately, and that first hearing and that temporary hearing usually is pretty rough, and how you can maneuver that and not have any negative comments beyond that -- I mean, I just don't know how you do it.
- 14 I give all the glory to God for that because it's Α. 15 very hard, and it is tough, and we do make 16 difficult decisions. We rule against people 17 every day. I mean, just about. And if we rule 18 for somebody, we're impliedly ruling against 19 someone, so I'm very thankful for the responses 2.0 that I've received and the review of my 21 candidates.
- 22 Q. And I've got you in the right place. Thank you.
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr. Safran.
- 25 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 MR. SAFRAN: You just said a few things that really 2 kind of, I think, sum it up. These comments say 3 you let the lawyers try their cases, and I think 4 when you were here last time, you and I talked 5 about that. And I think you, more so than most, 6 were saying, you know what, I recognize their 7 role. I want to let them participate. They're 8 there for a reason, and, you know, I don't look 9 I look at what has to be done to at the clock. 10 get the case adjudicated in a fair way, and that 11 seemingly has not changed. The other thing is 12 you just touched on something. A lot of people 13 are very discouraged by the Family Court, but yet 14 here you are as somebody who -- as you said, 15 somebody's going to walk away less than happy, 16 but yet we don't have anybody coming and 17 questioning your integrity, your competence, your 18 honesty. It can work, and you're a classic 19 example of it. I was impressed last time. I'm 20 even more so now, and very appreciative that 21 you're continuing to do the job. 22 JUDGE PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Safran. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Any further accolades? 24 Judge Phillips, I'll tell you, your record and 25 the ballot box surveys and the letter of

1 references, the Bar, the Citizens Committee, all 2 Phillips can't say nicer things about -- Ms. 3 your husband and his role, Judge, and your role 4 as a judge and how lightly you're wearing the 5 robe and how fairly you're treating folks and how 6 apparently you're getting it done day in and day 7 So we appreciate your record, and we out. 8 appreciate your willingness to continue growing 9 and serving our state and our most needy, most 10 oftentimes children who don't get to pick, that 11 they're in your presence. So thank you for 12 wearing the robe lightly and serving so well. 13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank JUDGE PHILLIPS: 14 the Commission for everything that you all do to 15 screen our judicial candidates. I know that's a lot of hard work. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Without further comments 17 18 or questions, Judge, again, you know the process. 19 The record is not closed until the formal release 2.0 of the record of qualifications. In the event of 21 a violation by you of the appearance of 22 impropriety of the letter or the spirit of the 23 ethics law, you understand that we can call you 24 back. In that unlikely event, for further 25 questions, I need a verbal affirmation of that

1	for the record.
2	JUDGE PHILLIPS: I so affirm.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Judge, you all have a
4	great trip back, and a happy Thanksgiving to
5	y'all.
6	JUDGE PHILLIPS: Thank you. You too. Happy
7	Thanksgiving, everyone.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you very much.
9	(OFF THE RECORD)
10	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, good afternoon. If
11	you would, please ma'am, raise your right hand.
12	KRISTIAN CROSS, being duly sworn, testifies as
13	follows:
14	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. If you would,
15	please state your full name for the record.
16	MS. CROSS: Kristian Melissa Cross.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Cross, there should be some
18	documents in front of you. If you would please
19	take a second to look at those. All right. Are
20	there any updates or changes that need to be made
21	to those?
22	MS. CROSS: There are not. I had an amendment to my
23	PDQ, but it looks like they've received that and
24	have it.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Excellent. Do you have any

```
1
          objection to us including that in the record?
 2
     MS. CROSS:
                 No objection.
     (Exhibit Number 28 was marked for identification
 3
 4
    purposes - (15 pages) Personal Data Ouestionnaire for
 5
     Kristian Cross.)
 6
     (Exhibit Number 29 was marked for identification
 7
     purposes - (1 page) Amendment to Personal Data
 8
     Ouestionnaire for Kristian Cross.)
 9
     (Exhibit Number 30 was marked for identification
10
     purposes - (4 pages) Sworn Statement of Kristian
11
     Cross.)
12
     VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY:
                            Thank you, ma'am. And you are
13
          a candidate for Administrative Law Court Seat 2,
14
          is that right?
15
     MS. CROSS:
                 That is correct.
16
     VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: While staff gathers that, let
17
          me just go ahead and review a couple of things.
          The Judicial Merit Selection Commission has
18
19
          thoroughly evaluated your application and
          qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is
20
          focused on the nine evaluative criteria and has
21
22
          included a thorough study of your application
23
          materials, a ballot box survey, verification of
24
          your compliance with state ethics laws, a search
25
          of newspaper and other media articles in which
```

1 your name appears, a study of any previous 2 screenings, a check for economic conflicts of 3 interest, and all of which has led us to the 4 point at which no affidavits have been filed in 5 opposition to your election, and there are no 6 witnesses to testify today. I do notice, though, 7 that you have at least been followed by one 8 I can't see behind you, but if you'd 9 like to introduce him to us, we'd be happy to 10 meet him. 11 MS. CROSS: Yes, thank you very much. This is my 12 husband, Justin Gerwe. 13 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Nice to see you, sir. 14 you for being here. All right. Ms. Cross, if 15 you have any brief opening statement, we would be 16 happy to hear from you. Otherwise, I would 17 recognize staff counsel to begin with some 18 questions. I don't have an opening statement, just 19 MS. CROSS: 2.0 wanted to thank you all for your service and for 21 your time here today. Thank you. Thank you for your flexibility 22 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 23 with respect to time. I know we're slightly 24 behind schedule, but appreciate it nonetheless. 25 Ms. Crater.

MS. CROSS - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRATER:

Q. Good afternoon.

- A. Good afternoon.
- MS. CRATER: I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, Kristian Cross meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years of practice.
- Q. Ms. Cross, why do you now want to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge?
- A. Thank you so much for that question. I have been practicing for almost 20 years. I'm in my 18th year of practice, and the majority of my practice has been in administrative law. I started out after law school. I started working at Nexsen Pruitt, which is now Maynard Nexsen, practicing in their antitrust group, doing large commercial litigation, and through that, I realized that was not really where my passion for litigation was going to lead me throughout my career. And I had the benefit of being at such a large firm to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

able to experience various areas of the law in different practice groups, and at that time, I was working in employment law. I did some work with our regulatory group, and I did some work with our -- with PBA, and when I discovered the area of administrative law, I really felt -- I really felt like I found my home in the law, and from there, I started practicing workers' compensation. Being at such a large firm at Maynard Nexsen, I knew I was a litigator, and I didn't have the opportunity to really flex my litigation wings there, and so I knew I wanted to do administrative law. I felt like workers' compensation would be a wonderful area for me to be able to gain that experience in litigation, to take depositions, to prepare for my hearings using the Administrative Procedures Act, and to make sure that I was able to have the type of litigation career that I wanted to, and to be very active in court. And so I began doing workers' compensation and have been very active in that field for the rest of my career, and I've also had the opportunity to appear in the Administrative Law Court as well, doing different cases such as liquor license disputes and things

of that nature. So I have been doing
administrative law pretty much my entire career.

This is my home. This is where I feel
comfortable in the area of the law that I really
enjoy.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle that additional preparation?
- Yes, thank you. In the Administrative Law Court, Α. there is a vast subject matter for the Administrative Law Court, and so I'm very comfortable with the Administrative Procedures Act, which is, of course, the basis of the law and the rules that would go into handling cases for the Administrative Law Court. Because of the vast subject matter jurisdiction of that court, there are certain areas such as the Department of Revenue, where I would have to study more and look at things, but I take each case -- I would take each case the same way I handle cases now. When I am preparing for a hearing, the first thing I always do is I open my rule book, even though I know the rules, I practice it every day, and I'm in an Administrative Hearing quite often,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

but that is the first thing that I do. I look at the rules, I look at the rules of evidence in order to make sure that I'm properly prepared, and so I would continue doing that if I were blessed with being able to be an Administrative Law Court judge.

- Q. Can you please explain the types of cases that you've handled before the Administrative Law Court, and what experiences specifically qualify you for the Administrative Law Court?
- As I mentioned before, I've handled some Α. Yes. PBA cases, so this was when I was at Maynard Nexson, and that was almost 20 years ago, so before the Affordable Health Care Act. A lot of the cases that I handled were dealing with preexisting conditions, and so we represented the agency at that time, and so we were -- there would be contested litigants who would have their cases, their health insurance, denied for certain things that they were needed to get, their medication or certain procedures they were having, and it would be denied for, like I said, a preexisting condition. So I handled those cases in front of the Administrative Law Court, and most recently, I handled cases involving

1 liquor license disputes.

- Q. What is your vision for the Administrative Law Court, and what changes would you advocate, and why?
- A. Judge Anderson has been a long serving chief admin over at the Administrative Law Court and has done an amazing job. I wouldn't presume to make any changes to what he has done because if it's not broke, don't fix it. He's done an amazing job. The docket runs very smoothly. He does an amazing job of assigning the cases to each judge, and he has just done a phenomenal job of running that court, and so it would be an honor to continue the work that he's doing and to help him do that work, if I was able.
- Q. In what instances is it appropriate for the judiciary to work in cooperation with other branches of the government, and in what areas must the judiciary stand apart from the other branches?
- A. I very much respect the separation of powers. I feel it is fundamental to our democracy, and so I definitely respect the separation of powers and the work that each branch of government does under its obligations underneath the

1 Constitution. There are, of course, times -- and 2 the Administrative Law Court is a perfect example of that -- the Administrative Law Court is an 3 4 agency, a state agency under the executive 5 branch, and so it was developed in order to assist the citizens of our state. And so when 6 7 our citizens need the three branches of 8 government to work together, I think that is a 9 wonderful opportunity for the branches of 10 government to work together, as I said, to form 11 the Administrative Law Court, which is what they 12 did -- a great example of that -- and forming it 13 under the executive branch and using it as an 14 opportunity to allow South Carolina residents, 15 and any individual who has a grievance with a 16 state agency, to have a forum in which they can 17 have their grievances heard. And so I think 18 that's a great example of how our three branches 19 of government work. 20 Thank you. Ms. Cross, the Commission received Q. 21 147 ballot box surveys regarding you with 15 22 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for 23 example, contained the following positive

attorney and would be an asset to the bench, as

kind, intelligent, thoughtful, capable

24

25

comments:

1 well as well qualified to serve on the 2 Administrative Law Court. She is a formidable 3 adversary who is always prepared with well 4 reasoned and thoughtful arguments. She has a strong work ethic and kind demeanor that will 5 serve her well on the bench. The Commission 6 7 received no negative comments. 8 MS. CRATER: I would note that the Midlands Citizens

- MS. CRATER: I would note that the Midlands Citizens
 Committee reported Ms. Cross to be qualified in
 the evaluative criteria of constitutional
 qualifications, physical health and mental
 stability and well qualified in the evaluative
 criteria of ethical fitness, professional and
 academic ability, character, reputation,
 experience and judicial temperament. The
 Committee noted: well qualified, no need for
 comments.
- Q. Ms. Cross, I just have a few housekeeping notes.

 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 23 A. No, I have not.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly on your behalf,

1		or are you aware of anyone attempting to
2		intervene in this process on your behalf?
3	Α.	I am not aware, and I have not asked.
4	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent to run for
5		this seat, have you contacted any members of the
6		Commission about your candidacy?
7	Α.	No, I have not.
8	Q.	And do you understand that you are prohibited
9		from seeking a pledge or commitment directly or
10		indirectly until 48 hours after the formal
11		release of the Commission's report, and are you
12		aware of the penalties for violating the pledging
13		rules?
14	Α.	I am aware.
15	MS.	CRATER: I would just note for the record that any
16		concerns raised during the investigation
17		regarding the candidate were incorporated into
18		the questioning of the candidate today. And Mr.
19		Chairman, I have no further questions.
20	VICE	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do any
21		members of the Commission have questions or
22		comments for Ms. Cross?
23	MS. CROSS	- EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY:
24	Q.	Ms. Cross, let me ask you. If you would, please,
25		talk a little bit more about your experiences

1 insofar as they qualify you to sit on the 2 Administrative Law Court. Yes, thank you very much. As I mentioned, I've 3 Α. 4 been practicing in administrative law for almost 5 20 years. The majority of that has been in 6 workers' compensation, but workers' compensation 7 is very similar to the Administrative Law Court 8 in that it is a state agency that is formed 9 underneath the executive branch and follows the 10 Administrative Procedures Act. So I am very well 11 versed in the Administrative Procedures Act, and 12 I have also been in front of the Administrative 13 Law Court, as I mentioned, handling PBA cases and 14 liquor license dispute cases. 15 Thank you. I'm sorry. As we were talking, I was Q. scrolling through your PDO because I didn't 16 highlight my question from earlier. 17 18 nervously talking while I look so that we don't 19 have any silent time on our hands. 20 SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman, why don't you do --21 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Sabb. 22 SENATOR SABB: I'd be honored to occupy a little space 23 for you. 24 MS. CROSS - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR SABB: 25 Thank you, ma'am. And I was curious. 0. I was

1

2

reading just a little bit, and I apologize for not having had a chance to look through it before So you started with Maynard Nexsen?

3 4

I did. Α.

5

Α.

Q. And did primarily workers comp for them?

6 7

So I was doing the large antitrust team.

8

litigation for commercial large litigation,

9

working with Marguerite Willis and her team,

10

doing that first. And then after -- when I first

When I was at Maynard Nexsen, I started in their

11

started, there was a very large case, the largest

12

case in firm history to that point. And so they

13

recruited me to help them with that litigation.

actually, there was another election dispute, if

you all remember from, like I said, about 20

years ago, Frank Willis, who was the mayor of

And when that was over, I handled some --

14

15

16

17

18

Yeah. Q.

2.0

19

Α.

Florence, lost the election by one vote.

21

22

23

24

25

And we helped with that election dispute. then after that, I kind of veered it towards administrative law then. Did some employment law work and then ended up -- after about two and a half years, almost three years, then I went over to Collins and Lacy, and that's where I started

1 my workers comp work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Yeah, yeah. I have just an extraordinary amount of respect for Marguerite and all the great work that she's done over the years. And obviously have a whole lot of respect for her late husband as well. I'm from Williamsburg County, so right next door to Florence. And so once that election took place, we made it clear to everybody that your vote matters, right?
- A. Yes, absolutely.
 - It was literally a one vote difference. But I'm 0. somewhat familiar with your career and reputation. And I know all of us have a story that predates our entrance into law school and all of that, but just really extremely proud of how you've asserted yourself and navigated through the difficulties of the practice of law and the idea of not having your own shingle, but having to navigate through these large firms and all of those things and all of the challenges that comes with them. And so it's really good to see a young lawyer like you who is as accomplished as you are and having considered the idea of going through a different path rather than just being a lawyer for 40 or 50 years like

1		me, but deciding to offer yourself in this line
2		of service. And so really delighted to see it
3		and just want to wish you the best.
4	A.	Thank you very much.
5	VICE	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the
6		Commission? Senator Garrett.
7	SENA	TOR GARRETT: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8	MS. CROSS	- EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT:
9	Q.	Excellent resume.
10	Α.	Thank you.
11	Q.	Tremendous. I was actually on the floor of the
12		Senate with Deon, and we were trying to figure
13		out the administrative law. And he and I neither
14		one have practiced a lot of it. And I was
15		saying, well, the void for vagueness, that was
16		one of them. Let's see, there was five. Help
17		me. There was five. One was a violation of law,
18		one was that the order was ambiguous.
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	One was it was void for vagueness.
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	There's two more. Help me.
23	Α.	Yes. If it's arbitrary and if it's a violation
24		of the Constitution.
25	Q.	Good, thank you.

- 1 A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. Anyway, he and I were on the floor of the Senate and we had to remember them, so we were
- 4 struggling. But when you were going to do this,
- 5 I said, you know, well, she can educate me. So
- 6 thank you.
- 7 A. Absolutely.
- Q. No, I think that's great. That's a great teamover there.
- 10 | A. It is.
- 11 Q. Judge Anderson, we have chapel with him on
 12 Thursday mornings. It's always a pleasure. He
 13 wrote the book. I don't know if you know about
- 14 The Lord's Prayer.
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. I don't know if you had a chance to read that
- one, but it's a very interesting book. Anyway, I
- 18 just I'm excited to see you moving up. A friend
- 19 of mine from Lander got over there and got a
- 20 judge in. So I look forward to it. I hope -- I
- 21 don't I don't do very much there, but I have done
- 22 a liquor case.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Is that a case of liquor?
- 25 | Q. Is that a case of liquor, no. A case about a

1		liquor license a long time ago. And then there
2		was also we were able to keep a landfill out
3		of South Greenwood. They were trying to put a
4		landfill there, and we were able to defeat that.
5		So that's about my only experience in
6		Administrative Law Court, but thank you.
7	Α.	Thank you very much.
8	VICE	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other members of the
9		Commission, anything? Mr. Rutherford.
10	MS. CROSS	- EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:
11	Q.	Speaking of votes and things that matter, you
12		went to law school at USC?
13	Α.	I did.
14	Q.	And you went to college in the Upstate?
15	Α.	Yes, at Clemson.
16	Q.	Oh, yeah. So there's a it's difficult, it's
17		difficult. So there's a game on Saturday.
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	So who are you pulling for?
20	Α.	I danced at Clemson. I was a Rally Cat, so I am
21		a Clemson fan. I will cheer for the Gamecocks as
22		long as they are not playing Clemson, but if they
23		play Clemson, my blood is orange.
24	VICE	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: So I found the comment I wanted
25		to ask you about, but instead of highlighting

1 that, I admire your resoluteness in fandom, 2 however misguided it may be. Just a few comments 3 that I do think you ought to hear because they 4 come through the ballot box, which you obviously 5 haven't seen all of, but I think you deserve to 6 hear some of the feedback on the reputation that 7 you've earned as a lawyer. She is a formidable 8 adversary who is always prepared with well 9 reasoned and thoughtful arguments. She has 10 strong work ethic and kind demeanor. Ms. Cross 11 is well organized, vast knowledge of not just 12 workers compensation, but a variety of 13 administrative law. Known her to be hardworking, 14 approachable, friendly, and smart. Confident we 15 could count on her to be well reasoned, 16 deliberate, thoughtful, fair, and impartial, and 17 yada, yada, yada. But you should be quite proud 18 of the reputation you've earned so far in your 19 legal career and wherever it may take you. 2.0 would encourage you to keep it up because it 21 reflects well not only on you, but on our profession as a whole. And so I'll offer you 22 23 that as you go forward. 24 MS. CROSS: Thank you. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Seeing no other comments 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

holiday.

or questions from members of the Commission -- I don't have my glasses on, so I'm not seeing anything -- but nonetheless, seeing nothing else, that will conclude this portion of our screening process. I certainly appreciate you being here. I do need to take this moment to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, we take this whole process very seriously. expect candidates to follow the letter as well as the spirit of our ethics laws, and we would view any impropriety or appearance of impropriety as very serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in our deliberations. And on that note, as you know, the record will remain open until the final release of our qualifications report. We would have the ability to call you back at such time if that need were to arise. Do you understand all of that, please state --MS. CROSS: I do. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, great. With that then, this hearing will be concluded, and thank you very much for being here. Thank you to you both and wish you safe travels as you head home

and hopefully enjoy a very happy Thanksgiving

1	MS. CROSS: Thank you. You all as well. Thank you.
2	(OFF THE RECORD)
3	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Good afternoon. We
4	will resume with the next hearing. Before us we
5	have Judge Bryan Jeffries. Mr. Jeffries, good to
6	see you, sir. Judge, if you would, please raise
7	your right hand.
8	THE HONORABLE BRYAN S. JEFFRIES, being duly
9	sworn, testifies as follows:
10	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, If you would,
11	please state your full name for the record.
12	JUDGE JEFFRIES: Bryan Scott Jeffries.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And, Judge, there should be
14	some documents in front of you there. If you
15	would, please take a moment to review those.
16	JUDGE JEFFRIES: Very familiar with this packet.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Those should be the personal
18	data questionnaire and the sworn statement that
19	you've submitted. Are there any corrections or
20	updates that need to be made to those?
21	JUDGE JEFFRIES: There are not.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Do you have
23	any objection to us including those in the
24	record?
25	JUDGE JEFFRIES: I do not.

1 (Exhibit Number 31 was marked for identification 2 purposes - (14 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for 3 The Honorable Bryan S. Jeffries) 4 (Exhibit Number 32 was marked for identification 5 purposes - (5 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable 6 Bryan S. Jeffries.) 7 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Thank you. While 8 staff takes care of that, I noticed that you were 9 followed into the room by someone or someones. 10 can't see behind you, but I wanted to afford you 11 the opportunity to introduce her to the 12 Commission, if you'd like. 13 JUDGE JEFFRIES: Absolutely. This is my wife, 14 Lakeisha Jeffries. We've been married for 22 15 We have two beautiful children, Olivia years. 16 and Daniel, aged 21 and 18 -- 17, somewhere in 17 the middle. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 18 Outstanding. Well, thank you 19 for being here, Mr. Jeffries. We know this is 20 quite the ordeal and certainly appreciate your 21 flexibility with timing. I mean, we're slightly 22 behind schedule, I think. All right. 23 Judicial Merit Selection Commission has 24 thoroughly investigated your qualifications for 25 the bench. Our investigation has focused on the

1 nine evaluative criteria and has included a 2 thorough study of your application materials, a 3 ballot box survey, verification of your 4 compliance with state ethics laws, a search for 5 newspaper and other media articles in which your 6 name appears, a study of any previous screenings 7 and a check for conflicts of economic interest. 8 We've received no affidavits in opposition to 9 your candidacy, and there are no witnesses 10 present to testify. If you would like to make a 11 brief opening statement, we'd be happy to hear 12 from you. Otherwise, I would recognize staff 13 counsel for a series of questions. 14 JUDGE JEFFRIES: I would just say thank you for your 15 time, attention, and consideration. I know it's 16 been a long couple weeks. Thank you all for your 17 time. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Ms. Benson. 19 MS. BENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in 2.0 21 the candidate's PDO, which has been included in 22 the record with the candidate's consent, Judge 23 Jeffries meets the constitutional and statutory

residence and years of practice.

requirements for this position regarding age,

24

JUDGE JEFFRIES - EXAMINATION BY MS. BENSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Judge Jeffries, why do you now want to serve as an administrative law judge, and how do you feel that your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you in being an effective judge?
- Well, let me say first, I love my job. Α. Chief Administrative Judge for the City of Columbia. Columbia is the city I grew up in, the city that raised me. So to be the chief administrative judge in Columbia, it means a lot to me just by virtue of the number of cases that come through our court. It's a huge responsibility that I take very seriously. wife will tell you, I ride hard for the Metro, as I call it, Columbia. But at the same time, I have that same affection for the state of South Carolina. The flagship university here has provided me an undergraduate and graduate professional degree at almost no cost to me. Ιt gave me an opportunity to be a student athlete at the collegiate level for a brief period of time, which was my childhood dream. I met my wife at the University of South Carolina, which was the best thing that ever happened to me. I say all that to say I have a deep affection for our

state, and if I could serve our state at the state level as a judge, it would truly be in honor.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you. Judge, are there any areas of the law for which you'd need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court judge, and how would you handle gaining that additional experience?
- I have a very, what I believe to be, unique Α. experience in the law, having been an administrative hearing officer for an agency, the South Carolina Department of Health and Human I feel like I have a Services, for ten years. very good understanding of being an adjudicator, hearing administrative cases. The South Carolina Department of Health and Human Services and the Medicaid program in general obviously encompasses a lot of different areas. I heard a lot of different types of cases as a hearing officer, all under the umbrella of Medicaid. disability cases I heard, heard provider payments, nursing home level of care, so it gave me an opportunity to see a lot of different areas Again, I did it for ten of administrative law. years, and during that period, about halfway

1 through, is when I ran for the Administrative Law 2 Court the first time because I felt like I would 3 be uniquely suited to it. I still believe that, 4 and now having gained six years of experience as 5 a judge at the municipal court level, I think 6 that in combination makes me a uniquely qualified 7 candidate. So I'm hoping that you all and the General Assembly feel the same way. 8

Q. Thank you, judge. Judge, what's your vision for the Administrative Law Court, and what changes would you advocate and why?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A. My experience with the court, and in speaking to judges up there, it runs as well as most of the courts in our state, if not better. I think our chief judge up there does a great job in distributing cases and ultimately moving cases, so I don't know from the outside, looking in, what major improvements would be needed. I would certainly be proud to be part of the team to push that court forward as we proceed throughout into the next century, the next decade, the next year, whatever, in order to make that court the best it could be.
- Q. Thank you, judge. Judge, in what instances is it appropriate for the judiciary to work in

cooperation with other branches of government, and in what areas must the judiciary stand apart from the other branches?

- A. I would say working in conjunction with the other branches of government at all times that don't require any kind of obfuscation of the separation of powers. So as long as that's in place, so long as it's recognized and respected, that's not an issue. In all other areas, I think all agencies and all branches of government should work in concert for the betterment of the people in general.
- Q. Thank you. Judge Jeffries, the Commission received 194 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 17 having additional comments. Many of those comments were quite positive, saying you were fair, courteous, intelligent, highly qualified, perhaps even overqualified, for this position. Only one of the written comments expressed concerns that you had caved to outside pressure when seeking the position of the municipal court judge. What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. Could you repeat that last part?
 - Q. That you had caved to outside pressure when

seeking the position of municipal court judge.

- To be honest, I feel like the positive comments, Α. the praise, make me a lot more uncomfortable just because that's my personality. I'm not certain what the reporter, so to speak, is referring to as far as outside sources. I believe my reputation is one that I'm very independent as a judge on the bench, that I don't do favors, that I recognize every individual that comes before me as someone deserving my utmost respect and attention, and I hope the people that leave my court feel like they got that, and I feel like they do, and I will continue to do that.
- Thank you, Judge. Judge, just a few housekeeping Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- I have not. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

- Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 21 members of the General Assembly on your behalf or 22 are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene 23 in this process on your behalf?
 - I have not, and I am not aware of anyone doing Α. that on my behalf.

1 Since submitting your letter of intent to run for 0. 2 this seat, have you contacted any members of the 3 Commission about your candidacy? 4 I have not. Α. 5 Q. Do you understand that you are prohibited from 6 seeking a pledge or commitment directly or 7 indirectly until 48 hours after the formal 8 release of the Commission's report, and are you 9 aware of the penalties for violating the pledging rules? 10 11 I absolutely do, and I absolutely am aware of the Α. penalties. 12 13 0. Thank you. 14 I would note for the record that the MS. BENSON: 15 Midlands Citizens Committee found Judge Jeffries 16 to be qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health 17 18 and mental stability and well qualified in the 19 remaining evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 2.0 professional and academic ability, character, 21 reputation, experience and judicial temperament.

regarding the candidate were incorporated into

any concerns raised during the investigation

The Committee noted: well qualified, no comment

necessary. I would just note for the record that

22

23

24

1 the questioning of the candidate today. 2 Chairman, I have no further questions. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do members 4 of the Commission have any comments or questions 5 for Judge Jeffries? 6 JUDGE JEFFRIES - EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 7 Q. Judge, while the members may be thinking, or not, 8 I don't know, but we'll give them that 9 opportunity, I wanted to ask you about one 10 particular aspect of your career in which you 11 were a contract hearing officer for the 12 Department of Health and Human Services. Can you 13 tell me a little bit about that work? I iust 14 don't know much about what a contract hearing 15 officer does. 16 Α. Absolutely, and it refers back to what I was 17 saying about hearing Medicaid cases. Obviously, 18 our Health and Human Services Department handled 19 all the Medicaid cases, Medicaid appeals from the 20 agency. So when someone would appeal an agency 21 decision on whatever level and whatever area of 22 Medicaid services, then that appeal would come to 23 the Division of Appeals and Hearings. So I did 24 that for ten years hearing several different

areas of Medicaid appeals. Again, primarily,

1 they were disability cases, provider payments, 2 nursing home level of care. So it was very 3 instrumental in me considering running for this 4 post, so the fact that you pointed out that 5 experience, I think, is significant. 6 Well, I appreciate you providing some, at least 0. 7 for me, some edification about that because it 8 strikes me that your career has spanned such 9 breadth that I'm drawn to one of the comments 10 here in your ballot box survey which began: 11 Judge Jeffries is overqualified for this 12 position. He is smart, fair, courteous, and 13 would be a fantastic judge, which in my 14 experiences with you is right there where I would 15 say had I written this. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any -- Senator -- Ms. McIver. 17 MS. MCIVER: Thank you very much. Hello, Mr. 18 Jeffries. 19 JUDGE JEFFRIES: Good evening. 20 Good to see you. Mr. Jeffries and I MS. MCIVER: 21 were in the same law school class and when we 22 were in law school I know he certainly enjoyed a 23 very positive reputation, and I have enjoyed 24 watching his career over the years. And I see in 25 the letters of reference here one from a fellow

classmate who it appears was on the other side of you on some cases, Josh Kendrick.

JUDGE JEFFRIES: That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MCIVER: And one of the things that he talks to is the civility that Mr. Jeffries showed, not only to him, but to others when he had an opportunity to really wield power. I wanted to read just an excerpt from that letter into the record. Jeffries' overall ethical fitness and character are outstanding. As a prosecutor, he wielded immense power over people's lives. He never abused that power. He possessed the unique ability to be both fair to defendants and steadfast in protecting the people of South Carolina. He was willing to dismiss cases that were weak or did not meet the standards required for prosecution. At the same time, he was also willing to see a case through to the end when it was required. I was treated no better or worse than any other lawyer despite our long friendship. I was also never treated unfairly. So his letter -- most of these letters are fairly short -- his goes on for pages with similar comments throughout the letter as well as some of the other letters that you received. So I just

1 wanted to point that out for the benefit of the 2 Commission and to thank you for offering to serve 3 in this way. 4 JUDGE JEFFRIES: Thank you very much. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Senator from Williamsburg. 6 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 8 JUDGE JEFFRIES - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR SABB: 9 I too wanted to just express how impressed I am Q. 10 just on your presentation. I've just got an 11 appreciation for folk. A member of our 12 Commission was sharing with me earlier today how 13 he picks up on the body language and various 14 things that people do. I'm probably not at that 15 individual's level in terms of being able to 16 assess and adopt and all of those other good 17 things, but clearly you have the kind of 18 demeanor, I think, that put people at ease. 19 I think that's a gift when it comes to being a 20 I am curious about when you mentioned 21 that you got an opportunity at the flagship university through athletics. I'm curious which 22 23 sport? 24 Α. Can we take a poll on a guess?

It's got to be basketball or football.

25

Q.

A. People typically ask me about basketball just because of my height, but I played football. I'm on the record, and I'm under oath. I was on the team for a couple of years at the University of South Carolina on the football team.

- Q. Well, that's quite an accomplishment. And I guess today is my storytelling days, but I was a high school All American basketball player, but did not make the team when I went out. That was just unfortunate. There were 40 freshmen that went out for the team. It was the first time the coach said that he recruited from various schools, and all of the people he recruited came. So it was just one of those unlucky things for me, but I was a star in the intramurals.
- A. As was I. It helps to be 6'6", and at that time probably 320 pounds, distributed it a lot differently than it is now. If nothing else they could throw me out there as a tackling dummy and run drills with me on the scout team, which is what I did, but it was a thrill of a lifetime. It really was.
- Q. Well, I think being a team player builds character in addition to all kinds of other things. And so I'm just really proud of how

1 you're progressing, and just keep up the good 2 work. 3 Α. Thank you. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Senator from Lexington. SENATOR GARRETT: 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 JUDGE JEFFRIES - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR GARRETT: 7 Q. Thank you for serving or offering to serve. You 8 were a municipal judge, is that right? 9 That is correct. Α. 10 I used to be a municipal judge many, many years Q. 11 ago, and I'm interested in how the municipal courts, especially in a large city, how are they 12 13 In other words, how long is it before if 14 I want a jury trial, a DUI jury trial -- how long 15 would it take me from today to get on your docket for trial? 16 17 Α. Well, the fact that you mentioned the DUI, those 18 are the ones that tend to languish the longest. 19 And I also serve in the city of Cayce, and I'm a 20 West Columbia resident, so I'm familiar with the 21 courts in Cayce, the courts in Lexington and Richland. And in our court in the City of 22 23 Columbia, a DUI case, it's going to come up for 24 the first hearing, so to speak, within 30 days. 25 And then once it goes on the jury trial roster --

and it's improved a lot in the last several
years, and I give credit to my predecessor mostly
for that because I've only been in the post now
for a couple months -- but I would say the
turnaround is eight to ten months before those
cases come up for trial.

- 7 | Q. That's still good, though.
- 8 A. It could be better.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. I mean, we've got some places it takes two years before you can get to a jury trial on a DUI case.
- A. We've been there.
 - Q. I'm glad to hear that y'all are moving the dockets, so to speak. And that is tremendous experience, because you hear all kinds of ordinance violations. You hear a little bit of everything, so thank you for bringing that experience to the Administrative Law Court, should you be selected. Thank you very much.
- A. Thank you.
- VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other members of the
 Commission? All right. Seeing none, then,
 Judge, I will just highlight one thing that I
 don't know to what degree it stood out to you,
 but when Ms. Benson was reviewing the comments
 from the Citizens Committee, they noted no

1 comment necessary, which spoke to your 2 qualifications. That is not an ordinary practice 3 for the Citizens Committee to offer some comment, 4 and so I think you should take that as a positive 5 sign. That all having been said, this brings 6 this portion of the screening process to a 7 conclusion. I certainly appreciate your time, 8 your service to the state so far, and, again, 9 your flexibility with respect to scheduling 10 I do need to take this opportunity to today. 11 remind you, though, that pursuant to the 12 Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission 13 expects candidates to follow the letter as well 14 as the spirit of the law and that we will view 15 any violation or appearance of impropriety with 16 respect to violations of our ethics laws as very 17 serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight 18 in our screening deliberations. So on that note, 19 as you know, the record will remain open until 2.0 the release of the final qualifications report, 21 and should the need arise, we would have the ability to call you back for further questioning. 22 23 Do you understand all that? 24 JUDGE JEFFRIES: I do. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. That will

1	conclude this part of the screening process.
2	Thank you again for being here. All our best to
3	you and your wife as you hopefully are able to
4	enjoy a very happy Thanksgiving holiday.
5	JUDGE JEFFRIES: Thank you. Happy Thanksgiving to all
6	of you.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you.
8	JUDGE JEFFRIES: Thank you.
9	(OFF THE RECORD)
10	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good afternoon. Mr. Johnson.
11	If you would, please raise your right hand.
12	SAMUEL L. JOHNSON, being duly sworn, testifies as
13	follows:
14	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, thank you, sir.
15	There should be some documents in front of you, a
16	personal data questionnaire, and a sworn
17	statement that you've submitted, Please take a
18	moment to review those.
19	MR. JOHNSON: All right.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Are there any
21	updates or changes that need to be made to those?
22	MR. JOHNSON: Those appear to be an accurate
23	reflection.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection to
25	our including those in the record?

```
1
    MR. JOHNSON:
                   No, sir.
 2
     (Exhibit Number 33 was marked for identification
 3
    purposes - (18 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
 4
     Samuel L. Johnson)
 5
     (Exhibit Number 34 was marked for identification
 6
     purposes - (7 pages) Sworn Statement of Samuel L.
 7
    Johnson.)
 8
 9
                           All right, thank you, sir.
    VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY:
10
          This would be the point where we ordinarily would
11
          give you a moment to recognize your wife or any
          other guests who joined you, but we will take it
12
13
          from your comments earlier, she was unable to
14
          make it due to her obligations to take care of
15
          your kids, which is certainly more entertaining
16
          than what we've seen, present company excluded,
17
          of course. Certain young children can provide a
18
          lot of excitement, more excitement than we
19
          ordinarily would have. All right.
                                              The Judicial
20
          Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly
21
          investigated your qualifications for the bench.
22
          Our inquiry has focused on the nine evaluative
23
          criteria and has included a thorough study of
24
          your application materials, a ballot box survey,
25
          verification of your compliance with state ethics
```

1 laws, a search of newspaper and other media 2 articles in which your name appears, a study of 3 any previous screenings and a check for economic 4 conflicts of interest. We've received no 5 affidavits in opposition to your election, and no 6 witnesses are present to testify. If you would 7 like to offer a brief opening statement, we'd be 8 happy to hear from you. Otherwise, I would 9 recognize staff counsel for some questions. 10 I just want to thank y'all for the MR. JOHNSON: 11 service y'all do for the state and doing these 12 interviews. I know it's Thanksgiving, but I know 13 y'all don't get a lot of thanks for doing this, 14 but I do appreciate y'all taking the time and 15 giving me an audience. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Thank you, sir. Αt 17 this point, then, I'd recognize Mr. Hinson for 18 some questions. 19 MR. JOHNSON - EXAMINATION BY MR. HINSON: 20 Good afternoon, Mr. Johnson. 0. 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that 23 based on the testimony contained in the 24 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the 25 record with the candidate's consent, Mr. Johnson

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23 24

25

meets the constitutional and or statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years of practice.

- Mr. Johnson, why do you now want to serve as an Q. administrative law judge, and how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge?
- Well, the Administrative Law Court means a lot to Α. It's a special place. Part of my background and legal experience has actually been working directly for the court, probably a little over five years. And I think my experience outside the court has also helped prepare me as an administrative law judge. I've worked in several different state agencies, the Department of Employment and Workforce as a hearing officer, as well as my current employment at Office of Motor Vehicle Hearings. I'm also a hearing officer, and it was not in the documents because it really wasn't asked, but actually my first introduction to administrative law in the real world, so to speak, was during my first summer in law school as a law clerk for the Department of Consumer And I've just had an interest in administrative law really since my second year in

1 law school, and I think my experience so far has 2 helped prepare me for the types of cases that 3 would come before the court. 4 Mr. Johnson, are there any areas of the law for 0. 5 which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as an Administrative Law Court 6 7 judge, and how would you handle that additional 8 preparation? 9 No, sir, I don't believe so. I've been exposed Α. 10 to most, if not all, of the types of cases that 11 the Administrative Law Court would handle. 12 Q. Mr. Johnson, please explain the type of cases that you've handled before the Administrative Law 13 14 Court and what experiences specifically qualify 15 you for the Administrative Law Court. 16 Α. Well, as I said, I've not appeared directly 17 before the court in presenting a case, but the 18 types of cases that I have rendered judgments in 19 that come before the court would be with the 2.0 Department of Employment and Workforce and the 21 Office of Motor Vehicle Hearings. They go up on appeal as opposed to a contested case. 22 23 Mr. Johnson, what is your vision for the Q. 24 Administrative Law Court, and what changes would 25 you advocate for and why?

1 Α. Well, one of my visions for the Administrative 2 Law Court is expansion. I mean, I don't know if 3 it's my place to say about pending legislation, 4 but I think handling public service Commission 5 cases would be appropriate for our court. 6 already deal with cases involving power companies 7 in other respects, specifically income tax cases, 8 and having dealt with CON regulations. 9 we're well equipped to handle such cases. 10 like to see -- from a personal level, I'd like to 11 see some more interaction with, specifically 12 England, with the Administrative Law Courts in 13 England, sort of have a dialogue to compare how 14 Administrative Law Court is handled here versus 15 in other countries. And I say England because I 16 know specifically they have one. I wasn't able 17 to visit with a judge when I was over there, but 18 I think it would be valuable just to see the 19 different approaches to administrative law and 20 see if there's any ideas that might be helpful in 21 our area and might be helpful to them. 22 would be an interesting dialogue, I believe. 23 Mr. Johnson, in what instances is it appropriate Q. 24 for the judiciary to work in cooperation with 25 other branches of government, and in what areas

must the judiciary stand apart from other branches?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Well, certainly I think that when it comes to --Α. well, I just gave an example, with legislation that might affect the jurisdiction of the court, I think that it's valuable to have input from the court. I think that's the -- I mean, the General Assembly only has a limited view of the day to day workload at the Administrative Law Court and the types of cases, and I think the Administrative Law Court is in the best position to, or any court, is in the best position to give quidance to the General Assembly as far as matters affecting its jurisdiction. I do think that one way in which the courts should not cross the line over into legislation is in interpreting statutory or regulatory law in a way that contravenes the intent of the General Assembly. I don't believe that courts should be in the position of legislating. Rather, as it's always been, my understanding, the courts are to interpret the law, to say what the law is, to borrow from Marbury vs. Madison. But I certainly believe that it's healthy for the branches to interact, specifically in areas that govern the

administration and jurisdiction of the court and the types of cases that the court hears.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Mr. Johnson, the Commission received 80 ballot Q. box surveys regarding you with 22 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Johnson is a great hearing officer who makes sound, logical, legal decisions. knowledgeable of the law and is kind hearted and helpful to his team. Another stated that Mr. Johnson is an extremely bright, hardworking He has tremendous knowledge of attorney. administrative law. He is fair and ethical and treats everyone with respect. A few comments raise concerns about your level of experience. How would you address that concern?
 - A. Well, I'm not sure exactly what they're referring to. I remember one specific comment was that the OMBH had good experience with that, but there's a lot more areas than that in the Administrative Law Court. I would point out that the Administrative Law Court, I believe, has about 20 different state agencies from which cases are heard. I don't think there are many people who would have more than three, maybe four agencies

1 for which they might have had work experience, 2 certainly not 20. So I don't think it's possible 3 for any candidate to have experience in every 4 area of the Administrative Law Court. I will say 5 that I think in my situation, as I said, I've had 6 experience in working within agencies outside the 7 court itself, the Department of Employment 8 Workforce, Officer Motor Vehicle Hearings, and, 9 again, as a law clerk for the Department of 10 Consumer Affairs. But in addition to that, I 11 think I bring a unique experience of actually 12 having worked for the court itself, which 13 inherently gave me experience working in cases in 14 just about all, if not all, of the agencies that 15 do appear before the court. So that would be my 16 response.

Q. And one other concern raised was regarding your temperament. How would you respond to those concerns?

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. A bit vague. I'm not really sure what they mean by temperament, but I've always tried to maintain not quite a laid back approach, but certainly not to be overly strict, but I think I conduct my hearings in a straightforward manner. I might be in a little bit of a hurry if we're falling

1 behind in schedule. I might not have time to 2 chat like I try to do when we have a little bit 3 of time, waiting on parties to arrive, for 4 instance, who have informed me that they're going 5 to be arriving a little bit late. But I've tried 6 to be even tempered. I recognize that as a 7 valuable attribute of a judge, and that's 8 something that I've admired in all the judges 9 that I've known that have exercised that 10 temperament.

- Q. Thank you for that, Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson, you note in your PDQ that you were sued in 2005 that arose out of a car accident.
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.

11

12

13

- Q. Can you explain the nature and disposition of that lawsuit?
- 17 Α. It was a cold, rainy night in November. Ι 18 actually had a deductive logic exam the next 19 morning, which I don't know if that contributed 2.0 to my attention being elsewhere, but the car in 21 front of me had stopped, and I wasn't paying 22 I accidentally ran into the back of attention. 23 them. That has been resolved between the 24 parties. It never went to trial.
 - Q. Thank you. Mr. Johnson, you indicated in your

1 2

sworn statement that you were a member of Canes Mill Club in Sumter, South Carolina.

3

Α. Yes, sir.

4 5

Can you describe for the Commission the purpose Q. of the club and the nature of its membership?

6 7 Α. I have been a member about a year. It's a club

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0 21

22

23

24

25

The purpose of the club is to enjoy the outdoors. that's been around for almost 100 years. one that the membership is limited to men, but I will say that half the attendees at our meetings They enjoy it. I know my mom enjoys are women. it. They're not forbidden from using the grounds or anything like that. That's where my sister grew up, fishing out there with my dad. to take my daughter out there when she's a little bit older. The reason why I joined it is because the place has very, very much a sentimental value My grandfather taught me how to fish out to me. My dad's a member, and he and I fished there. out there. My uncle's a member. Actually, my family has celebrated Thanksqiving either day of or day after out there every year since I've been My understanding from my mom is that her side of the family has celebrated Thanksgiving out there for probably about 20 years even before

1 I was born, so we're talking about 60 years of 2 tradition. The place means a lot to me. 3 Q. Thank you. 4 MR. HINSON: I would note that the Midlands Citizens 5 Committee found Mr. Johnson qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 6 7 qualifications, physical health and mental 8 stability. The Committee found him well 9 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 10 fitness, professional and academic ability, 11 character, reputation, experience and judicial The Committee stated in summary 12 temperament. 13 that Mr. Johnson is well qualified, especially 14 with his previous experience in the ALC. 15 I just have a few housekeeping questions for you. Q. 16 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 17 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 18 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 19 of your screening? 2.0 Α. No, sir. 21 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 22 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, 23 or are you aware of anyone attempting to 24 intervene in this process on your behalf? 25

No, sir.

Α.

1 Since submitting your letter of intent to run for 0. 2 this seat, have you contacted any members of the 3 Commission about your candidacy? 4 Α. I'll say no. I will say that I attempted to 5 reach out to Senator Garrett. However, I will 6 quickly add that that was before we received 7 notice that he was now a member of the 8 Commission, and I have not followed up since 9 Nothing personal, but I understand the 10 rules. 11 Thank you. Mr. Johnson, do you understand that 0. 12 you are prohibited from seeking a pledge or 13 commitment directly or indirectly until 48 hours 14 after formal release of the Commission's report, 15 and are you aware of the penalties for violating the pledging rules? 16 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 MR. HINSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record 19 that any concerns raised during the investigation 20 regarding Mr. Johnson were raised in the 21 questioning today. And with that, I have no 22 further questions. 23 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Mr. Safran. 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. SAFRAN: 25 MR. JOHNSON - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

- 1 Q. Let me make sure I understand just a couple of things.
- 3 | A. Sure.
- Q. Basically, when you worked for Judge Anderson and
 Judge Linski, I think you were basically their
 law clerk?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. And so, I mean, I'm assuming you --
- 9 A. And Judge Funderburk.
- 10 Q. And I saw Funderburk, I guess, for a little shorter window?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And I don't know Judge Linski, but I
 certainly know Judge Anderson and Judge
 Funderburk. Great guys. And you can't help but
 learn from Judge Anderson. I mean, it's just
 almost -- you can't avoid it.
- 18 A. He's an intellect, to be sure.
- Q. Let me -- and you worked for Judge Young, who was great. And basically, I think that what I'm just trying to kind of hone in is that you certainly have that staff experience. You basically had the law clerk experience and effectively, you've had some hearing officer experience.
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

- Q. All right. And most recent hearing officer
 experience. I'm pretty, I guess, aware of what
 you do for motor vehicles. I mean, it's kind of
 a gamut of things, but, I mean, is that basically
 full time?
 - A. Oh, it is full time, yes, sir.
 - Q. Right. I mean, but that's what you do. And those appeals from there, do they go to the ALJs?

- A. Yes, sir, they do as appeals.
- Q. All right. I mean, was there ever any desire to get into private practice at all?
 - A. My heart's always been to public service. That's why, even in law school, my summer jobs were with public agencies, but I will say that, particularly with Judge Young, I learned an appreciation for the private sector. When I first started working for him, for instance, I was -- I hated all these continuances, continuances, continuances, continuances, continuances. And I want to move on with the cases, get them moving, you know, and he told me something that's always stuck with me, and that is don't act like a lawyer who's only got one case. And I have -- that has stuck with me, and I've tried to be a

1 lot more understanding with the schedules that 2 people in private practice, attorneys in private 3 practice have. And they are balancing a bunch of 4 different schedules in a bunch of different 5 courts across the state. And I try to accommodate that. And I think anybody who's 6 7 practiced before me would agree that I try to be 8 flexible.

- Q. Well, I think -- we had Judge Madden in here earlier, and in so many words, he kind of pointed to the fact that when you've got a number of files, you basically have to gravitate generally to the hottest fire, and that's how that works.
- A. Yes, sir.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. But, you know, I just wanted to make sure because, again, you know, I think there was another gentleman who was very bright who I think was a clerk over there for many years, may still be there who was here a few years ago. And, you know, I believe in this. If I'm going to ask him the question, I ask you the question.
- 22 | A. Sure.
 - Q. Kind of feed everybody out of the same spoon.

 You know, it's just at times I get a little

 concerned about the idea of somebody who

effectively has been a career law clerk -- and,
granted, yours has been spiced with having the
hearing officer background -- you know, stepping
into a judgeship. I mean, and just being frank
about it.

6 A. Sure.

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. But, I mean, do you see any reason for concern along those lines?
- A. No, sir. In fact, it might be helpful to give a little bit more detail about what I do as a hearing officer, particularly with OMBH.
- Q. Sure.
- 13 It's very different, I think. So, first of all, Α. 14 like a judge, I'm making evidentiary rulings. 15 That's a significant difference from being a law 16 I'm the one having to make the clerk. 17 evidentiary decisions. I'm the one ruling on 18 I'm the one issuing orders in my name, motions. 19 writing and issuing orders in my name. But aside 2.0 from that, a large part of what I do when I'm not 21 doing implied consent cases is I'm doing hearings 22 with largely pro se individuals. And DMV in all 23 but physical disqualification cases appear by way 24 of certified document. What that means is, 25 therefore, that I end up being essentially the

1 lawyer and the judge. And so I have to ask 2 questions. I have to ask all the questions. And 3 it could end up being cross examination. 4 provide testimony, I need followup questions, or 5 if they've got their own witnesses, and sometimes 6 they do. And so I'm in the business of having to 7 build the record myself in a lot of the cases 8 that aren't implied consent cases where you've 9 got attorneys involved. And so in that respect, 10 I think there's a little bit more of a litigation 11 role than might otherwise not be really apparent 12 from just being a hearing officer. 13 Well, so in effect, what you're telling me is 0.

Q. Well, so in effect, what you're telling me is you're litigating and adjudicating to some extent?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. That's the position I'm put in. They are allowed to do that by statute, by the way. It's not that they're shirking their duties.
- Q. Don't doubt it. Don't doubt it. I'm sure I'd hear otherwise if basically that wasn't the case.

 But thank you very much for offering. I appreciate your comments.
- VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Safran, I'll tell you, as somebody against whom Mr. Johnson has made findings and against rulings, it's considerably

1 different than a law clerk has experienced. 2 other members of the Commission have questions or 3 comments? Seeing none, then, Mr. Johnson, I'll 4 just add it has been my experience with you that 5 all of the comments, the glowing comments that 6 Mr. Hinson recited to you earlier, were rightly 7 said and shared. I've flagged a few more to 8 share with you, though, because I don't want you 9 to rely on just me for that. Samuel Johnson is professional, nice, extremely intelligent. 10 11 Opinions are well researched, well reasoned, well 12 written. I find him to be very knowledgeable, 13 fair, thorough, highly intelligent, efficient, 14 professional, well liked. So I certainly would 15 like to say, it's not just me, but other members 16 of the Bar who have appeared with you or before 17 you, who have come to know you by the reputation 18 that you've earned, say very glowing things about 19 you, and that is an affirmation that you should 20 not take lightly. 21 MR. JOHNSON: I don't. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I want to give you one last opportunity, if there's anything else you'd like 23 24 to say. 25 Well, at the risk of alienating MR. JOHNSON:

1 potential Clemson fans --2 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Please do, please do, sir. 3 I'll just leave it there. MR. JOHNSON: 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Let me help you get 5 out of here, Mr. Johnson. This will conclude 6 this portion of our screening process. I do need 7 to take this opportunity to remind you that 8 pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, 9 we take the letter and the spirit of the law very 10 seriously and view violations or the appearance 11 of impropriety as serious and potentially 12 deserving of very heavy weight in our screening 13 deliberations. And on that note, as you know, 14 the record will remain open until the release of 15 our final qualifications report, and should the 16 need arise, we would have the ability to call you back again if that need were to arise. 17 understand all of that? 18 19 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir, I do. 20 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Thank you very 21 I appreciate your service to the state. much. 22 Thank you for your flexibility in being here. Ι 23 wish you and your family a very Happy 24 Thanksgiving, and hope to see you again soon. 25 MR. JOHNSON: I wish y'all the same. Thank you.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir.
2	(OFF THE RECORD)
3	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The pending motion of Mr.
4	Safran and seconded by Senator Garrett is for the
5	Commission to go into an Executive Session and
6	receive a legal briefing. All in favor signify
7	by saying aye.
8	(Ayes are heard.)
9	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All opposed, nay. The ayes
10	have it. We will go into an Executive Session
11	and receive a legal briefing. Thank you.
12	EXECUTIVE SESSION
13	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, good afternoon. We
14	will go back on the record to proceed with the
15	hearings remaining on our calendar for today. We
16	are now coming out of the Executive Session. For
17	the record, while we were in Executive Session,
18	no votes were taken and no decisions were made,
19	which brings us to the screening for Judge
20	Bromell-Holmes. Judge, if you please come to the
21	podium. If you would please raise your right
22	hand.
23	THE HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL-HOLMES, being duly
24	sworn, testifies as follows:
25	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. And if you

1	would, please state your full name for the
2	record.
3	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Jan Bromell-Holmes. If you
4	would please restate your full name.
5	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Jan Bromell-Holmes.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Judge, there should
7	be some documents there in front of you, a
8	personal data questionnaire and a sworn
9	statement. If you would please review those.
10	All right. Are there any updates or changes that
11	need to be made to those documents?
12	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: No, there's not.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection to us
14	entering those into the record?
15	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I do not.
16	(Exhibit Number 35 was marked for identification
17	purposes - (16 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
18	The Honorable Jan B. Bromell-Holmes)
19	(Exhibit Number 36 was marked for identification
20	purposes - (7 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
21	Jan B. Bromell-Holmes.)
22	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Let me give
23	staff just a moment to do that. While they're
24	doing that, let me afford you the opportunity to
25	introduce some or any of the guests or none of

1 the guests, however you'd like to proceed, that have joined you here this afternoon. 2 3 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: My husband Cleveland, my 4 daughter Atira, second row, Dorita Edge, Jennifer 5 Kathleen Hearn, Cindy Hardy, Judy Guyton, George Hearn, Carolyn Hill, Tammy Vandale, Deborah 6 7 Danford and Jennifer Hills. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Pass. Thank you and thank you 9 all for being here this afternoon for this 10 important screening. The Judicial Merit 11 Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated 12 your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry 13 has focused on the nine evaluative criteria and 14 included a thorough study of your application 15 materials, a ballot box survey, verification of 16 your compliance with state ethics laws, a search 17 of newspaper articles in which your name appears, 18 a study of your previous screenings and a check 19 for economic conflicts of interest. 2.0 received two affidavits filed in opposition to 21 your election. Three witnesses are present to 22 Judge, I would ask you to please take a 23 seat so we can hear testimony from the first 24 And at this point I would invite complainant. 25 Michelle Capps to come forward to the podium.

1 Good afternoon. 2 MICHELLE CAPPS, being duly sworn, testifies as 3 follows: 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. And if you 5 would, please state your full name for the 6 record. 7 MS. CAPPS: Michelle Davis Capps. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Let me recognize 9 staff counsel for some important comments and 10 questions. 11 MS. FOSTER: Hi, Ms. Capps. The Commission has before 12 it your affidavit of complaint, which I 13 respectfully request be made part of the record 14 at this time. For the record, home addresses 15 have been removed. The purpose of today's hearing is for the Commission to review the 16 17 qualifications of the candidates to determine 18 whether Judge Bromell-Holmes is qualified to be 19 on the Court of Appeals. The Commission is not 20 here to relitigate a case, and they do not have 21 the ability to change the result of the case. 22 Ms. Capps, you've submitted your affidavit which 23 the Commission is reviewing. Is there anything 24 else you wish to testify to specifically 25 regarding Judge Bromell-Holmes ethics, competency

```
1
          or character that has not already been covered in
 2
          the documents before the Commission?
     (Exhibit Number 37a was marked for identification
 3
 4
    purposes - (105 pages) Affidavit of and Part 1 of
 5
    Michelle Capps Complaint)
 6
     (Exhibit Number 37b was marked for identification
 7
    purposes - (212 pages) Part 2 of Michelle Capps
 8
     Complaint)
 9
     (Exhibit Number 37c was marked for identification
10
    purposes - (165 pages) Parts 3 through 6 of Michelle
11
     Capps Complaint)
12
     (Exhibit Number 38 was marked for identification
13
    purposes - (96 pages) Affidavits and Haley Capps and
14
     Emily Capps.)
15
    MS. CAPPS: Yes, and I just wanted to clarify
16
          something that you stated. Did you say for the
17
          Court of Appeals?
18
    MS. FOSTER:
                  I did and that's a mistake.
                                               I'm sorry.
19
          You're correct, Family Court. I'm so sorry.
2.0
    MS. CAPPS:
                 I would like to have a moment to speak and
21
          also have a moment to let anyone ask any
22
                      I would like to have a chance to give
          questions.
23
          y'all -- ask me any questions or to speak if
24
          that's fine.
                        Is that okay to start now?
25
    MS. FOSTER:
                  Yes.
```

1 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Capps, before you begin 2 though, I just want to note we have received the 3 documentation you provided, which if my 4 calculator is correct is some 476 pages of 5 documents that you filed as well as the complaint 6 itself, and because of the volume of those 7 materials I want to make sure that we've given 8 you ample opportunity to be heard on this matter, 9 but I also have to recognize the constraints that 10 we have with respect to time. We're not going to 11 be here until the wee morning hours. 12 MS. CAPPS: Trust me, I've got Thanksgiving to get 13 ready as much as I'm sure y'all do. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Fantastic. Let me --15 MS. CAPPS: I have no desire to -- that's why I did it 16 all in the complaint. There are a few things I'd 17 like to touch on. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes ma'am. And so to that end 19 what I'd like to do is make sure that I have 2.0 correct, based on the information you submitted, 21 essentially the the thrust of the the nine issues 22 that you've raised, and so I just like to review 23 those with you --24 MS. CAPPS: Sure. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: -- to make sure we've got --

1 because again it is a lot to organize -- we've got the principal thrust of it, and then I want 2 3 to afford you an opportunity to provide whatever 4 additional information you would like to. 5 MS. CAPPS: Okay. 6 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And the first is that you 7 allege during a 2017 rule to show cause Judge 8 Holmes allowed false claims and false testimony 9 and that she was not prompt or efficient. 10 MS. CAPPS: Yes. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The second instance of alleged 12 misconduct relates to a 2018 rule to show cause 13 hearing where your daughters were made to watch 14 Judge Holmes preside in DJJ cases as a deterrent 15 to resistance to a father's visitation. 16 that sound right? 17 MS. CAPPS: Yes. The third instance alleges 18 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 19 misconduct between May 22nd and 23rd of 2019 in 2.0 which there was bias in allowing certain evidence 21 to be admitted. Is that right? 22 I'm not exactly certain which one that MS. CAPPS: 23 you're speaking of. Are you talking about the 24 hearing on 2014 -- on December 14th, 2018? 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I'm referring to May 22nd and

1 May 23rd of 2019. 2 MS. CAPPS: Okay. And the thing I guess that I'm a 3 little confused with is I know that you are being 4 very concise --5 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Please tell my wife that. 6 MS. CAPPS: -- and that you're being very concise in, 7 you know, narrowing it down to maybe the overall, 8 the global thing, but there's multiple things 9 that are cited under each one, and I just -- you 10 know, they were important as well. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am. I'm going give you plenty opportunity to talk about those. 12 13 MS. CAPPS: Okay. Actually, I don't need to do all 14 that. 15 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The challenge that we are faced 16 with as a Commission is that we are not a 17 judicial body that gets to step in and say we 18 should have made a different decision in any way. 19 MS. CAPPS: I know. I'm not after that. 2.0 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And so what what I want to do 21 is make sure that we've thoroughly received the 22 information that you want to provide to us to 23 help arrive at the decisions we do get to make. 24 And to that end we've tried to identify those 25 And I'm going to -- after I go through moments.

1 and get to the bottom of the list, give you an 2 opportunity to go back to the top so that we're 3 not confused. One of the challenges in an 4 extended domestic litigation especially is that 5 it's hard sometimes to follow what has happened 6 if you're not intimately involved in those 7 proceedings. And so to that end that's why I've 8 tried to organize these these thoughts because I 9 don't want anything to get lost. The fourth 10 instance of alleged misconduct was July 14, 2019 11 where you assert there were violations of canons 12 one, two and three, but there's no explanation of 13 Judge Holmes' violation of those cannons in 14 relation to the July 14th hearing. 15 MS. CAPPS: Is that June, 14th 2019? 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: My notes say July 2019, July 17 14, 2019. 18 MS. CAPPS: Mine has June 14th, and I have exhibit --19 well I'll go through afterwards. 20 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. The fifth instance 21 occurred September 25th, 2020, and it relates to a statement to recreate the record. 22 A sixth 23 instance occurred based on a motion to compel 24 dated March 9th, 2020 in which you allege 25 misconduct and bias based on not requiring an

1 exhusband to file a financial declaration. 2 have that right? 3 That's one of the things, MS. CAPPS: 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. I have that as number 5 six on our list. 6 MS. CAPPS: Okay. 7 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Does that sound right? 8 MS. CAPPS: I didn't number them, so that that's okay, 9 but that did occur on that date. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. The seventh instance was 10 11 alleged to have occurred June 22nd, 2020 in an ex 12 parte order. You alleged that that was improper 13 because Judge Holmes did not satisfy requirements 14 necessary to issue an exparte order. 15 MS. CAPPS: Yes, that's correct. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The eighth instance related to 17 a second temporary hearing that was continued on 18 August 12th, 2020. You allege canon violations 19 because Judge Holmes did not cite good and 2.0 sufficient legal cause for the continuance, and 21 you also alleged collusion. Is that right? 22 MS. CAPPS: That is. 23 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And the ninth instance of 24 alleged misconduct occurred in the second legal 25 action brought against ex-husband for additional

1	support for daughter's tuition. You would
2	disagree with Judge Holmes' ability to preside
3	over the case due to an ongoing appeal arising
4	from a ruling in the initial divorce proceedings.
5	Do I have that right?
6	MS. CAPPS: It didn't have to do with the initial
7	divorce proceedings.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay.
9	MS. CAPPS: It was just a rule to show cause is what
10	the appeal was.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Okay. Are there
12	any other separate instances we can go back and
13	put some meat on those bones? Are there other
14	instances that we need to frame here for a
15	discussion because again, our inquiry is limited
16	to an assessment of the candidates fitness for
17	judicial service based on the evaluative
18	criteria. And so if at any point I certainly
19	don't intend to be rude or short but I may
20	intervene to help us steer back to the
21	information relative to our purposes here. Is
22	that fair?
23	MS. CAPPS: Of course.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. That all being said,
25	let's start with the first one, the 2017 rule to

1 show cause. What would you have to tell us 2 beyond the 500-ish pages of information we've 3 got? 4 Well, to be honest, I don't have much. MS. CAPPS: Ι 5 mean, I felt like I put everything, and I put it 6 purposely in the document. I felt that it was as 7 clear as I could get it. I provided all of the 8 transcript so that you could see exactly -- or as 9 much as the transcripts were accurate -- what 10 transcribed. You could see the exhibits, and so 11 I felt like I have completed that, and I have 12 provided that to the Committee. 13 And certainly appreciate that. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 14 I don't know who deserves the credit for the 15 organization and the various PDF files whether that's you or staff, either one of you, did a 16 17 great job or all of you, whoever did that. 18 organized such that we have the ability to access 19 it here, and I'm grateful for whomever did that. 20 If there's nothing on that first instance, 21 let's move to the second. 22 If there's one thing that I could say. MS. CAPPS: 23 And if when you go back and you review anything 24 that you go to review, if there are some things 25 that I could possibly say maybe take a glance at

1 this one maybe take a glance at that, I would 2 like to say for the 2017 rule to show cause I 3 would encourage you to look at my exhibit number 4 I just felt that the evidence was very 5 clear. It was just overwhelming to sit in a 6 courtroom and have someone present evidence where 7 the truth was told about something, and then they 8 sit on the stand and right after they've read the 9 first sentence or played the recording where it 10 stated one thing, they right there on the stand 11 were stating the other. And it's -- it's a very 12 unsettling and bizarre feeling, and I believe 13 that I was able to show that very clearly in the 14 evidence I submitted. So I encourage you to 15 please glance at that. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Thank you, ma'am. 17 I've noted that. The second instance if we ready 18 to move on to that one, and this is the 2018 rule 19 to show cause hearing regarding the daughters 2.0 being compelled as you alleged to watch DJJ 21 hearings. 22 You know, I don't want to take away MS. CAPPS: Yes. 23 from their moment and this. However, never could 24 I imagine a situation where it's within judicial 25 or legal bounds to -- prior to anyone -- like I

1	never had even taken the stand. I hadn't
2	testified yet. She had never heard my voice. I
3	never testified because of some of the issues
4	that I claimed in the 2017 rule to show cause.
5	She'd never heard my voice and to then and you
6	can see this is the other thing that I am going
7	to implore you. Defendant's my exhibit
8	number 11, please, please, please go through,
9	look at every single piece of evidence that I
10	submitted because that is what she cites, that is
11	the only thing that she was given besides someone
12	who was not telling the truth on this stand. And
13	please look at that. It doesn't add up, it
14	doesn't make sense and this was outside of her
15	jurisdiction to do it anyway.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And exhibit 11 refers to the
17	MS. CAPPS: My exhibit 11.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I'm sorry. Let's just make sure
19	
20	MS. CAPPS: Okay.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: the lady just to your left
22	is the court reporter, and as it turns out in
23	proceedings like this we have to take turns and
24	we have a very nice lady who will make sure that
25	we do take turns because the record has to be

1 very clear as to all of our our proceedings here. 2 So just so I'm clear, your exhibit number 11, 3 that refers to the 2018 rule to show cause? 4 MS. CAPPS: Yes, and in that, my exhibit 11, it has --5 from the 2018 rule to show cause it has 6 defendant's exhibits number 1 through 30, and 7 then it has plaintiff's exhibits number 1 through 8 7, which were introduced during the cross 9 examinations. And just as a small note, 10 plaintiff's exhibit number 4 of all the social 11 media posts are made -- the purpose of that was 12 because those social media posts when he was 13 doing whatever he was doing were on the girls 14 times when he never showed up to be with them, 15 and so that's the only point of relevance for 16 that. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Thank you. The third 18 instance is the May 2019, May 22nd, May 23rd, 19 2019 in which you allege bias in allowing certain evidence to be admitted. Is there a particular 2.0 21 exhibit you'd like us to turn to with respect to that allocation? 22 23 MS. CAPPS: Let's see here. Well this is interesting, 24 and I will say this. In exhibit number -- my 25 exhibit, I'm sorry, number 14 it states -- page

what's interesting is the issue of the transcripts, especially since I'm someone what a three-day hearing, two days of the transcripts were deleted. Another time I've had issues	no had
a three-day hearing, two days of the transc	no had
	10 mad
5 were deleted. Another time I've had issues	ripts
	where
6 we knew that things were not accurately	
7 reflected. We even went so far to ask for	he
8 recording, we were denied. I believe that	his
9 is in part as to why the first two days of	the
hearing were deleted or missing.	
11 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And so as to that third po	oint,
you're citing us to your exhibit 14?	
MS. CAPPS: Exhibit 14, yes.	
MS. CAPPS: The fourth instance on July 14, 2019	where
you claim violations, but don't cite an	
explanation of the violation on the ruling.	It's
June. June, not July	
18 MS. CAPPS: Yes, June	
19 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Sometimes I read from Juli	io,
the month Julio, July. My fault.	
the month Julio, July. My fault. MS. CAPPS: Actually, I did and I'm sorry if	70u
21 MS. CAPPS: Actually, I did and I'm sorry if	you
MS. CAPPS: Actually, I did and I'm sorry if y don't have that, I can definitely give it to	you ack up

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

plaintiff's exhibit number 22. And paragraph 7, you know, I cite how there's more than enough evidence on the record to prove that this shouldn't have been an issue. I cite a case of South Carolina law, and number -- paragraph 14 I know I cite how Judge Holmes acknowledged that there's a great deal of disparity in our income. How does she know that? She's refused to allow house financials to be entered into the evidence or viewed throughout any hearing or any case that ever went on, but then she stated that I had sufficient income to satisfy a portion of house fees and costs. And \$40,000 is more than a portion, and in plaintiff's exhibit number 21 and plaintiff's exhibit number 22 -- provide more than enough evidence that I did not have that said enough income to satisfy that 40,000. that's how I felt that I backed those claims up VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And that's arising out of the June 2019 hearing? MS. CAPPS: Yes, the June 14th, 2019. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Turning then if we can Okay. to the fifth instance which is the September 25th, 2020 statement to recreate the record. That is as I understand it a generalized

1 objection to the fact that she's created, based 2 on her notes, a recreation of the transcript. Is 3 that --4 MS. CAPPS: That is in part, yes. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Anything else you'd like 6 to add to that? 7 MS. CAPPS: Well, let me see here if there's anything. 8 I just felt that overall -- you know, if you look in my complaint -- if you look in my complaint, 9 10 you're going to see what I cited, and I'm not 11 going to read things verbatim here, but I was 12 very detailed and very clear, and I hope you take 13 the time to look at that because I felt that it 14 was very important. 15 MS. CAPPS: Yes, ma'am. And we absolutely will. 16 Again, I'll say this now and probably several 17 more times. Very much appreciate the diligence 18 with which you have submitted all of this. 19 organizational effort is not lost on me. 2.0 won't speak for others, but this is considerable 21 amount of effort, and I appreciate that. 22 MS. CAPPS: I appreciate you saying that because it 23 definitely was. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Let's turn then to the sixth 25 instance in which you allege the judge exhibited

1 bias and showed a lack of ethics by not requiring 2 husband to file a financial declaration. 3 MS. CAPPS: Yes. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Anything in particular to add 5 to that other than what you've already referenced 6 in the record? 7 MS. CAPPS: You know, abiding by the rules of the 8 court in complying with rule 20 SCFCR, you know, 9 that's basic. And throughout every single 10 hearing and motion -- this was a motion to compel 11 hearing to get him to turn over discovery into 12 getting to do a financial declaration. Again it 13 didn't happen, and it's one of these situations 14 where you look at it overall. You know, what it 15 is it said, you know. One time is an instance, 16 two times is the coincidence, three times is the 17 pattern. I feel like I have worked very hard 18 here to show a lot of different patterns, and his 19 not being forced or requested or whatever to 2.0 comply with state law isn't okay. And that's 21 just one very small thing. 22 Okay. Let's look then VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Sure. 23 at the seventh instance which is the June 22nd, 24 2020 ex parte order. You allege Judge Holmes 25 didn't satisfy the requirements necessary to

1 issue the ex parte order. 2 MS. CAPPS: Correct. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Anything to add to that one? 4 MS. CAPPS: Well, I was never served the ex parte 5 order. I think that's kind of crazy. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Let me interrupt you to ask, 6 7 were you represented by counsel? 8 MS. CAPPS: Yes, I was. 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. 10 MS. CAPPS: And it was very, very known. We were in 11 the midst of horrific, and so, yes, I was. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: So your testimony to us is that 13 with respect to the June 22nd, 2020 ex parte 14 order, neither you nor your attorney were served 15 with that. 16 MS. CAPPS: My testimony is that I was never served 17 and that it was hand delivered to my attorney and 18 then emailed to my attorney. And, you know, I 19 thought it was wonky. 2.0 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: So let me just make sure I'm 21 following what you've said. Your attorney, who represented you at that point, received the 22 23 documents through a wonky mechanism to your 24 attorney. 25 MS. CAPPS: They hand delivered them, yes.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Do you happen to recall 2 the time frame between the time the order was 3 signed and the time that the order was delivered 4 to your attorney? 5 MS. CAPPS: I probably could get -- find that 6 information with you. I have everything back 7 there. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Let me ask it in perhaps a 9 broader sense. Are we talking about a matter of 10 a couple days, or is this like weeks later? 11 MS. CAPPS: It was not weeks later, but probably what I think is the greater aspect of this situation 12 13 was the ex parte conversation that went on prior 14 to this ex parte order being signed. 15 22nd my attorney, Jalon Derek, was at the 16 courthouse and happened to be waiting to speak to 17 Judge Holmes regarding a separate case and not 18 involving me when Anita Floyd exited her 19 chambers. Even though they should have notified 2.0 her prior to their ex parte communication, 21 neither informed Jalon of the ex parte order that 22 Judge Holmes had just signed. And, you know, it 23 definitely appears to me to show an appearance of 24 impropriety, bias and possible collusion and 25 more.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: It seems to me that you were 2 reading a part of that. Can you tell me what 3 exhibit that is that you're reading? 4 MS. CAPPS: This is in my exhibit 19. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Got it, exhibit 19. Okay. The 6 eighth instance of alleged misconduct occurred 7 when there was a second temporary hearing that 8 was continued on August 12th, 2020 if my notes 9 are right. 10 MS. CAPPS: Yes. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: You alleged that the judge did 12 not have good and sufficient legal cause for the 13 continuance and alleges collusion. As you say 14 earlier, instance, coincidence, pattern. 15 pattern you're alleging here is that there is 16 continued communication ex parte or collusion not 17 involving you on the beneficial interest side of 18 Is that right? it. 19 MS. CAPPS: And certainly not involving my attorney. 20 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Is there --21 MS. CAPPS: And this is exhibit -- my exhibit number 20. 22 23 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: That was what I was about to 24 Okay. All right. Bringing us to the ask you. 25 ninth instance of misconduct you allege.

1	disagree with Judge Holmes' ability to preside
2	over the case due to the ongoing appeal. Is that
3	right?
4	MS. CAPPS: That was one of the reasons, yes.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. What are the other
6	reasons?
7	MS. CAPPS: Well, you know, again, one of the specific
8	things I felt I need to say with this is that one
9	of my claims in the appeal was how she never
10	required how to abide by the rules of the court
11	and comply with rule 20 SC, you know, blah, blah.
12	blah. This also was an aspect in this case as
13	well, and so, you know, having to be in front of
14	a judge and requesting and being denied again
15	things that you're already appealing you know,
16	she couldn't very well go against herself, and
17	it's just an extreme appearance of impropriety.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am.
19	MS. CAPPS: And, you know, at this point in time it
20	just felt like it was for sport.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Sure. Let me then sort of
22	bring us back if we've connected all the
23	information you've submitted to each of the
24	instances, and you've had a brief albeit a
25	brief chance, and I appreciate that this is years

1 of your life, and so that is not lost on me. But 2 insofar as we've had a chance to run through 3 these in summary, bearing in mind that our 4 responsibility as a Commission is to evaluate the 5 candidate along the lines of the evaluative 6 criteria, is there anything you want to offer 7 broadly to help us assess the candidacy? 8 MS. CAPPS: Well, there are --9 This is the time for closing VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 10 argument. 11 Okay. That's what I'm thinking it is. MS. CAPPS: Ι feel that -- let me get my things here -- you 12 13 know, I know that the JMSC -- I tried very hard 14 and I hope that it was evident, to focus on your nine evaluative criteria and the judicial canons 15 16 and to as much as I could do it keep emotion out 17 of it to stick straight to the facts and and keep 18 it from there. I know that your job is to 19 determine whether or not a candidate meets 2.0 expectations or does not meet expectations, but 21 what I through these years have determined is how 22 in the world can she meet expectations when I 23 have proven through her own words in court 24 transcripts and exhibits that at a minimum -- and 25 I do mean a bare minimum because if you've read

1 my complaint you know how things were grouped 2 together -- it wasn't a minimum, you know, every 3 single thing -- instance, but at a minimum she 4 has overall violated the judicial canon one 12 5 times, the judicial canon two 11 times, the 6 judicial canon three 13 times. That is a minimum of 36 judicial canon violations. 7 How in the 8 world could she possibly meet expectations? 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well we're going to give her a 10 chance to tell us that --11 Absolutely. MS. CAPPS: 12 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Is there anything else you'd 13 like to offer the Commission? 14 MS. CAPPS: Well, you know, I spent a lot of time over 15 the past few years reading transcripts of this 16 hearing, of times when she came up, and, you 17 know, I would always see her cite, you know, it's 18 all about the children. Well, I feel today and 19 before I have proven that it is not. 2.0 because there have been occasions where she did 21 the right thing, where she kept the judicial 22 canons, did not participate in judicial 23 misconduct, that in no way of her doing the bare 24 minimum does it diminish where she does violate 25 judicial canons and does participate in

1	misconduct. And let's see. I ask that you keep
2	her to the same standards that you've kept
3	others, including Charlie Holmes. I mean,
4	Charlie Andrews, with her appearance of
5	impropriety and lastly I will close with this.
6	When people are allowed to get away with blatant
7	horrible behavior and misconduct, it emboldens
8	them and it makes every aspect of the people they
9	come in contact with or their victims lives so
10	much harder. And so I hope that you all greatly
11	take the responsibility of which you've chosen to
12	sit on this Commission because it truly affects
13	generations of families, and I know that it will
14	affect generations of mine.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am. Thank you very
16	much. Thank you for your time. Thank you for
17	making the effort to be here. We certainly
18	appreciate your input.
19	MS. CAPPS: Thank you.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am. Senator Sabb.
21	SENATOR SABB: Are we allowed to ask a couple of
22	questions.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Oh, yeah. Ms. Capps, if you'd
24	be willing to take a couple of questions, Senator
25	Sabb is recognized.

1 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, 2 And I won't belinger it. I just have Ms. Capps. 3 a couple of questions. I noted in some of your 4 writings that your lawyer sent a letter asserting 5 that the other lawyer was shopping for judges. 6 MS. CAPPS: Yes. 7 SENATOR SABB: And I note that you mentioned Judge 8 Buchanan, and Judge Norton and Judge Holmes. 9 that right? Were they the three? 10 MS. CAPPS: And the three in what? I'm sorry. 11 SENATOR SABB: So the three judges that were mentioned 12 in your paperwork was Judge Norton, and of course 13 one of the exhibits that you submitted was an 14 order signed by Judge Norton. 15 MS. CAPPS: Oh, yes. 16 SENATOR SABB: And also Judge Buchanan. 17 MS. CAPPS: Yes. 18 SENATOR SABB: And then Judge Holmes. 19 MS. CAPPS: Yes. 2.0 SENATOR SABB: And in most of the instances I noticed 21 the basis of the hearing were rules to show 22 cause. 23 MS. CAPPS: Yes. 24 Now, those rules to show cause wasn't SENATOR SABB: 25 submitted by any of the judges. They were

1 submitted and the matter brought before the Court 2 3 MS. CAPPS: Exactly. 4 SENATOR SABB: -- because of your --5 MS. CAPPS: Situation with my ex-husband. 6 SENATOR SABB: -- your situation with your ex-husband. 7 Okay. I think that's all I have right now. Thank 8 vou Mr. Chairman. 9 Thank you, sir. Did any other VICE CHAIRMAN CAPPS: 10 members of the Commission have a question you 11 want to ask. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Capps. 12 certainly appreciate. I have in my notes that we 13 have two additional complainants, Emily and Haley 14 Capps, who are sitting just in front of the 15 berets there. My understanding is that the two 16 of you have alleged essentially the same charge 17 or allegation. I'm going to give both of you an 18 opportunity to be heard. We'd like to hear your 19 perspectives on this. I don't know who wants to 2.0 go first I can make that decision if it would 21 make life at home easier, but if you have a 22 preference. Yes, ma'am. Then if you would, 23 please come to the front. 24 MS. EMILY CAPPS: I am going to be speaking for both 25 me and my sister.

1 All right. Pause right there. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 2 If you would, please raise your right hand. 3 EMILY CAPPS, being duly sworn, testifies as 4 follows: 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. If you would, 6 please state your full name for the record. 7 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah. I want to make sure I'm like 8 close. Okay there you go. I'm Emily Heart 9 Capps. 10 All right. And Ms. Capps, you VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 11 were saying something just before you were sworn. If you'd like to repeat that. 12 13 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Oh, yeah. I'm gonna be speaking for 14 both me and my sister, just on her behalf as 15 well. Fantastic. My understanding is 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: that your allegations relate to the 2018 rule to 17 18 show cause hearing in which the allegation is 19 that Judge Holmes compelled you to be in Family Court to witness DJJ cases being dealt with and 20 21 that she instructed a solicitor or prosecutor to 22 address you, explain her job, the solicitor's job, as as a prosecutor and some various offenses 23 24 that you could be charged with and that you 25 stated this was very emotionally abusive and very

1	traumatic to the both of you. Is that in large
2	part what you're alleging?
3	MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yes, it is, definitely. I prepared
4	a statement as well just to talk about it.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Before we kick off on
6	that and I want to hear from you. This is a
7	whole part of what the government is supposed to
8	do is to hear out your concerns. As I said to
9	Ms. Capps, we don't have forever tonight. So I
10	didn't see exactly how many pages you have there.
11	It looks like two.
12	MS. EMILY CAPPS: It's like one and a half, and I did
13	big font too.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right.
15	MS. EMILY CAPPS: So we're good.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: A woman after my own heart with
17	the big font. You're welcome to share with us
18	whatever you like. Thank you.
19	MS. EMILY CAPPS: Thank y'all. First of all, I would
20	like to thank you all for being here. I
21	understand that this is the last hearing, and I
22	appreciate you all's time and dedication to
23	hearing our concerns. First off, I want to tell
24	you all a little bit about myself. I am
25	currently a senior at Clemson University. I am

1 majoring in psychology, and I have a double minor 2 in business administration and social sciences, 3 and I am currently in the process of applying to 4 graduate schools to pursue a career in speech 5 language pathology. I've always tried to live my 6 life as a model citizen and hold myself to the 7 highest standards. Back in high school I was 8 always the perfect student. I never got in 9 I always made straight As. I was a 10 member of several different honor societies, and 11 my biggest offense was the occasional tardy. 12 on December 14th, 2018 I was pulled out of school 13 without being told why. I was driven to the 14 courthouse and forced to sit through two juvenile 15 cases where kids my age were handcuffed and 16 shackled, and I watched them get sentenced. Ι 17 can still hear the sounds of a girl throwing up 18 in the room next door after her sentencing, 19 gasping for air as she realized how her life was 20 going to be affected. I was able to see my 21 mother in the courtroom, but my sister and I were 22 forced to sit on our own directly behind the 23 iuveniles. After the second case, Judge Holmes 24 directly addressed both my sister and I and 25 threatened us that if we kept behaving the way

1	that we were that would be us. I still remember
2	the sheer confusion and fear that I had that day,
3	and I didn't stop crying for several hours after
4	the incident. What had I done to deserve being
5	treated like a criminal, especially when I know
6	that I live my life in a way that doesn't warrant
7	anything of the sort. It was a clear abuse of
8	power and an inappropriate attempt to scare and
9	humiliate us, not to mention it was deeply
10	traumatizing. That day still haunts me, and the
11	psychological impact has stayed with me for
12	years. I knew that my dad hadn't come to pick me
13	up for over two years, and I knew that I was
14	contacting him and asking to see him and he
15	refused. This is my reality, and this is what I
16	knew to be true. Yet there I was sitting in the
17	courtroom as she told a completely fabricated lie
18	about my reality and refused to let me use my
19	voice. Her actions emboldened my father and
20	helped facilitate his continuous emotional and
21	financial abuse towards me and my family.
22	Justice, fairness and trust in the judicial
23	system are fundamental pillars of our society and
24	the foundation of our country. The judiciary is
25	meant to uphold these principles, ensuring that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

every individual is treated with respect and dignity. Without fairness, the system loses credibility. Without trust, it loses its ability to function as a force for good in people's When judges act in ways that intimidate or abuse their power, it erodes the confidence that we place in this critical institution. The judicial system must serve as a beacon of integrity where the pursuit of justice is unwavering. It is essential that those in positions of authority are held accountable to maintain the trust that citizens place in the system, for without it the very foundation of our democracy is at risk. Due to that horrifying experience, I was not able to eat or sleep for davs. For someone to be able to pull me out of a safe place at my school, not ask me any questions or allow me to speak on my own behalf, but to throw lies directly in my face threatening my safety and my future that I had been working so hard towards was truly traumatizing to my core and has stayed with me to this day. This entire experience has shaken my faith in the judicial system, and I'm here today to beg you all to consider our claim to not allow Judge Holmes to

1	continue another six-year term.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Very well said. Thank you for
3	sharing that with the Commission. I need to ask
4	you if you have any objection to our entering
5	your complaint into the record?
6	MS. EMILY CAPPS: No.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I forgot to ask Ms. Capps that
8	earlier. I'm going to ask her briefly to come
9	back up. And we'll do that, but first before we
10	do oh, we did that. See, I have a whole
11	all these ladies you see behind me are lawyers
12	who are trying to keep us straight.
13	MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah, it's that time of the day,
14	too. So, yeah.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I got a note. So I don't have
16	to go back to that one. So, we've done that.
17	All right. I apologize for the levity. This is
18	obviously a very serious matter, and I don't want
19	to distract from that, At this point I want to
20	check and see if any members of the Commission
21	have any questions for Ms. Capps. Again Senator
22	Garrett.
23	SENATOR GARRETT: Excuse me. How old were you when
24	you were sitting in that courtroom?
25	MS. EMILY CAPPS: I was 15 years old.

1	SENATOR GARRETT: Had you ever had any disciplinary at
2	school or anything like that?
3	MS. EMILY CAPPS: Never. The only action I ever had I
4	got I was late to class occasionally, but I
5	could blame that on traffic or just waking up
6	late.
7	SENATOR GARRETT: And then what about your sister.
8	How old was your sister?
9	MS. EMILY CAPPS: My sister was 17.
10	SENATOR GARRETT: And do you know whether or not she
11	had had any kind of prior
12	MS. EMILY CAPPS: No, she
13	SENATOR GARRETT: criminal charge or activity?
14	MS. EMILY CAPPS: No, sir. We both were very straight
15	A, honor societies. That's just the way we were
16	raised.
17	SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator from Williamsburg.
19	SENATOR SABB: Let me just follow up on that a little
20	bit. Somewhere I read that you and your sister
21	were kind of not you kind of knew what was
22	going on, but not so much in terms of when your
23	parents were having hearings and those kind of
24	things. Did I understand that correctly?
25	MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah, I had no idea that my parents

1 were even in court, and, yeah, I had no idea what 2 was going on. 3 You know, when I listen to young folks SENATOR SABB: 4 like you, I'm just so proud of y'all --5 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Thank you. 6 SENATOR SABB: -- and all that you experience and how 7 you stay focused, and I just want to encourage 8 you to continue to do that. Now, the hearing 9 that we're talking about right now, was that in 10 2018, did you say? 11 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yes sir. It was December 14th, 12 2018. 13 SENATOR SABB: All right. And are you familiar with 14 the fact that there was a custody agreement 15 arrangement entered into by your parents back in 16 2014? 17 Like I remember like I would MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yes. 18 initially go over to my dad's every -- like every 19 other weekend, that whole thing, but after a little bit he just kind of stopped coming to pick 2.0 21 us up, and I remember we would have bags packed 22 and everything, but he just wouldn't come. 23 yeah, it just sort of fizzled out, and it was 24 never really enforced. 25 SENATOR SABB: Yeah. And, you know -- and for me, I

1 always wish that people beyond litigation are 2 able to kind of focus, get back to normalcy. 3 when it involves children and stuff like that, I 4 always -- I'm always a little worried and 5 concerned because you all are one of the most 6 precious gifts given to the world, and at some 7 point the world is going to rest in your hands. 8 I'm going to either be on Social Security or 9 something like that, and I need y'all to do what 10 you what you capable of doing. But I will just 11 tell you that I read through a lot of the 12 paperwork, not all of it, a lot of it, and sort 13 of got the sense that a lot of things are just 14 really, really tough on this family, the 15 counseling and all of those things that that you 16 guys went through, and I just want to encourage 17 you to stay strong and focus. I've got a baby 18 that went through Clemson, and she's at Emory 19 Law, and Clemson gave her everything she needed 20 to be successful. I'm sure they've given you 21 everything you need to be successful and that the 22 future will be bright. And we need more speech 23 pathologists. 24 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Exactly. 25 SENATOR SABB: And so happy that you've chosen that

1 field as well. MS. EMILY CAPPS: Thank you very much. It means a 2 3 Thank you. lot. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Any other members 5 of the Commission? Mr. Safran. 6 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 appreciative of your being here. I know going 8 through this is certainly difficult, and again 9 we've got a volume of paperwork, and so we don't 10 need to try to go through and rehash everything, 11 but just I want to clear up a couple of points. 12 I think you told Senator Saab that this 13 appearance the day they took you from school was 14 in December of '18. 15 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yes. 16 MR. SAFRAN: All right. And prior there had been at 17 least some back and forth through the courts 18 it related to the visitation and things of that 19 type. 2.0 I mean, I --MS. EMILY CAPPS: 21 I know you may not have been involved. MR. SAFRAN: 22 MS. EMILY CAPPS: I had no idea, honestly, really, but 23 like, yeah --24 But your parents -- you didn't know when MR. SAFRAN: 25 they were going. You didn't necessarily know

1		that the depth of what was being decided by the
2		court. You knew something maybe was going on.
3	MS.	EMILY CAPPS: Exactly. I mean, like I knew
4		obviously when parents get divorced there's
5		custody, and it's like I knew those things would
6		happen, and, yeah, it was typical, and like it
7		was as expected for a little bit. I can't really
8		remember how when exactly he stopped, like the
9		exact date, he stopped coming, but
10	MR.	SAFRAN: And I get that. I mean, I think you've
11		basically made it clear that despite him having
12		some rights to do it
13	MS.	EMILY CAPPS: Yeah.
14	MR.	SAFRAN: he was not exerting those rights.
15	MS.	EMILY CAPPS: Yes.
16	MR.	SAFRAN: Okay. So, just as simple as you can tell
17		me, why do you think you were taken that day in
18		that circumstance to that proceeding that was
19		going on with more or less the juvenile issues?
20		I mean, what did you when you got there what
21		were you thinking, why am I here, what is if
22		there was a reason, what was it?
23	MS.	EMILY CAPPS: I really couldn't say because it
24		still confuses me to this day as to why I was put
25		there. I mean, the only thing is that it made me

1 think and wonder what kind of lies were being 2 told about me and about my character and about 3 who I was as an individual in court or whatever 4 was going on. And I guess the only thing that I 5 could think of was that I was being portrayed as 6 this crazy, disrespectful, downright awful human 7 being who was deserving of something like that, 8 and I just didn't understand why. 9 MR. SAFRAN: Well, let me ask this. Leading up to 10 that day, was there, at least in your personal 11 estimation, any time where the opportunity was 12 available to your father that he wanted to use 13 his visitation that you chose not to do it? 14 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Not to my knowledge, no. 15 MR. SAFRAN: I mean, was there anything you were doing 16 during that time that was in any way -- that you 17 could understand somehow contrary to letting him 18 be your father? 19 MS. EMILY CAPPS: I mean, I really -- I can't think of 20 anything off the top of my head. 21 MR. SAFRAN: Well --22 To the best of my knowledge. To the MS. EMILY CAPPS: 23 best of my knowledge, yeah. Well, that's fine. And this is not an 24 MR. SAFRAN: 25 inquisition. I'm just asking, okay?

- 1 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah. I mean, I --2 And so I guess my point is this, when MR. SAFRAN: 3 you're sitting in that courtroom, what what did 4 you ultimately think was the purpose of your 5 being there? I know you said you didn't know why 6 per se why was I here today, but what did you 7 come away with thinking well, what was the lesson 8 I'm supposed to learn if there was one? 9 MS. EMILY CAPPS: I just sort of saw it as an 10 intimidation tactic, basically just kind of like 11 a shut up, do what I say, do it, do what you're 12 told, but --13 MR. SAFRAN: Was that actually said? 14 MS. EMILY CAPPS: No --15 MR. SAFRAN: Okay. 16 MS. EMILY CAPPS: -- not like that, but it's just sort
- MR. SAFRAN: All right. So you're you're in there.

 you're basically witnessing this, okay. So were

of like the feeling I guess I got behind it.

- you in any way addressed by the judge?
- 21 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yes.

17

- 22 MR. SAFRAN: And what was said.
- MS. EMILY CAPPS: I don't remember exactly, but I do remember that like we were -- we were basically
- 25 told that if we kept behaving the way we were --

- 1 MR. SAFRAN: And were you explained what that behavior was?
- MS. EMILY CAPPS: I couldn't tell you. I know it

 seems confusing, but I really like -- I tried and

 I thought hard, and I just didn't understand what

 I ever did wrong to cause that to happen.
- 7 MR. SAFRAN: All right. So you're basically sitting
 8 there thinking I'm being told don't behave the
 9 way I I've been behaving, but I don't know what
 10 that is.
- MS. EMILY CAPPS: I believe that it was just lies and kind of saying that I was refusing to spend time with my dad when --
- 14 MR. SAFRAN: So at least that was the gist of it?
- 15 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah.
- MR. SAFRAN: -- is that because even though you're telling us that you weren't, it was coming to you
- 18 that you were?
- 19 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yes
- 20 MR. SAFRAN: Okay. And more or less you were told 21 this would be your fate?
- 22 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yes.
- 23 MR. SAFRAN: Okay.
- 24 MS. EMILY CAPPS: That was very clear.
- 25 MR. SAFRAN: Okay. And I'm assuming you discussed

- 1 that with your mom when you had the opportunity 2 afterwards? I mean, you had to tell her where 3 you were. 4 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah. No, she was in the courtroom. 5 MR. SAFRAN: Okay. She was there too. 6 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah, she was she was there, and I 7 mean -- but she was very careful not to like push 8 too hard on it. It was more just like right 9 after it happened. It was a lot of consoling, a 10 lot of --
- 11 MR. SAFRAN: Was her lawyer there at the time; do you remember?
- 13 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yes.
- 14 MR. SAFRAN: All right.
- 15 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah.
- MR. SAFRAN: And was your dad there? I mean, if you don't know you don't know. I'm not asking --
- 18 MS. EMILY CAPPS: I don't know.
- MR. SAFRAN: The answer is simple. Either you remember or you don't, okay.
- 21 | MS. EMILY CAPPS: I do not remember if he was there.
- MR. SAFRAN: But your mom was there. Her attorney was
 there. Do you know whether this other lady or
 any of these different psychologists or guardians
 or any of them were in there? Do you remember?

- MS. EMILY CAPPS: I didn't have my guardian at that

 point yet, but I really do not remember if

 anybody else was there. All I really remember -
 the whole thing, it's kind of like I had like

 tunnel vision. I felt nauseous, sick, sweaty,

 crying. I was like bawling my eyes out

 throughout the whole time.
 - MR. SAFRAN: Tell me this. When you left that day, where did you go, home with your mom, or did you go with your dad?
- 11 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Home with my mom, I believe, right?
- 12 MR. SAFRAN: Okay.

9

10

- MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah. And I just remember getting in the car and crying. I don't know. I don't remember much, but I do remember the crying.
- 16 MR. SAFRAN: Did you visit with your dad after that at any time?
- 18 MS. EMILY CAPPS: I'm sure I did. I'm pretty sure I
 19 did for like the holidays maybe.
- 20 MR. SAFRAN: Right.
- 21 MS. EMILY CAPPS: But --
- 22 MR. SAFRAN: Did you ever have to go back to witness 23 another one of those displays as you put it?
- MS. EMILY CAPPS: No, I never had to go back and witness another juvenile sentencing.

1 MR. SAFRAN: Okay. Thank you very much. 2 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Thank you very much. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Sabb. 4 SENATOR SABB: I quess I just want to share one more 5 thing, Mr. Chairman. As I was listening to my 6 colleague, and in the exchange it reminded me 7 some years ago I was a prosecutor, and one of the 8 things that I -- I was on the school board first, 9 and then after I left the school board had an opportunity to be a prosecutor, and so I wanted 10 11 to prosecute juvenile cases. And one of the 12 things that we had was we had what we call a 13 So like a juvenile would diversionary program. 14 get into what we call little trouble, so nothing 15 serious, right, more of a nuisance kind of thing. 16 And so I would have persons who were concerned 17 that the juvenile might go down the wrong road 18 and so unfortunately they would have them kind of 19 experience a situation like you and your sister experience where they sort of introduced them to 2.0 21 the hard stuff and pretty much would want to say you don't want to go there, you don't want to do 22 23 And the hope with that experience was 24 really not to traumatize them, but somebody saw a 25 lot of good in them --

MS. EMILY CAPPS: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EMILY CAPPS:

-- and didn't want them to get caught SENATOR SABB: up in a situation. And I guess the last thing that I want to share with you just from my experience back in those days was we had some of our young ones who their first encounter with the system was such that we couldn't help them. Ιt was a really, really bad thing that they had done, but all the other ones that were just kind of like being kids, we got a chance to hopefully try to introduce them to something where they say, no, I don't want to live like that, to live the way I was. So I was thinking about you as you were talking and just kind of saying to myself, I'm so glad that although she had to experience that it didn't cause her to want to be bad. It just caused her to just continue to be So I just wanted to share with you that that's one of the -- and you got me now thinking about whether or not the tool was good or bad necessarily, but just wanted you to know that that's one of the things that that system does to -- and it's really supposed to help and not to So anyway just wanted --

Definitely. Yeah, and I mean I do

1 think that that's a great alternative and like 2 doing that because I mean, I think it would have 3 been perfect in the right scenario, and I do 4 respect that, but in my opinion I don't think in 5 my nor my sister's scenario was that warranted I 6 quess. 7 SENATOR SABB: I get it. Thank you so much. Thank 8 you Mr. Chairman. 9 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. Ms. Capps, thank 10 Sorry, sometimes I have to look you for sharing. 11 at eyeballs to see if we're communicating 12 nonverbally, but it appears no further questions 13 exist among the Commission members with respect 14 to your testimony. Again, appreciate you making 15 the time to be here. Certainly wish you well in 16 all you do except for your football team this 17 Saturday. But that notwithstanding, appreciate 18 you making time. Thank you. 19 MS. EMILY CAPPS: Thank y'all so much for listening. 20 Thank you. 21 All right. At this point then VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 22 I would invite Judge Holmes back up to the 23 podium. And while she's making her way, I just 24 want to thank Ms. Capps, Ms. Capps, Ms. Capps for being here today, you don't have to get up or 25

anything. Just want to acknowledge the efforts
that you've made to get here and share the record
with us and your perspectives. Those aren't lost
on us. Judge Holmes.
JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I'm going to first begin by I'm
rooting for her team on Saturday because my son
is a student at Clemson as well.
VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well, sometimes we don't always
make great decisions, and I can appreciate that.
Judge, you've heard the allegations and I believe
had copies of the complaint provided to you. Is
it your intent to provide the information you've
shared with counsel to us the into the record?
JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Absolutely. I provided a 31
page response with exhibits A through Y.
VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And if my Apple calculator has
not failed me, those exhibits total 156 pages.
Does that sound about right?
JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I didn't count them, but if
that's what your records show.
VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. We'd be happy to
hear from you.
(Exhibit Number 39 was marked for identification
purposes - (87 pages) Bromell-Holmes Response to Capps
Affidavits.)

1 First and foremost, I deny that JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: 2 I have violated the code of judicial canons in 3 any respect with respect to the complaints that 4 were filed against me. And I would like to take 5 the opportunity to address the nine violations or 6 issues that the panel is concerned with briefly. 7 I tried to write them in order. The 2017 rule to 8 show cause that was the first one that you noted, 9 that was a result of a hearing that was held 10 January 30th -- January 31st, 2017 and February 11 1st, 2017. After two and a half days of 12 testimony the parties informed the court that 13 they reached an agreement. The parties reached 14 an agreement. The agreement was stated on the 15 record, and most importantly a court order was 16 issued as a result of that agreement. 17 pertinent parts of the agreement so it's clear. 18 The parties agreed to joint custody of the minor 19 children with mother designated as the primary 2.0 custodial parent. Father was to exercise his 21 visitation with the minor children as per the agreement already approved by the Court. 22 23 addition father was granted additional visitation 24 as specified in the order. Mother agreed within 25 15 days to have an appointment with a counselor

to address the issue of her alienating the 1 2 children from father. Each parents counselor 3 would recommend three names of counselors, but 4 pretty much it was a rule to show cause for a 5 violation of visitation. The parties reached an 6 agreement. I did not --7 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Judge, if I could interrupt you 8 just briefly. As I understand the allegation, in part it was that you allowed false claims and 9 10 false testimony. Now, I understand that the 11 testimony or claims that may have been actually 12 offered ended up being false. Did you have 13 knowledge beforehand that any false testimony 14 would be offered or included? 15 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I absolutely did not, and at 16 all times Ms. Capps was represented by counsel, 17 so certainly her attorney had an opportunity to 18 object to any false claims or evidence they 19 believed to be untruthful but the result is that 2.0 they reached an agreement, and she agreed that 21 she was in violation of the court order. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. 23 Sabb. 24 Mr. Chairman, I guess I wanted to kind SENATOR SABB: of be clear on on the record as it relates to 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

some of what Judge Holmes is sharing with us. Ι mean, I think a lot of that is in her response, and to the extent that that we have the response in writing, it may help us if there's something that she wants to share that's outside of the written responses. But I mean, just as you were kind enough to share with the other witnesses that came before us, that we have that information. We'd like to let Judge Holmes to know that we have those particular responses, and so then if there's something, Mr. Chairman -- and I hope I'm not overstepping my bounds when I suggest to the chair that we just let her know if there's something outside, then please share those things, but we have the written responses along with her numerous pages of submissions. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I tried to only look and appear as so obtuse as to not accept wisdom when offered and not actually be that way. And I think you rightly steer us in the right direction Senator Sabb as you so often do. And so judge Holmes having had the benefit of the senator's guidance to us all, I certainly would adopt that as a position of the Commission, that to the extent you have -- you know, we can move through these

1 allegations sequentially as I did with the first 2 complainant to give you an opportunity to share 3 any additional information or responses that you 4 like like the witnesses that are here or 5 complaints that are here. I certainly want to 6 afford you that opportunity, but again as the 7 Senator has reminded us, we do have your 8 responses in writing to these things. And so you 9 you're welcome to offer whatever you would like 10 in whatever order you would like, remembering 11 again that we do have your responses in writing. 12 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I'm reading between the lines. 13 You have my response, and I have thoroughly 14 responded to the allegations. I deny that I have 15 abused my position as a judge. I do want to highlight for the record, the December 14, 2018 16 17 hearing of which the the young ladies were 18 required to be brought to court, it was not for 19 them to witness juvenile proceedings. 2.0 explain this in my response, but I wanted to 21 state this for the record. It was not for them 22 to witness juvenile proceedings. What I did on 23 that particular day is there were only two days 24 allotted for the rule to show cause hearing 25 during that week, December 10th and 11th. We did

1 not complete it, so I allotted time for my 2 juvenile docket to finish this case on a Friday morning. And so my juvenile docket ran over, and 3 somehow these young ladies gained access to the 4 5 courtroom. It was nothing planned by the court. 6 I did not intend for them to witness any juvenile 7 proceedings. The transcript from the 8 proceedings, I did provide to you as Exhibit R, 9 and everything that happened while the young 10 ladies were present is certainly included in the 11 transcript. And when we went back on the record 12 in the case I did highlight pages 45 through 56. 13 At no time were they slandered. At no time did I berate them. The fact that the matter is I 14 15 wanted the young ladies to be brought to the 16 court so that the court could explain to them 17 that it was not a choice of theirs that they visit with their father. It was an order of the 18 19 court, and that was basically the purpose based 20 on the testimony that I heard, and it was 21 certainly overwhelming. An order was issued. 22 The Court of Appeals affirmed my order that 23 resulted from the December 10th, 11th, 14th 24 hearing, of which carried over to May 22nd and The June 14, 2019, that was a ruling on rd 25

1	the record. The September 25th, 2020 was me
2	recreating the record, and I was affirmed by the
3	Court of Appeals with my recreating the record.
4	The motion to compel, there was also an order
5	issued from that, and I just referenced your
6	attention to that. And that's pretty much my
7	response. I have never used my position as a
8	judge to intimidate any individual that appeared
9	before me. What I have done at all times was
10	hold everyone accountable. I expect individuals
11	to respect the court order. I expect them to
12	follow the court order, and certainly in this
13	particular instance, I expected the young ladies
14	to comply with the court order with respect to
15	visitation with their father. As Senator Saab
16	referenced, there are other orders that I have
17	included from Judge Norton and Judge Buchanan
18	that were issued with respect to the issues that
19	this family dealt with. And in my closing, I do
20	want to reference the Court of Appeals
21	affirmation of my ruling because it certainly
22	highlights my work as a judge and the difficult
23	nature of this particular case. On January 17,
24	2024 in an unpublished opinion, the Court of
25	Appeals, with respect to the issues that Ms.

Capps has raised, and I included that as Exhibit.
As to this court's order remanding the matter to
the Family Court for reconstruction of the
record, we affirm. Here the record demonstrates
the Family Court had made copious notes regarding
pertinent testimony at the rule to show cause
hearing. Wife offered no affidavits at the
reconstruction hearing and only sought to add a
few pieces of information about husband's cross
examination that do not appear to have been
particularly beneficial to her. Further, the
trial exhibits included in the record on appeal
aid in developing a fuller picture of the state
of affairs between the parties. Based on all the
circumstances, we are able to conduct a
meaningful review, and wife has not demonstrated
the reconstruction prejudiced her. Number two,
regarding the Family Courts determination wife
denigrated husband to the party's children, we
find husband established a violation of the
Family Court's order. By clear and convincing
evidence we find the testimony text and audio
recording of husband and wife's confrontation
regarding one daughter's recording husband
provides clear and convincing evidence wife

1 discussed inappropriate topics in front of the 2 parties' daughters and encouraged the daughter's 3 lack of respect toward their father. Furthermore 4 the Family Court observed wife's testimony at 5 this rule to show cause hearing as well as a 6 prior rule to show cause hearing and found wife 7 not to be credible. Consequently, we affirm the 8 Family Court as to its finding of contempt on 9 this point. And so this pretty much sums up the 10 complaint that have been filed against me by the 11 The Court of Appeals issues its ruling, Capps. 12 and with respect to the college expense case, I 13 denied it, and it wasn't appealed. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Thank you, ma'am. 15 I appreciate that. You know, I heard you 16 mentioned you were reading between the lines, but 17 I don't want you like any citizen to feel as if 18 we have unnecessarily cut you short. Before I 19 turn to members of the Commission to see if they 2.0 have any specific questions they want to inquire 21 of you about, I want to extend to you again that 22 if there's anything you want to add sua sponte, 23 we have it here. I don't want you to walk out of 24 here not feel like you've had an adequate 25 opportunity to offer in live testimony anything

1 you think need be shared out loud. 2 Representative Rutherford. 3 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So I've never been in this 4 courtroom that you all were holding court in, and 5 my familiarity with Family Court is representing 6 juveniles. And a lot of times the judges don't 7 know who's in the courtroom because there are 8 people in and out, solicitors in and out, DJJ's 9 in and out, lawyers in and out, and in fact 10 recently I was in a courtroom talking to DJJ on 11 my upcoming case, and the judge realized that I 12 was not supposed to be in there and they kicked 13 I wasn't offended by it. I've been 14 kicked out of better places, but the hearing was 15 almost done when they realized that I was sitting 16 in there. And so is that kind of what you're 17 saying kind of happened here? 18 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: That is exactly what happened, 19 and I referenced that in the transcript. 20 no clue the young ladies were in the courtroom. 21 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Right. 22 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: No clue. I would have had to 23 orchestrate me sentencing juveniles and them 24 leaving out of the courtroom in shackles with the 25 time that the young ladies were brought into the

1 courtroom. That was absolutely not the case. Ι 2 had no idea --3 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But let me interrupt you 4 if I could, judge, because I'm not offended by 5 it. I worked in Family Court. I was privileged 6 to be able to do that in Richland County when I 7 was 16, and it was enlightening for me to see 8 things that I had never experienced before and 9 never seen before, and I think an intelligent 10 assessment even as a child is that this is what 11 you don't do if you don't want to end up like 12 this. And some of the things that I saw I will 13 never forget, but it was educational to me and 14 maybe what led me to become a lawyer, but part of 15 it is to say that in especially juvenile court 16 because there's so many people in and out -- I 17 have been known in regular court to walk out of a 18 courtroom and leave my interns in there because I 19 forgot that they were sitting in there. been known to ask the solicitor, do they see my 2.0 21 client because it's hard to tell with all the 22 faces where my client is sitting unless you're 23 just being nosy and trying to calculate who all 24 is sitting there. It would be when the chairman found you sitting over to my left. I looked 25

1 over, saw everybody over there, but I did not see 2 you, and I've seen you for years come in this 3 But you may sit there and think that I was 4 being rude by not looking in your direction. 5 Communication is two ways. It's what's said, 6 what's heard. It is what is communicated by one 7 and what is received by another, and it is quite 8 possible that you all agree that they were there 9 and that you and I can't -- I was trying to 10 reference the transcript -- may have taken 11 advantage of the fact that, oh, they got to sit 12 through that, so let me address them as it 13 relates to why they're here, and use this as a 14 reference point without you orchestrating them 15 being there and seeing exactly that. And as you 16 stated, for those that don't do juvenile work, I 17 don't know that the judge always knows what's 18 coming up next. The prosecutor generally calls 19 the cases in this state some. Sometimes a judge 20 does it, but that you would know what juvenile 21 case was ready for the prosecutor to go next. So 22 I don't think we need to dwell on it. 23 find it interesting because clearly this appears 24 to be a case where perception for one was not 25 I do find it interesting that you shared by all.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have stated -- and I understand why you feel it necessary -- to look at what was done by the counsel for the complainants here because it's noted how -- my client, sometimes we get into debates about how long they went to law school, and they want to reference their mother or their aunt, and I'll ask what they do for a living and then remind them that I could show up to their job and do whatever it is that they do without any training. And they say, no, you couldn't, and I say, well then, what makes you think you can practice law without any training at all. And it's one of those things where if I'm looking at the actions of counsel that day and looking at what happened when their counsel appealed and got rulings that were favorable to you, I'm not sure how exactly we end up that this case is still in front of us with aggrieved complainants unless this just gets to resemble something of a torch that we just continue to carry. And I'll leave it at that because I don't know how much we have left to hear, but it troubles me sometimes that judges are not allowed to act as human beings and simply sit down and have a conversation with somebody and explain to them what it is they're

2.0

because then they could probably better communicate to litigants that are in front of them. They use the lawyers for that. If the lawyers are not communicating anything different than that, then it would appear that everybody understands what's going on. So I don't ask you a question. I just was listening, try to stay out of Family Court try to stay away from all these issues, but did note all of those things I wanted to comment.

VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Representative Jordan.

REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Judge, thank you for offering to serve again, but I do have some questions that are about this rule to show cause hearing. I am a little confused, and bear in mind I haven't been in that setting in -- I represented juveniles back 15, 17 years ago, so it's been a very long time since I've been in that setting, but I am confused. On the one hand I hear that you didn't know they were in court, and then when I read the transcript you talk about asking the juvenile solicitor to remain behind to explain what's coming their way

1 if they don't comply -- I heard a kick in there -2 - if they don't comply, so I'm a little confused. 3 I'm a little bit different than my colleague. I 4 am a little offended at the idea of a juvenile 5 who's not up on charges, so to speak -- again, 6 I'm butchering that, but sitting through 7 something that I think could be traumatic in some 8 scenarios, and again I don't know what all took 9 I don't know what the level of trauma that existed that day, but I am wrestling with 10 11 that a little bit. Please expand on my 12 misunderstanding as to those two different 13 ingredients if they're 14 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Okay. I'm going to -- I'm 15 referring to my exhibit R, which is the 16 transcript from the proceedings. As I stated, I 17 wasn't aware. It wasn't orchestrated or planned 18 for the two young ladies to witness any juvenile 19 proceedings. It just so happened somehow they 2.0 gained access to the courtroom. So when I called 21 the case -- well let me just -- I'm going to 22 start at page 45 because I think we are going 23 need to take some time. And I apologize for 24 this, but after we concluded the testimony, I 25 basically said it I'm not going to excuse the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parties. I need the courtroom cleared. T'm done with testimony, but the parties are not excused. I will be bringing the parties back in. going to give the party's specific instructions as to what I expect to occur for visitation from here on out moving forward. I am also going to talk with the juvenile prosecutor as well as the Department of Juvenile Justice. They are on my docket today, and I will call the parties back in when I need them to come back in. I need the attorneys for the parties also in chambers at this time. So at that time I concluded the trial, or we were at a stopping point for that 14 particular week because I needed to start my iuvenile docket. I needed to know from the juvenile solicitor how much time she quesstimated it would take for our juvenile docket so that I could have the young ladies brought back to the court so I can do a ruling on the record with respect to the visitation. And so we took a We went back on the record, and then I recess. called the case, and I basically said the court is concerned, and I note that the two minor children of the parties are present in court pursuant to my instructions because I want the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

children to hear the instructions coming before the court as I explained it, and I don't want any misinterpretation. It is clear to the court and as this rule continues to pen, the court has not made a decision, but it is clear to the court that the children have been empowered to make decisions as to whether they're going to visit the father or not. That will no longer happen as of today. When it is the father's weekend, the children ought to be ready and visitation is to There will be no more are you going to occur. visit, that has been the problem in this case. Children need to stay in children's places. Children do not make adult decisions. Children ought to comply with the rules of mother's home and father's home. If children fail to do that -- I do note that the young ladies were able to witness what happens to juveniles that do not comply with the rules -- and that wasn't staged It was just so -- it because they were here. just so happened that this judge had juveniles It is real. scheduled on its docket today. so that was my explanation. I didn't intend for them to see it, but because they saw it I did feel like I needed to have the juvenile solicitor

1	explain. And I went on, juveniles don't get to
2	pick and choose whether they follow the parents
3	rules or whether they visit a parent. Children
4	don't get to demand what parents will do for
5	them. Children
6	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Can I stop you there?
7	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Sure.
8	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Just a quick question again.
9	I'm not an expert in this field. In this
10	scenario and I'm sure it happens that you have
11	to deal with situations where a minor doesn't
12	want to go along with what the word of the court
13	is it's not their decision. I hear you, get
14	you on that. Is it common to refer that
15	situation that's a contempt issue, correct?
16	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Right. It would
17	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Would you refer that issue to
18	DJJ, or would you just handle that?
19	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: The contempt would be by the
20	parent, but the because the parent was
21	empowering the children not to visit, the Court
22	found it necessary to explain to the young ladies
23	the importance of them complying with the
24	visitation, complying with the father's
25	visitation. And so when I did ask and I go on

1 with a litany of things, but none of them were 2 disparaging to the children. You know, I 3 explained to them about following the rules. 4 one can follow the rule rules in mom's home, the 5 court expects the rules to be followed in dad's 6 home and continuing. And I talked about honoring 7 thy father and thy mother because they it came 8 out during testimony that they were heavily 9 involved in church. And I talked about the Ten 10 Commandments and how we're also taught if young 11 12 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Just a minute, please. Senator 13 Sabb. 14 I really hate to interrupt, and I never SENATOR SABB: 15 want to interfere with any of my colleagues 16 questioning, but I've got to tell you all what I -- some of what I sense. These children were not 17 18 involved in a lot of the proceedings, and I could 19 be wrong on that, but I think I'm reasonably 2.0 correct. I think for whatever reason there was a 21 reason why they've not been exposed to all that 22 they're being exposed to right now. I would just

essential that we go through all of what these

caution us, unless we believe it's really

orders say before these -- and I know they

23

24

25

1 they're older now -- but unless it's essential 2 that we go through that stuff, my view is that we 3 try to spare them. And I just throw that in for 4 what it's worth, Mr. Chairman. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I think that's a fair to point, 6 and I don't know exactly where Mr. Jordan is 7 headed with this, but my suspicion is that based 8 on the the testimony there's a question as to what -- because there's a question as to why 9 10 those girls are there at 15 and 17, who was 11 subject to the orders. Are the girls making 12 decisions about where they're going, or are the 13 parents making decisions about where they're 14 And if it is in fact the parents are going. 15 making decisions about the where the girls are 16 qoing -- you know, I think we've got a little bit 17 of latitude to go here. Your words --18 SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman, I would never cut my 19 colleague off. We worked together for years. Ι 2.0 think if I -- thank you. I apologize. 21 together for years. There are few people in the 22 world I have more respect for than my colleague. 23 I just believe that if if I were where he were 24 sitting and he was thinking the way that I was 25 thinking, I would appreciate him sharing and so I

just put it in the for the what it's worth column.

2.0

REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: And I certainly, if I may, appreciate that tremendously. I just -- again, not being an expert in the juvenile proceedings trying to understand, and I think I do now, you know, what was transpiring that had nothing to do with the events of this case. So I could better understand exactly what the exposure was, and I think the dots have been sufficiently connected for my purposes.

VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Safran.

MR. SAFRAN: Let me spend just a couple of minutes trying to figure one thing out. I understand this was not staged. I understand -- understand that the DJJ was already on the docket. They happened to be there. I understand all that, and I don't doubt it but, when I'm reading what you just read -- and, you know, you published it.

And it says if the children fail to do that, I do note that the young ladies were able to witness what happens to juveniles that do not comply with the rules. And, as you say, and that wasn't staged because they were here. I get that. My question is -- and I thought I heard you tell

1	Representative Jordan that had this continued in				
2					
	violation of your order, the sanctions would have				
3	been imposed against the mother, correct?				
4	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: The children would never				
5	threatened with any sanctions.				
6	MR. SAFRAN: But, no, hear me. The sanctions would				
7	have been imposed against the mother, yes or no?				
8	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: And it was				
9	MR. SAFRAN: May I have a yes or no, please?				
10	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Yes.				
11	MR. SAFRAN: Okay. And so my point is this, that				
12	language makes it look like you were threatening				
13	them to go to jail, whether you I mean, that's				
14	what I'm reading.				
15	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: But I I haven't read				
16	everything else.				
17	MR. SAFRAN: No, ma'am. Read that sentence for me.				
18	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Juveniles don't get to pick and				
19	choose whether they follow the parents' rules or				
20	whether they visit a parent.				
21	MR. SAFRAN: Yeah				
22	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: If children fail to do that, I				
23	do note that the young ladies were able to				
24	witness what happens to juveniles that do not				
25	comply with the rules. And that wasn't staged				

1 because they were here --2 MR. SAFRAN: All right. Well --3 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: It just so happened. 4 MR. SAFRAN: Let me ask you the question. 5 the tone of that -- that statement basically say 6 that they got to see what's going to happen to 7 them. We just saw the juveniles walking out of 8 here in shackles, so how would they not interpret 9 that as being that's going to be what's going to 10 happen to them? I mean, so when they come in 11 here and say that, it certainly is validated 12 what's in this transcript that they could have 13 come to that determination. 14 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: But there's more I --15 MR. SAFRAN: No, ma'am. I'm just asking you --16 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I understand. I understand 17 what you're saying. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Just a minute, please. Ladies 19 gentlemen, I understand passions, but we have one 2.0 person in this room who has to keep a record of 21 everything that's going on. And just as I 22 admonished the complainants earlier, we've got to 23 go one at a time. So with that, Judge Holmes. 24 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Okay. I have several -- just 25 so it's clear, we are also taught at a young age

1 to do unto others as we would have them do unto 2 We are taught about responsibility. you. We're 3 taught about being respectful, and children 4 should be taught to respect both parents 5 regardless of whether those parents are still 6 married or not. The reality is that their parents are not married. 7 The counseling shall 8 continue to occur. The children do not have a 9 This is a court order, and choice in the matter. 10 it's really repugnant to the Court that it has 11 not been followed. Manipulation has occurred, 12 and it is clear to the Court that it has 13 Based on what has been presented, this occurred. 14 matter should have come back before the Court 15 long before this week. Recording conversations 16 in one person's home is not acceptable. Being 17 disrespectful to either parent will not be tolerated. 18 Refusing to follow the rules will not 19 be tolerated. If a child thinks that by being 20 told that they no longer have the decision to 21 rather to visit or not and goes to the father's 22 home, refuses to follow the father's rules and 23 law enforcement has to be called, and that will 24 not be tolerated. And that's what happened. 25 enforcement was called, and so I was just going

1 over everything, but it's --2 MR. SAFRAN: And I get that, and I understand this. 3 You as the judge have issued an order, and by all 4 rights that order needs to be followed. 5 if somebody is purposely encouraging somebody to 6 violate that order, it requires your attention 7 and ultimately the sanctions if necessary should 8 be imposed. I get all that, okay. I have no 9 doubt and no concern about that. What I'm asking 10 though is this. It wasn't staged, but at least 11 what I'm hearing is when I read this to some 12 extent I think it validates what they were 13 witnessing and the fact that they came away from 14 this process thinking this is where I'm going if 15 this continues. And I think you've told me that 16 truly isn't the case, and I'm not saying that was 17 your intent. What I'm saying is though based 18 upon what you said in that singular context, they 19 legitimately could have come to that conclusion. 20 Isn't that correct? 21 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Just based on that if that was 22 where I left it, but I --23 MR. SAFRAN: No, I heard what you were saying, and we 24 can read it six times. I get that. What I'm 25 saying is you were talking about it's not their

1 prerogative, it's not their decision, they are 2 children, their parents are really the ones that 3 have to make the decision. And ultimately beyond 4 that, the Court has to make the decision. 5 not asking you to basically say anything other 6 than when you look at that sentence -- and these 7 are kids now who are brought in there, at least 8 by their statement, don't have any idea exactly 9 why I'm here. Now, I know you brought them 10 because you said you know what, you're not 11 getting this from the parents. You're going to 12 get this from me so there's going to be no 13 question about what's going on here, and I don't 14 have any problem or issue with you deciding to 15 say, I want you to hear it from the Court. 16 don't want it in any way embellished or not from 17 your parents. This is what I'm telling you. All18 perfectly fine. What I'm asking though is this, 19 they at least could have easily come away with 2.0 the concern that if we don't obey, we're going to 21 jail, at least based upon what you said and what they witnessed. I mean, is that not a fair 22 23 assessment? 24 That is a fair assessment. JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: 25 MR. SAFRAN: Okay, Thank you.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Representative 2 Rutherford. 3 And equally so I disagree. REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: 4 I don't think that this was anything other than -5 - and I'm a little upset that we are here now for 6 an hour discussing and not anything you could do 7 about it -- people's feelings. And she said that 8 day this was not staged, and unless there is 9 evidence to prove that it was, then why are we talking about it? This was a case, and to my 10 11 colleague regarding alienation where this was --12 the issue was whether one of the parents had 13 alienated the children from the other parent, 14 which judges see when they issue orders and the 15 parents are not complying, so the kids are 16 brought in. They happen to see something, and 17 it's just like everything else that kids witness, 18 you try and take advantage of it as a parent or 19 in this case as a judge, to say this is the 2.0 lesson out of that. You can't unsee it, you 21 can't unhear it, but this is the lesson from 22 that, and that's it, but this is nothing that we 23 need to be talking about for an hour period. 24 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: And that --25 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I'm sorry. Hold on,

1	Judge. This is about people's feelings, and the			
2	evidence that we have says this was not staged,			
3	and so unless they're going to bring in the			
4	solicitor to say the judge lied, unless they're			
5	gonna bring in DSS to say the judge lied, then we			
6	shouldn't be talking about this. This is			
7	people's feelings in Family Court, and again when			
8	this case went up on appeal the issue of			
9	alienation and the issue of alienation as she was			
10	lecturing them had to do with recording the			
11	father in the house. And so it wasn't kids that			
12	just came in and went, oh wow, how did we end up			
13	here. The only way they could have ended up in			
14	that courtroom is the lawyer took them in. You			
15	don't know where to go in Family Court, as you			
16	know Mr. Safran, unless the lawyer says this is			
17	where we're going guys. There she is. And so			
18	again, all I'm saying is we've spent an hour			
19	dealing with feelings, not any evidence			
20	whatsoever that what she did is contrary to the			
21	law, is contrary to any of the standards that we			
22	are looking at as to whether she is qualified to			
23	be a judge. I hate that this was			
24	miscommunicated, but as anybody could see in this			
25	room we disagree, and Jay and I sit here and			

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

disagree on what she should have done and whether it's fair but, disagreements are why people go to Feelings always get hurt, but this is not court. what we should be dealing with in here as it relates to this issue. There are other issues. This one should be over. We can look at those. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: So to answer your question, we are addressing this issue because when afforded the opportunity to address the issue the judge chose to have a further discussion about that and offer more from her perspective about that, and then members of the Commission had questions I don't think we've run afoul of the about that. the ambit of reasonableness with respect to the Commission's dialogue on this particular point, though your observation is a point well taken. Ι saw Senator Garrett first, and then Mr. Safran, I'll recognize you. The Senator from Lexington SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before you decided to have a conversation with the children unrelated to the problem with the juvenile, what they saw, did you talk to their guardian ad litem? JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: This was a rule to show cause -

1	SENATOR GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.			
2	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: And so this was a 2014 case.			
3	There was a rule to show cause and a 20- that was			
4				
5	SENATOR GARRETT: Ma'am, did you			
6	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: There wasn't a guardian ad			
7	litem.			
8	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Suspend. Please suspend.			
9	SENATOR SABB: That's the second time			
10	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Sabb. Senator Sabb, I			
11	will recognize you. Please, I have a			
12	responsibility to maintain a clean, clear record			
13	here, okay. So I would just admonish all			
14	parties, everybody in the room, that the way that			
15	this has got to flow is through the chair. I			
16	will recognize everybody. I will not deny			
17	anybody an opportunity to be heard. I heard			
18	Senator Saab raise an objection. I'm going to			
19	recognize him in just a moment, but I would ask			
20	that all parties please continue to respect the			
21	process that we have, which is to sequentially			
22	recognize folks. Senator Saab.			
23	SENATOR SABB: And so, Mr. Chairman, I just think			
24	that, you know, on the second occasion I			
25	witnessed us interrupting the witness when the			

2.0

question is being answered, and I know that everybody in here is skilled. We know how to cross-examine witnesses. It's just not something that I traditionally see out of this body. And so my concern would be that we not go there, and that we deal in the collegial manner that this body is accustomed to as opposed to putting on our litigatory hats and taking it in a different direction. And so with due respect to my colleagues, I see us heading down that path, and I just don't think that -- well, I would encourage us to not go there.

VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes sir, and I appreciate that.

I think to the extent Commission members have questions that are as yet unresolved, I'm inclined to afford some latitude as we have shown one another so far and encourage everyone to remain focused on our principal purpose here, which is the evaluation of a candidate along our evaluative criteria. And to the extent there are continuing questions or questions at all arising out of this case, I would remind you that there are some 900 pages almost of materials that may have the answer there. So I appreciate that, and thank you. And Senator Garrett you are

1 recognized. 2 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you. And I wasn't trying to 3 cut you off. I'm just trying to understand if 4 we're going to -- I understand if the parents are 5 at each other, and one's trying to harm the 6 other, I'm with you. And you can put them both 7 in jail as far as I'm concerned, but as I've 8 always said I don't really care about the 9 I care about the children, and do you 10 agree with me the first responsibility of a 11 Family Court judge in a Family Court case 12 involving custody or visitation is protecting the 13 children? 14 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Absolutely. 15 SENATOR GARRETT: Okay. So we're on the same page 16 And do we have a mechanism in our court there. 17 to be able to make sure that the children's 18 interests are brought before the court? 19 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Yes, we do have a mechanism. 2.0 SENATOR GARRETT: And what is that mechanism? 21 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: In an open case, in a pending 22 case, if there are contested issues with respect 23 to custody or visitation, and the court believes 24 the guardian ad litem is necessary, then a 25 guardian ad litem is appointed.

1 SENATOR GARRETT: And you didn't feel like it was 2 necessary in this case, apparently, to appoint a 3 quardian ad litem to hear the children's 4 position? 5 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: The this case was a closed 6 It was a closed case -case. 7 SENATOR GARRETT: With an order, consent order. 8 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: It was a 2014 case with an 9 order, right. This was a rule to show cause that 10 was before the court based on a violation of the 11 order alleged by the father in terms of the 12 children, the mother not allowing the children or 13 encouraging the children to not visit with the 14 I had reviewed several texts 15 communications between the the father, the 16 mother, the children, and I've referenced some --17 SENATOR GARRETT: I saw the one with Haley. I'm with 18 Look -you. 19 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: And it was terrible. I mean, 2.0 this is the worst case of parental alienation 21 that I've dealt with, and the children were being 22 empowered to disrespect the father. 23 concern was we were ending this hearing. 24 father was not exercising visitation. 25 December. Christmas was upon us. They wouldn't

be able to come back to court until -- at that 1 particular time I didn't know it would be May 9 2 rd 3 -- May 22 , 23 , whatever the last two days I didn't know -- I was concerned with the 4 children continuing counseling, trying to repair 5 the relationship between the children and their 6 7 That was my concern. It was parental 8 alienation. 9 SENATOR GARRETT: Right. 10 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I didn't want the kids to 11 continue to be empowered and choosing not to visit with their father. 12 13 Listen, I've studied SENATOR GARRETT: I'm with you. 14 the alienation, the entire concept. I'm very 15 familiar with it. Did you consult the consultant 16 before you brought the children to court? 17 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: The attorneys were there. The 18 attorney --19 SENATOR GARRETT: Ma'am, I'm asking you did you ask 20 for the consultant that you had, wanting to make 21 sure it was doing the job -- apparently not --2.2 did you ask for the consultant to be brought in 23 before you so you can make sure as to how you 2.4 would handle these particular children in that 25 case?

1 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: This was a rule to show cause, 2 and in terms of a consultant, just based on my 3 years of experience and the fact that it was a 4 closed case, the only thing I did was I wanted to 5 repair the relationship with the father. 6 wasn't really time in terms of between the time 7 that I finished the testimony and just 8 encouraging the visitation to be reimplemented 9 because no visitation was happening, and they 10 were -- the children were supposed to be in 11 counseling because that happened at the 2017 12 hearing and just based on the records. And I 13 didn't make copies of counselors records because 14 I just didn't think that was appropriate to give 15 to the Commission because of the HIPAA laws, but 16 based on everything what that was before me, the 17 father was of no threat to these children. Thev 18 may have thought otherwise, but there are other 19 orders that I've referred to where judges found 2.0 that the mother empowered these young ladies to 21 disrespect their father. 22 SENATOR GARRETT: I'm with you. 23 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: And that's all that I was 24 dealing with. 25 SENATOR GARRETT: But the children were not before the

1 it was the parties that were before the Court, the mother and the father. 2 The children 3 weren't before the Court, and they didn't have a 4 representative to -- at least a counselor or a 5 guardian ad litem to -- before you started making 6 decisions to have a conference, which is unusual 7 -- very seldom do judges have conference with 8 children, not in the juvenile sense, of course 9 every day you do that, but I'm talking about in a 10 private custody action, do you often bring 11 children into the courtroom and talk with them? 12 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: This was the first time that I 13 had to do such because it was -- this was the 14 second rule of show cause that I presided over 15 with respect to the alienation of the mother --16 of the children towards the father, and so it was 17 it was just -- it was awful based on -- I had 18 counseling records, so I reviewed all of that, 19 and it was just telling the children that they 2.0 needed to comply with the visitation. They were 21 not crying, and I understand how they explained 22 it to you, but I also referenced -- and I was 23 concerned that that would be an issue. And so in 24 my response, I did reference the mother had a 25 lawyer, the father had a lawyer, and the letters

1 between the lawyers with respect to what happened in court is not reflective of what the young 2 3 ladies explained to you. And I really frankly 4 count it as a blessing that I found these letters 5 in the court file. 6 SENATOR GARRETT: I don't -- we don't need to go 7 through all that. I'm just I'm just asking about 8 whether or not you consulted with their counselor 9 or quardian ad litem before you decided to take 10 action as against the children. And I understand 11 what you did and why you did it. And to your 12 point, Senator Sabb, I actually taught the scared 13 straight class in Greenwood where we were trying 14 to help youth, but the ones that were before us 15 had violated already, but it was a very minor 16 violation, and we would bring them before us and 17 we would scare them straight. That was the 18 theory behind it, and it worked. At least I had 19 one young man come up to me and said that was the 2.0 thing that changed his life, so I I'm with you. I 21 understand, but this case is different than that. 22 SENATOR SABB: Well since you mentioned me --23 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Sabb. 24 Thank you. So my sharing that --SENATOR SABB: 25 really when you look at this case it's a wee bit

1 different because what you and I did was sort of 2 intentional but to help. In this instance it was 3 unintentional in -- in terms of what they 4 experienced, but, you know, we've all got a 5 concern for our kids, and our kids are 6 everybody's kids. And so when that young lady was up there, I wanted to share that other 7 8 experience with her just to make sure that her 9 takeaway from our conversation is we just want 10 you -- whatever it is you experience in your 11 life, we want you to stay focused and just do 12 good. And I appreciate that work that that you 13 did, and those are some of the rewarding points 14 of our lives when we bump into a kid and 15 something that we did or said to them has made a 16 difference and it's helped them on their journey 17 to success and so -- so, yeah. Thank you. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you both, 19 gentlemen. Mr. Safran, I'm happy to recognize 2.0 If we are going to plow new ground on this 21 issue or move to another --22 MR. SAFRAN: I'm not going anywhere. I'll take one 23 minute. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Safran for one minute. 25 MR. SAFRAN: To the extent that Senator Sabb, you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, correctly pointed out, that sometimes the litigator in us comes up. I certainly do not in any way want to have violated the decorum of this process, and I apologize to the extent I did. And, Judge, I'm not certainly trying to, you know, do anything to denigrate you. I think we've got two complaints here, and frankly the complaint I was most concerned about was with two I think that they came in here and I think it was worth our made a sincere comment. time to determine whether or not they had a justification for at least making the comment whether it violates anything or not. So to that extent I hope I didn't do anything that, you know, you found offensive. It wasn't meant that way. The other thing is in response to my dear friend Mr. Rutherford, we've sat through two days of Family Court judges coming in here and saying that feelings in Family Court of something that they think matter tremendously. So that extent I think that we certainly have to look at the fact that feelings in these matters are at a high fever pitch and that it needs to be something as all the judges, yourself included, I think have recognized that you rule with justice, but you

also rule with compassion. And I think here I 1 2 don't have any doubt that what you did is it 3 relates to Ms. Capps -- you've had the Court of 4 Appeals speak to that. I don't think they've 5 said there was anything wrong about anything you 6 did, and I certainly acknowledge that, but just 7 for the point here, as Senator Sabb pointed out, 8 you know, we're dealing with children, and I 9 wanted it very clear on the record that those 10 children certainly had some concern, and I think 11 we've now resolved it. And I think it was --12 again, there was never anything intentional, but 13 I think as you pointed out it certainly could 14 have been something they came away with whether 15 you intended it or not. So, thank you. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: One second, please. 17 COURT REPORTER: Mr. Chairman, I just need to take 18 about a minute break just to clear some more room 19 on my backup recorder because I've only got seven 20 minutes left, and I don't want to cut anybody off 21 midstream. Just for two seconds. Thank you. 22 (OFF THE RECORD) 23 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. We are now back on 24 Judge Holmes, if you would please the record. 25 come back to the podium. We just took a brief

1 pause to allow the court reporter to reset. 2 had just finished with some comments from Mr. 3 And at this point, I would recognize Ms. 4 Blackley. 5 MS. BLACKLEY: Good evening. 6 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Good evening. 7 MS. BLACKLEY: As the only non attorney, but familiar 8 with court processes as being a former clerk of 9 court in the Upstate, I want you to help me to 10 understand this viewing of DJJ court with the 11 Capps daughters. Were you in a position of 12 trying to admonish them, or was that a teachable 13 moment based on the fact that it was unscheduled 14 for them to walk into a DJJ hearing and see young 15 people, which is what DJJ court is, children 16 being heard in regards to events that may have 17 happened, and they have to go through the court 18 What was your purpose in saying the process? 19 things that have been read on the transcript when 2.0 you were speaking with the DJJ officials? 21 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Right. Because once I was done 22 with DJJ proceedings and everyone cleared the 23 courtroom, I noticed that the courtroom, I 24 noticed the two young ladies were still there, 25 and that's when I realized that they were there.

1 As Representative Rutherford stated, I didn't know -- I didn't intend for them to witness it, 2 3 but because they did, I wanted to explain to 4 them, and I also had the solicitor explain, and 5 it's in the transcript, the juvenile process. It. was not to intimidate them. It was not to cause 6 7 them to be in any fear. I just used that because 8 I realized that they did see a portion of 9 juvenile proceedings because they were already in 10 the courtroom at the time. When the docket was 11 completed, I noticed that they were still there, 12 and so I used that as an opportunity to just 13 explain to them the juvenile process. 14 that they were going to have to leave out the 15 courtroom handcuffed and shackled because they 16 didn't do anything to be handcuffed and shackled. 17 MS. BLACKLEY: Okay. 18 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: And if you read the rest of the 19 transcript --MS. BLACKLEY: I read it. 2.0 21 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: -- you will see that that is 22 absolutely not what happened. I basically -- I 23 tried to restore the family unit as best as I 24 could, and I tried to teach the children it's important to respect all parents. Honor thy 25

1 mother and father. That's what we're taught. 2 Okay. Who brought them into the MS. BLACKLEY: 3 courtroom? 4 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I don't know. I believe the 5 grandmother. I don't know. I don't know who 6 brought them in. I believe the grandmother 7 brought them from school to the courtroom because 8 the parents were still there. I really don't 9 know, but it wasn't intentional for them to 10 witness what they did witness. 11 MS. BLACKLEY: Okay. Thank you. 12 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: And --13 All right. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. McIver. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't know MS. MCIVER: 15 that I really had a question, but I will take 16 this opportunity. I never really want to try and 17 speak after Senator Saab when he is speaking to 18 young people, especially because I think he has 19 such a heart for young people. But since I have 2.0 an opportunity to speak, I do have two girls of 21 my own that are about y'all's ages, and so I did 22 want to address you just briefly certainly not on behalf of the Commission. I don't know you or 23 24 your family. I don't know Judge Holmes personally, but I am appreciative of your 25

1 willingness to come and speak before this body. 2 That takes a tremendous amount of courage, so I 3 want to applaud you for that. I also want to 4 take this opportunity to tell you that the 5 emotions that you see in this room -- I don't 6 even know what you call this room -- the 7 heightened emotions and the passion that people 8 are displaying today are not uncommon for the 9 Family Court. And when you are messing with 10 somebody's children or when you are fighting over 11 things like that, it is as heated as it gets. 12 And after hearing from various Family Court 13 judges now for several years, I'm convinced that 14 being a Family Court judge is the hardest job 15 there is because even though you're calling balls 16 and strikes, you're calling balls and strikes in 17 a game that is the most important, hardest fought 18 game in a person's life, right. And I'm sure 19 your mother would feel the same way. I don't 20 know your mother or your father, but it is an 21 intense place, and I don't want you to take any 22 of that as being disrespectful to either of you. 23 We are here to try and get it right. 24 Holmes is there to try and get it right. All of 25 the Family Court judges are there to try and get

1 it right, and so I appreciate you being here and 2 being a part of the process and helping us to get 3 So thank you so much for being here. it right. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. 5 MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am. Okay. We are 7 still on the complaint, and I don't want to do a 8 disservice to you, Judge, in disallowing or not 9 providing you with an opportunity, though, as 10 you've seen, we can very ably spend ourselves or 11 send ourselves down a rabbit hole, but if you 12 want to offer something else with respect to the 13 complaints alleged, we'd be happy to hear from 14 you, or you can stand on the documents you've 15 submitted thus far. 16 This is difficult for me JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: 17 because the Capps children are about the age of 18 my two children, and I knew that it would be 19 difficult for me to address it because to 2.0 appropriately address the accusations against me 21 would mean -- and I just refuse to state anything 22 publicly that would harm these young ladies. 23 just going to ask the Commission members to refer 24 to my exhibits. They give you all great detail

25

as to the position that I was in, the disrespect

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I saw, the counseling records that revealed. And I'm not going to say anything damaging to these young ladies, and that's just as honest as I can be. But I did what was necessary on that day. It was not intended to demean these young ladies or intimidate them because I have children that I would go to bat for as well. And I wouldn't appreciate anyone doing that to them, but that was never my role. I simply tried to restore the relationship between the father and his girls, of which it was clear that he loved them and so did the mother. And it's a terrible It's the worst case that I've had in Family Court, and I did the best that I could do on that particular day. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: There may be someone -- whoever watches this video, and I would not want to have them be deprived of the audio in full. SENATOR SABB: Well, candidly, I just saw the expression on the judge's face. She started talking about her kids and all of that. If it were me, I'd just go on and on and on. I kind of sensed her going on and on and on because she was kind of in her feelings. I mean, she's human

just like everybody else. And so just one of

1	those moments that I was trying to be kindly rude
2	and saying, we hear you, and let's just move on
3	to the next phase.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, sir. Given your literacy
5	and reading between the lines, did you have
6	anything else you'd like to offer, Judge?
7	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I would just ask that you read
8	my response and all of the exhibits
9	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am.
10	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: in its entirety. I did not
11	want to be put in a position to come and say
12	anything harmful to these young ladies because
13	they have a bright future.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am.
15	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Just like my children do.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am. Senator Garrett
17	has indicated he has something.
18	SENATOR GARRETT: Just briefly. I really didn't
19	understand why we had to recreate a hearing.
20	Could you help me with that?
21	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I'm so excited. I didn't know
22	either. December 10th and 11th, 2014, a court
23	reporter retired. And for some reason, her
24	records weren't able to be transcribed. And so
25	the Court of Appeals ordered me to recreate the

```
1
         record. Recreate the record? What do you mean,
 2
          recreate the record? I had never been asked to
          do that. So I called some other judges that were
 3
          on the bench longer than I were, and I asked,
 4
 5
         have you ever been asked to recreate the record?
 6
         And all of them said, no. And so what I did, I
         used my notes from the hearings on that day, the
 8
          exhibits, and I recreated the record. And now
 9
          that's a pretty proud moment for me because I was
10
          affirmed on recreating the record. But that's
11
          what happened. The court reporter's records --
          she retired, and her records were not able to be
12
13
          transcribed. And that was December 10th and the
14
         11th, not the December 14th date that we're here
15
          for.
                Those records were certainly available
16
          and, were transcribed.
17
     VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, Judge. Thank you,
18
          Senator. Did you have anything else?
                                        th
                                                  th
19
     SENATOR GARRETT: It was at the 10
                                           and 11 . I
          was trying to get my head around which dates
20
21
          those were. Was it the rule to show cause?
     JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Correct. It was the first two
22
23
         dates of the rule to show cause, December 10 ,
24
          11 , and then we have court records for the
                                                   14 , May 20 , for all of the other hearings.
            th
                     th
```

1	SENA:	TOR HOLMES: I'm familiar with that. Actually, I
2		was in a serious counsel doctrine case where the
3		court reporter lost my client's testimony on the
4		first day. Only my client's testimony and all
5		the rest of it was there. So we had to recreate
6		the record. He made counsel, the court made
7		counsel make us all get together and put
8		together a record, so it does happen. Thank you.
9	VICE	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, sir. Thank you. And
10		thank you, Judge. Having now heard all of the
11		testimony with respect to the complaints brought
12		before the Commission, we will turn to our
13		ordinary proceedings with respect to questions
14		from staff counsel. At this point, I would
15		recognize staff attorney, Julia Foster.
16	JUDGE BRO	MELL-HOLMES - EXAMINATION BY JULIA FOSTER:
17	Q.	Judge, after serving over 17 years on the Family
18		Court, why do you want to continue serving as a
19		family judge?
20	A.	Because I love my job, and I have the passion to
21		continue doing what I do. And it is about what
22		is in the best interest of the minor children,
23		and I desire another term to continue doing so.
24	Q.	What do you think your reputation is among

attorneys that practice before you?

25

A. Well, given the fact that there are so many here in support of me, they know me as a fair minded judge. The rule applies across the board. I am not biased. I am not prejudiced. I expect you to know the case law because I'm going to apply the case law. I have ruled in favor of some that are here as well as ruled against them, and they have not taken it personal. I just apply the law across the books.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge, the Commission received 357 ballot box Q. surveys regarding you with 56 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: excellent judge, very well versed in the law and gives logical, thorough consideration of all arguments before her. Another stated, Judge Bromell-Holmes is wonderful, a tremendous asset to the Family Court bench. And finally, another stated, Judge Holmes is an excellent judge. She knows the law and runs her courtroom exceptionally well. We are lucky to have her on the Family Court bench. Eight of the written Several of these comments expressed concerns. comments indicated concerns with your ability, namely application of law and inconsistent

rulings. What response would you offer this concern?

2.0

- A. If I had proper context, I would probably be able to address that. I apply -- I know the law. I certainly apply the law, and I follow the law, and I certainly expect the litigants and the attorneys that appear before the court to do likewise.
- Q. Judge, the second concern indicated concerns with judicial temperament. What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. Family Court is a very emotional court, and tempers flare oftentimes amongst litigants. And sometimes the lawyers may get a little aggressive towards each other, and there are times when I may have to raise my voice to gain control of my courtroom. But for all intents and purposes, I have always displayed appropriate judicial temperament based on the situation in front of me.
- Q. Judge, the final concern indicated concerns with lack of professionalism, including perceived bias. What response would you offer to this concern?
- 25 A. I am certainly professional. I am not biased.

1 And certainly individuals are entitled to their 2 That is a subjective opinion, but I opinion. 3 treat everyone the same, and I certainly don't 4 exhibit bias towards any particular party or 5 solicitor or the defense. I just treat everyone 6 the same. 7

- Q. Thank you, Judge.
- 8 MS. FOSTER: I would note that the Pee Dee Citizens 9 Committee found Judge Bromell-Holmes to be well 10 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 11 fitness, professional and academic ability, 12 character, reputation, experience and judicial 13 temperament and qualified in the evaluative 14 criteria of constitutional qualifications, 15 physical health and mental stability. 16 Committee had no summary or related comments.
 - Q. Judge, since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 20 Α. I have not.
- 21 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 0. 22 the limitations on contacting members of the 23 General Assembly regarding your screenings?
- 24 Α. I am.

17

18

19

25 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you **Q.**

1 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 2 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 3 of your screening? 4 I have not. Α. 5 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact 6 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, 7 or are you aware of anyone attempting to 8 intervene in this process on your behalf? 9 I have not, and I am not. Α. 10 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 11 Commission's guidelines on pledging in SC Code 12 Section 2-19-70(E)? 13 Α. I am. 14 I would just note for the record that any MS. FOSTER: 15 concerns raised during the investigation 16 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 17 the questioning of the candidate today. And Mr. 18 Chairman, I have no further questions. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. And Judge, 2.0 it occurred to me as I'm trying to process a 21 number of different things here. First of all, I have a great deal of empathy and sympathy for 22 23 what it must be like to do this in a real 24 But it occurred to me that I called courtroom. 25 you several times, Judge Holmes, in our last

1 exchanges. And your name is Judge Bromell-2 Holmes. 3 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I answer to both. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: So I asked -- my first Okay. 5 name is Micah. So typically when I meet people 6 and say, hi, I'm Micah. They say, Mike, nice to 7 meet you. I say, I'm sorry, it's Micah. They 8 say, Michael, I'm so sorry. And then I have to 9 say, it's Micah, so I have some sensitivity to 10 it, dulled and nerved, but yes, I appreciate your 11 grace, but I ask for your forgiveness and that of 12 your family. I meant no disrespect. I simply 13 lost my focus there. 14 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: My husband would just love for 15 me to drop the Bromell, but I'm keeping the 16 Bromell for my dad because I love my daddy. So 17 my maiden name is Bromell. Holmes is my married 18 So I honor them both, Bromell-Holmes. name. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I appreciate that. And my 2.0 intention is to respect your wishes, and to the 21 extent I fell short of that, I apologize. I 22 wanted to rectify that at our first opportunity. 23 Senator Sabb. 24 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I really 25 don't have a question, just a comment. And I've

1 known Judge Holmes for a long time, and just 2 always a studious individual. I mean, I couldn't 3 even spell Magna Cum Laude when I was in college. 4 And she graduated Magna Cum Laude and just enjoys 5 an excellent reputation, both in our home area of 6 Georgetown, as well as around the state. And 7 while I know that none of our judges are perfect, 8 just from the standpoint of her heart, I know 9 that she tries to get it right and tries to do 10 The community service that she gives to right. 11 that community, I think, is exemplary. I go to a 12 bunch of programs, and seemingly so many of them, 13 especially when they're recognizing the pastors 14 that do such great work, like Bishop Nowlin --15 when I was there, she was one of the persons that 16 they chose to speak at that service. And I don't 17 know that there are any ministers in the state 18 that I respect more than Bishop Nowlin. I mean, 19 just an extraordinary individual. But anyway, I 2.0 could kind of go on and on. I just want the 21 members to know that I appreciate her service and 22 her stance and the pride and dignity that she 23 brings to the job. 24 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Thank you. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Other members

1 of the Commission have questions or comments for 2 Judge Bromell-Holmes? All right. Go once, 3 Thank you. Well, seeing no more twice, thrice. 4 questions then, Judge, this will bring us to the 5 conclusion of this portion of our screening 6 I do want to take this opportunity to 7 remind you that, pursuant to the Commission's 8 evaluative criteria, the Commission expects 9 candidates to follow the letter as well as the 10 spirit of our state's ethics laws. And we will 11 view any violation or appearance of impropriety 12 as very serious and potentially deserving of 13 heavyweight in our screening deliberations. 14 so on that note, as you know, the record will remain open until the release of the final 15 16 qualifications report. And were the need to 17 arise, we would have the ability to bring you 18 Do you understand all of that? 19 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I do. 2.0 Thank you, ma'am. And so with VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 21 that, I want to thank you for your service to the 22 state of South Carolina. Thank you for your 23 offering for continued service to the state and 24 wish you, your family, and all of your guests a

wonderful Thanksqiving holiday and safe travels

25

1	back home.
2	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: And I wish you all the same.
3	Thank you so much. I think this is the last
4	hearing before Thanksgiving for you, so enjoy
5	your family.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. And
7	thank you to everyone who was here tonight. I
8	appreciate your time and interest in the
9	judiciary in the state of South Carolina. With
10	no further items on our agenda, the Committee
11	will stand. We will stand adjourned until Monday
12	at 9:00 a.m. So thank you all. Hope you have a
13	very Happy Thanksgiving.
14	(There being nothing further, the proceeding ended at 6:54
15	p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC
3	IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY
4	CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THIS PROCEEDING, ON MONDAY, THE
5	26TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2024, AND THAT THE FOREGOING 433
6	PAGES CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY
7	STENOMASK REPORT OF SAID PROCEEDING.
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR
9	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE
10	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY
11	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS
13	13TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2025.
14	
15	JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
16	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 19, 2033
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	11th	379:25 391:19	1985	149:20
Φ	382:25 383:23	397:10	158:11	2005
*	424:22 425:14	156	1:38	320:12
\$150	12	378:17	238:25	2010
231:17	13:12,23 17:17,	159	1st	
\$175	22 31:15 44:21	346:1	379:11	156:17,18 162:6
231:17	108:14 141:9	15th	3/9.11	2012
\$2,500	246:24 355:4	42:3 59:5		85:17
228:21	12th		2	2013
\$30,000	340:18 352:8	16	2	119:25 258:5
237:7	13	38:13 151:23,24	11:19 276:13	2014
\$40,000	108:17 165:11	178:6 332:17	335:7	337:24 366:16
347:13	234:8 355:6	346:23 388:7	2-19-70	407:2 410:8
JT1.1J	13th	160	19:15 33:15	424:22
	80:16 109:21	346:1	34:6 46:24	2017
	123:4 138:15	165	64:22 87:11	337:7 341:25
I	125.4 136.13	335:10	118:20 143:18	343:2 344:4
86:4	43:11 59:14	17	155:11 168:24	379:7,10,11
	76:9 141:8	11:17 112:22	200:20 244:25	412:11
1	156:18 241:5	140:6 152:1	257:1 270:8	2018
1	254:4 295:2	237:11 265:12	429:21	337:12,24
1	339:10,17	295:16 300:15	2-19-70(E)	343:18 345:3,5
11:16,20 15:2	345:25 346:12,	365:9 384:23	20:6 47:15	359:17 361:12
276:7 335:4	13,14,25 347:5	391:19 397:10 426:17	65:14 88:1	366:10,12 382:16
345:6,7	382:16 383:24		119:11 144:9	
10	425:24	18 29:25 44:22	156:1 169:15	2019
78:19 425:19,23	147	164:5 178:6,7	201:12 245:12	14:18,25 203:20 337:19 338:1
100	283:21	237:14 295:16	257:17 270:24	339:10,15,16,17
204:10 321:8	14th	312:3 346:1	430:12	345:18,19
105	337:24 339:14,	368:14	20	346:14 347:20,
335:4	15,18 347:21	181	71:7 108:15	21 383:24
10th	361:12 366:11	114:12	112:22 121:14	2020
382:25 383:23	383:23 425:14	114.12 18th	187:3 224:9	14:19 15:2
424:22 425:13	15	14:18 278:16	278:16 281:13	178:7 186:3
11	35:14 39:6,15		286:5 287:16	339:21,24
78:22 108:18	40:19 57:16	164.6 9 197.4	318:22 319:2	340:11,18
111:11 230:15	59:2,9 78:20	164:6,8 187:4	321:25 349:8	347:24 349:24
233:15 242:3	93:19,20,24	352:4,5	352:22 353:11	350:13 352:8
267:4,13 344:8,	102:2 112:22	194 200:14	425:24	384:1
16,17 345:2,4	141:11 152:10	300:14	20-	2021
355:5 425:19,24	210:19 276:4	1983	407:3	51:24
	283:21 364:25	146:6	2000	31.21
			<u> </u>	

2022	25th	31st	39	
51:24	339:21 347:24	379:10	117:9 378:23	5
2024	384:1	32	3rd	
52:1 178:8	26	295:4	14:25	5
384:24	142:19 265:11	320		30:2 164:9
2025	264	307:17	4	254:7 295:5
230:13	32:13	322		50
2026	26th	16:14	4	18:8 204:22
230:13	11:3	33	29:24 141:12	288:25
204	27	312:2	276:10 345:10	500
83:11	265:14	34	40	22:6 230:14
21	28	312:5	17:13 121:14	233:14
187:6 191:4	14:19 276:3	349	125:14 131:3	500-ish
211:13,21	29	153:15 170:4	170:11 288:25	342:2
295:16 346:25	141:16 223:19	35	307:10	501
347:14	276:6	59:24 207:14	40,000	87:4 118:13
212	2:00	332:16	347:17	56
335:7	240:17		400	383:12 427:11
22	240.17	35,000	146:14	5:00
76:8 241:4		17:16 26:7	410	70:20
295:14 318:4	3	350	45:16	
347:1,15 411:3	3	255:21	412	6
22nd	11:22 335:10	351	142:18	
337:19,25	30	59:23	43	6
340:11 345:18	37:22 38:3,9,13	357	243:7	30:3 43:10,14
349:23 350:13	40:8 102:1	165:10 427:10	45	57:19 241:8
351:15 383:24	121:14 149:15,	36	104:21 383:12	265:15 335:10
23	23 177:23 191:2	332:19 355:7	392:22	6'6''
81:9 109:11	205:17 221:10	365	465	307:16
241:7 268:14	276:9 308:24	231:5,10	190:16	60
383:24 411:3	345:6	370	47	322:1
23rd	30-	243:6	16:15	608
337:19 338:1	176:11	37a	476	89:20
345:18	30-plus	335:3	336:4	63
24	48:13	37b	48	245:23
83:12 102:17	300	335:6	102:17 255:21	66
254:3	157:16	37c	285:10 302:7	45:17
242	30th	335:9	323:13	6:54
268:13	379:10	38	49	434:14
25	319.10	12:5 32:14	153:15 154:1	15 1.11
237:12 254:6	229:3 295:1	114:13 335:12		
383:24 425:24	378:14		4:45	
JOJ.4+ 44J.44	3/0.14		70:19	1

	9:30	84:8 89:3 92:11,	acceptable	254:18 422:20
7	153:9,11	21 106:7 121:18	401:16	accused
	9th	123:5 135:12	accepting	134:13
7	339:24	136:5 137:12	215:19	accustomed
43:13 78:23		223:14 251:11	access	408:7
152:2 187:7	A	288:10 290:1,7	184:10,19 228:2	achieved
312:6 332:20		295:13 302:11	342:18 383:4	242:23
345:8 346:24	A +	303:16 348:15	392:20	acknowledge
347:1	25:23	355:11 378:14	accident	378:1 417:6
72	a.m.	380:15 388:1	320:13	acknowledged
149:13	434:12	409:14 419:22	accidentally	37:11 139:15
76	ABC	absolves	320:22	197:23 347:6
190:16	229:17,23	21:14	accolades	
	abide	abuela	273:23	acquaintance 92:4
8	77:14 95:15	136:18	accommodate	
	353:10	abundant	326:6	acquisition
8	abiding	100:20		188:2
11:23 57:15	349:7	abuse	accomplish	act
80	ability	83:5 84:23	18:1 110:15	279:17 280:14
201:25 318:3	14:1 19:5 33:8	89:21 161:15	126:12 248:25	281:14 286:10,
87	45:2 46:15 55:9	180:7 231:9	accomplished	11 325:23 363:5
378:24	64:11 75:21	362:7,21 363:6	51:17 203:6	390:23
	76:14 85:13	abused	262:17 288:23	acting
9	106:23 118:7	168:2 198:20	accomplishment	100:18
	122:14 143:8	234:21 305:12	247:8 307:6	action
9	154:20 166:2	382:15	accordance	85:16 114:5,7
57:18 212:9	168:16 171:22	abusive	94:20	127:5 340:25
346:1 411:2	197:2 217:13	359:25	account	365:3 413:10
900	223:17 244:18		191:14 220:2	414:10
408:23	250:11 256:16	academic	accountable	actions
90s	269:25 284:14	19:5 33:8 46:14	181:16,19	111:7 114:2,10
61:4	293:16 302:20	64:11 85:13	199:22 207:24,	362:19 390:14
92	305:13 310:22	118:7 143:8	25 363:11	active
92 161:24	305:13 310:22	154:20 168:16	384:10	161:23 162:1
		197:1 244:17	accountings	279:20,21
95	334:21 341:2	256:16 269:25	50:23	activity
149:20	342:18 353:1	284:14 302:20	accurate	365:13
96	363:3 427:24	322:10 429:11	64:5 84:9	actual
335:13	429:11 433:17	academically	311:22 342:9	168:7
9:00	ably	110:16	accurately	acutely
434:12	422:10	accept	134:2 346:6	236:9 262:13
9:25	absolutely	177:4 381:18		
11:3	62:4 73:5 82:2	1	accusations	ad

69:8 83:4 84:12	380:1 389:12	21:5 165:2	159:5 400:22	adversarial
136:8,21 406:22	406:9 420:22	225:7 318:1	admonishment	262:25 263:2
407:6 409:24,25	422:19,20 428:4	361:2	195:14	adversary
410:3 413:5	addressed	administrative	admonition	284:3 292:8
414:9	48:12,25 228:6	38:1 40:10,12	163:1	adverse
adapt	361:24 371:20	74:4 102:15	adolescent	190:13 215:13
101:22	addresses	176:11 190:3,	121:9	216:4 222:14
add	38:23 211:14	11,24 211:10	adopt	adversely
175:8 323:6	334:14	213:6 229:6	53:17 54:8	238:16
329:4 344:13	addressing	231:6,11 234:1,	102:25 306:16	advice
348:6 349:4	406:8	6,10 276:13	381:23	15:23
350:3 385:8	adequate	278:12,18	adopted	advisement
386:22	386:24	279:6,13,17,24	24:17 44:22	175:3
added	adhere	280:2,8,10,12,	196:1 211:23	Advisory
177:22 196:22	150:9 264:14	13,16,25 281:5,	adopting	152:22
addition	adhered	8,10,24 282:2,6	50:19 51:5	
151:20 307:24	231:23	283:2,3,11	adoption	advocacy 179:5,23
319:10 379:23	adherence	284:2 286:2,4,7,	44:18,20,21	
additional	107:8 206:1	10,11,12 287:22	50:3 51:20,25	advocate
16:15 32:14	231:21 252:21	289:13 291:6	53:5,14 196:2,	179:24 182:2 282:3 299:11
39:9 45:18	adhering	292:13 297:3,7,	22	315:25
59:24 82:22	96:4	10 298:6,11,15,	adoptions	
83:12 100:2		24 299:1,10	50:8,15,17,21	advocating 84:19
111:17,19	adjectives 191:9	309:17 314:5,8,	51:2,3,5 54:21	
114:13 142:19		14,21,25 315:6,	82:6 141:23	affairs
153:16 165:11	adjourned 434:11	11,13,15,24	adoptive	314:24 319:10
171:10,15		316:1,12,14,19	51:10,12,16,20	385:14
190:17 191:25	adjudicated	317:9,11	53:20 196:14	affect
230:20 243:7	220:11 273:10	318:13,20,22	adult	27:22 45:3
255:22 268:14	adjudicating	319:4	394:14	180:23 267:10
280:7,9 283:22	328:14	admire		317:5 356:14
298:5,8 300:15	adjudication	99:4 210:1	adults 180:16 182:14	affected
			1 180°10 187°14	361:20
315:5,7 318:4	193:15 219:15	292:1		
315:5,7 318:4 337:4 340:25	adjudicator	admired	advance	affecting
· '	adjudicator 298:14	admired 202:3 320:8	advance 97:8 197:9	affecting 317:14
337:4 340:25	adjudicator 298:14 adjusted	admired 202:3 320:8 admirers	advance 97:8 197:9 211:24	affecting 317:14 affection
337:4 340:25 358:13 379:23	adjudicator 298:14	admired 202:3 320:8 admirers 106:22	advance 97:8 197:9 211:24 advantage	affecting 317:14 affection 297:16,25
337:4 340:25 358:13 379:23 382:3 427:11	adjudicator 298:14 adjusted 25:22 admin	admired 202:3 320:8 admirers 106:22 admitted	advance 97:8 197:9 211:24 advantage 389:11 404:18	affecting 317:14 affection 297:16,25 affects
337:4 340:25 358:13 379:23 382:3 427:11 additionally	adjudicator 298:14 adjusted 25:22	admired 202:3 320:8 admirers 106:22 admitted 337:21 345:20	advance 97:8 197:9 211:24 advantage 389:11 404:18 advantageous	affecting 317:14 affection 297:16,25 affects 356:12
337:4 340:25 358:13 379:23 382:3 427:11 additionally 263:6	adjudicator 298:14 adjusted 25:22 admin	admired 202:3 320:8 admirers 106:22 admitted 337:21 345:20 admonish	advance 97:8 197:9 211:24 advantage 389:11 404:18 advantageous 183:17	affecting 317:14 affection 297:16,25 affects 356:12 affidavit
337:4 340:25 358:13 379:23 382:3 427:11 additionally 263:6 address	adjudicator 298:14 adjusted 25:22 admin 282:6	admired 202:3 320:8 admirers 106:22 admitted 337:21 345:20	advance 97:8 197:9 211:24 advantage 389:11 404:18 advantageous 183:17 adventure	affecting 317:14 affection 297:16,25 affects 356:12 affidavit 17:20 30:19
337:4 340:25 358:13 379:23 382:3 427:11 additionally 263:6 address 194:12 220:15	adjudicator 298:14 adjusted 25:22 admin 282:6 administer	admired 202:3 320:8 admirers 106:22 admitted 337:21 345:20 admonish	advance 97:8 197:9 211:24 advantage 389:11 404:18 advantageous 183:17	affecting 317:14 affection 297:16,25 affects 356:12 affidavit
337:4 340:25 358:13 379:23 382:3 427:11 additionally 263:6 address 194:12 220:15 228:24 318:16	adjudicator 298:14 adjusted 25:22 admin 282:6 administer 227:3	admired 202:3 320:8 admirers 106:22 admitted 337:21 345:20 admonish 407:13 418:12	advance 97:8 197:9 211:24 advantage 389:11 404:18 advantageous 183:17 adventure	affecting 317:14 affection 297:16,25 affects 356:12 affidavit 17:20 30:19

141:2 187:19	222.4 6 240.12	326:7 389:8	all's	Allahmaala
210:24 334:12,	232:4,6 240:13 255:2 265:2	409:10	360:22	Allsbrook 29:15,17 30:1,4
22 335:4	275:10 278:2,3			
	294:3 311:10	agreed	All-american	alternative
affidavits	313:20 331:13	379:18,24	72:17	377:1
79:12 95:12	333:2,9 334:1	380:20	allegation	Amanda
96:14 102:20	· ·	agreeing	358:17 359:18	80:3
109:8 151:16	age	34:15 35:2 67:1	380:8	amazing
163:23 176:10	48:10 80:23	157:22	allegations	282:7,10,11
211:24 241:19	110:2 119:24	agreement	359:17 378:10	ambiguous
248:22 254:19	129:21 215:19	246:17 366:14	382:1,14	289:18
266:14 277:4	278:9 296:24	379:13,14,16,	allege	ambit
296:8 313:5	314:2 361:15 400:25 422:17	17,22 380:6,20	337:7 339:24	406:14
333:20 335:13		ahead	340:18 345:19	ambush
378:25 385:7	aged	39:25 53:13,17	348:25 349:24	96:16
affirm	295:16	65:24 66:23	352:25	amend
275:2 385:4	agencies	276:17	alleged	240:9
386:7	198:7 300:10	aid	117:3 337:11	amendment
affirmation	314:15 318:23,	385:13	339:10 340:11,	11:20 275:22
107:16 274:25	25 319:6,14	air	12,21,24 343:20	276:7
329:19 384:21	325:15	32:19 361:19	352:6,11 358:16	America
affirmed	agency	albeit	410:11 422:13	110:12,13
159:20,23	197:24 281:17	353:24	alleges	American
383:22 384:2	283:4,16 286:8	ALC	337:18 352:13	307:8
425:10	298:11 303:20	322:14	alleging	amortization
afford	agenda	alert	352:15 360:2	113:6
182:20 184:4	434:10	103:19 129:23	allocate	
295:10 332:24	ages	Alicia	113:1	amount
337:3 382:6	420:21	29:15,17 30:1,4	allocation	174:18 175:8 219:9 288:2
408:16	aggressive	alienated	345:22	348:21 421:2
Affordable	428:14	404:13	allotted	
281:14	aggressor	alienating	382:24 383:1	ample
afforded	218:19	329:25 380:1	allowed	336:8
406:8	aggrieved		36:6 112:1	an-
afoul	390:18	alienation 404:11 405:9	229:17 267:16	228:15
406:13	agree		328:16 337:8	analogy
afraid	18:12 39:15	410:20 411:8,14	356:6,21 380:9	206:8
49:6 61:10	67:7 135:12	413:15	390:23	analyst
154:8	137:4 171:22	alimony	allowing	72:21
afternoon	172:8 177:25	111:8 166:7	28:21 165:8	analyzed
40:7 71:4	200:11 216:17,	170:23,25	337:20 345:19	72:23
164:20 172:13,	18 218:13 225:8	ALJS	410:12	and/or
14 218:8,9	228:16 249:17	325:8	710.12	202:15
Í				

	l	0.4.04.4.05.4.4	1	2011521515
Anderson	apologize	84:21 107:11	applicable	204:16 215:16
18:3 271:12,17	29:9 80:2	119:23 129:22	15:18	231:5,12 319:22
282:5 290:11	191:19 192:15	139:9 158:2	application	approachable
324:4,14,16	199:20 287:1	239:23 252:24	12:17 30:14	292:14
Andrews	364:17 392:23	264:16 274:21	43:24 58:7 79:7	approaches
356:4	397:20 416:4	293:11 310:15	82:25 109:3	32:17 101:18
Andy	431:21	330:10 351:23	117:23 140:21	316:19
214:12,14	apologized	353:17 356:4	151:11 163:19	approaching
223:24	192:12,15	368:13 433:11	187:14 241:14	95:3
animal	apologizing	appearances	254:12 266:9	appropriately
196:4	191:21	84:1	276:19,22 296:2	85:25 217:9
animals	apology	appeared	312:24 333:14	232:19 422:20
196:2	18:19	123:14 124:16	427:25	approved
Anita	apparent	129:25 315:16	applied	379:22
351:18	328:11	329:16 384:8	16:21 98:25	April
annually	apparently	appearing	117:20 232:22	14:25
17:14	55:18 56:1,5	84:20 85:7	applies	arbitrary
anonymous	136:15 274:6	248:9	427:3	176:3 289:23
106:22 173:24	410:2 411:21	appears	apply	arduous
208:12 235:7	appeal	12:19 26:24	99:2 129:9	21:9
anonymously	137:16 166:2	30:16 44:1 58:9	427:5,8 428:4,5	area
41:11 174:8	168:6 183:5	79:10 109:6	applying	40:22 50:7
216:4 252:6	303:20,22	122:13 140:24	98:11 361:3	89:16 111:5,20,
262:19	315:22 341:3,10	151:14 163:22	appoint	24 112:4 116:15
answers	353:2,9 385:12	187:17 241:16	410:2	117:1 123:12
106:16 217:11	405:8	254:15 266:11	appointed	128:11,16,19
240:18	appealed	277:1 296:6	240:17 409:25	157:11 173:17
ante	117:23 183:4	305:1 313:2	appointment	183:25 192:21
102:4	386:13 390:15	333:17 351:23	379:25	231:3 259:6
anticipate	appealing	377:12 389:23	appreciated	260:11 271:12,
104:12	353:15	appellate	74:6,14 77:9	22 279:6,14
	appeals	17:21 60:24	appreciating	280:4 303:21
anticipated 175:20 182:1	159:21,23	87:5 118:14	131:9	316:21 319:4
	166:3,11	132:23 159:25	appreciation	432:5
anticipation	303:19,23,25	160:8 166:1	306:11 325:17	areas
97:22	325:8,10 334:19	238:5	appreciative	32:1 40:14 56:2
antitrust	335:17 383:22	applaud	273:20 368:7	82:21 83:14
278:21 287:7	384:3,20,25	21:13 75:14	420:25	91:20,24 111:16
Anytime	386:11 417:4	172:21 216:9		112:16,19
129:12	424:25	421:3	approach	114:11 115:2,13
apologies	appearance	Apple	46:3 76:2 82:15	125:25 171:17
266:1	42:8 77:17	378:16	95:8,9 97:21 101:23 124:3	192:23 202:21
			101.23 124.3	

244:14,16	arriving	169:1,9 200:22	associate	144:4 155:22
259:14 279:1	320:5	201:5 245:2	81:12 89:13,18	169:10 201:7
280:6,18 282:18	arrogant	257:3,11	associates	245:8 257:12
298:4,18,23	191:9,15 192:3	270:10,18	89:24	270:19 285:1
300:2,9 303:25	article	284:25 299:8	association	301:22 322:23
315:4 316:25	69:25 70:3	301:21 317:8,	53:9 121:23	430:7
317:25 318:20	71:15	13,18 322:22	assume	attend
arena	articles	429:23 430:6	75:1 209:8	103:20
107:1 111:6	12:19 30:16	assert	assuming	attended
112:7	44:1 58:9 79:10	234:11 269:11	90:16 98:12	191:16 235:1
arguing	109:6 140:24	339:11	183:19 209:4	attendee
211:3	151:13 163:21	asserted	324:8 372:25	106:21
argument	187:17 241:16	288:16	assure	attendees
177:16 354:10	254:15 266:11	asserting	45:22 140:6	321:10
arguments	276:25 296:5	357:4	185:25	attention
36:14 121:17	313:2 333:17	assess		54:25 56:11
175:1 284:4	articulate	306:16 354:7	astray 186:13	82:10,18 94:14
292:9 427:16	121:16	assessment		99:5 101:13
arise	ashamed	166:1 341:16	athlete	120:16 206:10
293:17 310:21	68:17	388:10 403:23,	297:20	234:24 296:15
330:16,17	Ashmore	24	athletics	301:11 320:20,
433:17	103:22	asset	306:22	22 384:6 402:6
arising		82:16,17 91:25	Atira	attentive
341:3 347:19	Ashmore's 105:19	114:17,23	333:4	63:24 129:19
408:21		137:18 153:22	Atlanta	221:19
	aspect	283:25 427:17	120:6,11,13,22	
arm 204:6	236:1 303:10		atmosphere	attorney 62:9 83:3,4
	351:12 353:12	assets 21:4 82:7	145:8 204:21	90:23 95:21
Army	356:8		205:4	114:22 137:22
158:5,13 161:24	aspects	assigned	attached	154:10 162:10
arose	82:1 112:14	175:12 202:16	111:23 113:17	177:15,24 178:1
320:13	assault	223:5	attack	185:2,6,7 194:2
arrangement	127:3,8,14	assigning	159:5 217:12	185:2,6,7 194:2
366:15	218:17 219:11	282:11	attacked	242:22 283:25
arrangements	Assembly	assignment	212:14	318:12 350:14,
85:1 104:6	19:17,25 21:2,	66:10	attempt	17,18,21,24
arrest	15 33:17,25	assist	362:8	351:4,15 352:19
127:12	46:25 47:9	81:3 110:7	attempted	373:22 380:17
arrested	64:24 65:7	278:14 283:6	323:4	411:18 418:7
127:14	87:13,21 116:4	297:5 314:7	attempting	426:15
arrive	118:22 119:5	assistant	20:1 34:1 47:10	attorney's
320:3 338:23	143:20 144:3	190:4 194:17	65:8 119:6	36:9 122:5
	155:12,21		03.0 117.0	30.9 122.3

	1	1	1	1
183:8	363:11	ayes	320:22 330:17	bags
attorneys	automatically	86:16 331:8,9	331:14 339:2	366:21
15:8 31:22 32:6	230:2		341:12,20	bailiffs
38:7,20 45:7	average	В	342:23 346:23	221:12
61:1,9 114:19	17:13 157:16		351:6 353:22	bait
124:1 129:17	178:24	baby	361:7 364:9,16	147:19
136:7 142:2,9	avoid	237:12 367:17	366:15 367:2	balance
153:5 165:5	97:6 324:17	bachelor's	368:17 374:22,	27:21 229:15
166:19,20	avoided	121:8	24 376:5 377:22	236:10
174:25 182:20	204:10	back	383:11 391:19	balancing
189:12 190:22	awake	18:2 26:16	393:3,9,10,18,	326:3
191:7 194:12	129:23 130:4	28:22 29:2,17	21 401:14 411:1	ball
197:8,14,19,24	award	30:9 34:16	417:23,25	214:3
208:3 242:15	121:23	42:11,15 43:4	433:18 434:1	ballot
255:3 256:3		48:22,23,24	backed	12:16 16:14,16
267:19 326:2	aware 14:20 15:3 20:1,	53:21 54:3,6,9	347:18	22:11 30:13
328:9 393:11	3 34:1 47:2,10	55:3,6 56:21	background	32:13,15 43:23
411:17 426:25	63:6 65:8 87:2,	60:15 61:1,3	50:22 92:9	45:17,18 58:6
428:7	22 95:23 118:11	67:19 70:19,20	97:20 121:15	59:23,24 79:6
attribute	119:6,12 144:4	77:18,22 78:5	154:11 157:23	83:11 109:2
320:7	155:22,23	86:20 88:17,18	314:9 327:3	114:12,14
attributed	156:25 169:10	94:10 101:15	backhanded	128:14 140:20
41:11	171:17 179:21	103:6 107:12,	246:3	142:18,20 145:3
attributes	197:24 198:6	17,20 110:23	backlog	151:10 153:15
98:15	201:7 257:12,18	120:22 127:8,21	190:25 206:16,	163:18 165:10,
attribution	260:18 270:19	132:1 138:16	18 229:7 231:13	12 187:13
55:20	285:1,3,12,14	139:11 149:11	233:15	189:22 190:16
audience	301:22,24	150:11 162:17	backlogs	241:13 243:6
232:20 313:15	302:9,11 322:23	163:2 178:6	210:2 229:13	254:11 255:21,
audio	323:15 325:2	186:14 189:4	230:5	22 259:17 266:8
385:22 423:18	392:17 430:7	192:14 195:3,8	backs	268:13,14
August	awareness	196:12 198:3	94:8 255:19	273:25 276:23
51:14 340:18	226:19	200:5 202:2	backup	283:21,22 292:4
352:8	awe	205:3 214:4	417:19	296:3 300:14
aunt	247:17	223:10,12 228:3	backwards	304:10 312:24
390:7	awesome	230:2,3,18	22:17	318:3,5 333:15
aunts	142:24	235:2 238:18,19	bad	427:10,12
115:19	awful	239:25 240:5,11	26:20 64:1	balls
auspices	370:6 413:17	253:3,5 261:20,	102:15 148:10	421:15,16
100:18		22 264:17 266:2	238:8 249:16,20	bank
	aye 86:15 331:7	274:24 275:4 293:16 303:16	262:20 376:8,	67:10 126:21
authority 129:4 234:1	00.13 331.7	310:22 319:22	17,20	201:22
127.4 254.1		310.44 317.44		

n	212 4 22 214 6	1 4.6 1	1 1 6	1 6 •
Bannister	213:4,22 214:6,	beautiful	belief	beneficiary 86:5,7
116:7,12,13	25 216:21 217:5	146:16 295:15	15:11 16:13	/
bar	218:22 248:20, 21 249:1,19	beg	89:5 106:18	benefit
14:5 16:5,13	·	363:24	believed	237:24 278:25
21:21 22:10,15	324:4,5,20,22	began	104:3 380:19	306:1 381:22
26:24 62:25	325:4 326:12 328:20 369:11	64:3 131:7	believes	Benson
66:5,13 166:21		279:20 304:10	409:23	141:4,5,7,14,15
167:10 209:17	371:10,19,24 372:7 383:19	begin	believing	143:2 144:11
223:4 239:13	392:25 393:22	158:5 277:17	128:22 135:2	296:18,19 297:1
274:1 329:16	400:5 403:5	336:1 378:5	199:21 206:23	302:14 309:24
bare	419:22	behalf	belinger	berate
354:25 355:23		19:25 20:2	357:2	383:14
barrel	basis	21:20 22:3	bemoaning	berets
194:5	92:3 227:25	27:12 33:25	68:7	358:15
barring	234:12,14,15	34:2 41:24 47:9,	Ben	betterment
233:6	280:14 357:21	11 65:7,9 87:21	55:5	300:11
baseball	basketball	117:21 119:5,7	bench	bias
72:8 157:16	306:25 307:1,8	144:3,5 155:21	13:12 17:15	337:20 339:25
based	bat	156:25 169:9,11	18:5 20:20	345:19 349:1
48:10,13 51:9	423:7	201:5,8 202:5	26:23 59:16	351:24 428:23
80:19 90:14	batch	245:9 250:19	60:2 61:5 62:22	429:4
109:24 128:18	55:13	252:8 257:11,13	63:7 114:17	biased
148:14 172:20	battery	259:13 270:18,	115:14 122:21	427:4 428:25
173:3 195:15	127:3,9,15	20 284:25 285:2	123:3 130:7	biblically
278:4 296:20	218:18	301:21,23,25	137:8,19,24	263:24
313:23 336:20	batting	322:22,24	145:17 147:11	big
339:23,25	157:16	359:14 363:18	152:11 170:17	71:20 72:9,15
341:17 348:1	bawling	420:23 430:6,8	176:6 178:4	89:5,6 91:13
383:19 385:14	374:6	behave	192:5 193:16	121:7 133:17
397:7 401:13	beach	372:8	195:25 200:3	189:1 203:12,13
402:17,21	261:24	behaving	205:18 215:18	231:13 242:11
403:21 410:10	beacon	361:25 371:25	244:10 249:23	360:13,17
412:2,12,16	363:8	372:9	268:19 276:20	bigger
413:17 418:13	bear	behavior	283:25 284:6	89:11 196:10
428:19	95:1 180:3,22	356:7 372:1	295:25 301:8	
basic	182:24 391:18	behavioral	312:21 333:12	biggest 159:14 361:11
193:10 349:9	bearing	113:22 121:12	425:4 427:18,22	
basically	354:3	beings	beneficial	biological
16:8 23:19 24:5		390:23	180:9 184:3,15,	53:3,19
36:24 134:3	beat	belabor	18 185:11	Bishop
152:18 183:15	75:22	227:21	212:19 352:17	432:14,18
184:6 210:3,17	Beatty	221.21	385:11	bit
<u></u>	103:22			25:21 51:18

71:14 88:17	Blatt	bono	12 187:13	brewing
90:1 92:18	261:20	115:21	189:22 190:16	234:8
97:12,21 101:22	blazed	book	241:13 243:6	briefing
112:5 122:3	147:15	280:23 290:13,	254:12 255:21,	331:6,11
175:22 184:22	blend	17	22 266:8	briefly
196:10 200:10	125:22 126:11	booked	268:13,14	81:23 112:11
213:8 217:18	bless	212:11	273:25 276:23	182:8 245:20
221:22 225:24	29:2 55:22	books	283:21,22 292:4	364:8 379:6
236:24 237:9	150:14	427:9	296:3 300:14	380:8 420:22
244:4 271:19	blessed	born	304:10 312:24	424:18
285:25 287:1	66:25 281:5	110:12 271:10,	318:4,5 333:15	bright
303:13 309:15	blessing	11,19 321:23	427:10,12	97:4 318:11
319:20,25	125:11 414:4	322:1	boxes	326:17 367:22
320:2,5 321:16	blind	borrow	176:12 259:17	424:13
327:10 328:10	52:15	317:23	boy	brightest
360:24 365:20		bother	24:22 55:2	203:5,6,9
366:20 369:7	block	24:15	boyfriend	bring
392:3,11 397:16	40:15	bothered	237:14	76:2 80:1 95:1
414:25	blocks	23:17	branch	130:6 158:7
bizarre	247:24		58:22 129:8	164:1 192:10
343:12	blood	bothers	282:24 283:5,13	319:11 353:22
black	291:23	225:24	286:9	405:3,5 413:10
75:12 123:9	blossom	bottom	branches	414:16 433:4,17
244:1	49:22	68:25 339:1	282:18,20	bringing
Blackley	blush	bounce	283:7,9,18	77:1 234:24
73:8,9,16,18,22	243:24	89:14	300:1,3,5,10	309:16 352:24
78:2 172:11,12	board	bound	316:25 317:2,24	393:3
173:23 218:6,7	201:23 252:11	87:3 107:9	breaching	brings
418:4,5,7	375:8,9 427:3	118:12	252:24	132:13 233:2
419:17,20	body	bounds	breadth	310:5 331:19
420:2,11	14:6 17:3,8 85:7	343:25 381:12	112:19 113:8	432:23
blah	133:4 258:24	box	122:13 304:9	bristle
353:11,12	306:13 338:17	12:16 16:15,16	break	174:15
blame	408:4,7 421:1	22:11 30:13	12:6 104:10	broad
181:14,15 227:5	Bolivia	32:14,15 43:23	136:10 417:18	114:16
365:5	110:12	45:17,18 58:6	breath	broader
blank	bonding	59:23,24 79:6	32:19 269:15	
124:10	117:10	83:11 109:3	Breeden	131:8,15 351:9
blanket	bone	114:13,14	79:14	broadly
233:24	245:22	128:14 140:20		354:7
blatant	bones	142:18,20 145:3	Breedon	broke
356:6	341:13	151:10 153:15	241:21	204:7 282:9
		163:18 165:10,		
L				

	 I		1	
Bromell	195:23 243:4	182:9 198:6	89:9 103:18	79:5,13 87:9
431:15,16,17	262:1 340:25	burdened	105:8 107:17	109:9 118:18
Bromell-	358:1 382:18	197:25	139:11 148:10	140:18 143:16
431:1	383:15 387:25	burdens	150:11 162:17	155:8 168:22
Bromell-holmes	393:18 403:7,9	180:22	186:14 203:3	187:11 244:23
331:20,23	404:16 409:18	bus	214:19 219:19	254:10 256:24
332:3,5,12,15,	411:16,22	238:14	229:17 239:25	266:6 270:6
18,21 333:3	420:2,6,7	busier	253:2 264:17	285:6 296:9
334:18,25	426:11	17:11 35:12	274:23 293:16	302:3 323:3
378:5,14,19,24	Bruce	business	297:15 310:22	354:7 429:19
379:1 380:15	116:11,13	74:13 89:10	330:16 375:12,	candidate
382:12 387:18,	Bryan	195:10 328:6	14 393:9 421:6	11:5 20:10,11
22 392:14	294:5,8,12	361:2	called	34:10,11 49:3
395:7,16,19	295:3,6	busy	15:14 75:23	65:19,20 78:8
399:4,8,10,15,	Bryce	174:9	105:19 107:12	83:25 84:4 87:3
18,22 400:3,14,	73:13,15		122:25 181:1	88:6,7 111:15
16,24 402:21	Buchanan	butchering	195:20 196:8,10	118:12 144:13,
403:24 404:24	211:19,20 262:8	392:6	199:7 200:9	14,24,25 156:6
406:24 407:2,6	357:8,16 384:17		218:21 392:20	178:18 201:16
409:14,19,21	budgeting	C	393:22 401:23,	245:16 257:22
410:5,8,19	250:18		25 425:3 430:24	267:24 271:3,4
411:10,17	build	calculate	calling	276:13 285:17,
412:1,23 413:12	97:4 220:22	388:23	93:12 105:6	18 299:7 302:25
418:6,21	328:7	calculating	197:12 235:25	303:1 319:3
419:18,21		113:5	238:22 242:10	354:5,19 408:19
420:4,12 422:16	building 261:20	calculations	252:2,4 421:15,	430:16,17
424:7,10,15,21		113:4	16	candidate's
425:22 426:16	builds	calculator	calls	80:20,21 278:5,
427:17 429:9	307:23	336:4 378:16	200:7 233:8	7 296:21,22
431:3,14,18	built	calendar	389:18	313:24,25
432:24 433:2,19	176:9	253:18 331:15	calm	candidates
434:2	bullied	Calhoun	16:18 200:10	12:14 20:19
brother	219:22,23,24	255:10 258:7,8,	campaign	55:13 56:10
86:7	bullpen	10,11 259:10,	44:4 58:12	77:16 173:1
brother's	145:24	11,20	141:3 163:24	259:6 272:21
117:18	bump	CALI	241:20	274:15 293:9
brothers	415:14	121:23	candid	310:13 334:17
115:20 128:3	bunch	caliber	98:5 197:7	341:16 433:9
brought	72:16 114:3	94:5	candidacy	candidly
11:25 57:12	148:23 326:3,4	call	19:13 33:13	105:8 423:19
81:6 127:6	432:12	28:22 42:11	43:22 44:5	candor
137:2 192:7,13	burden	54:25 56:21	46:21 64:20	218:2
157.2172.7,15	180:2 181:4	63:7 77:18 84:7	70.21 07.20	

		<u> </u>	1	1
Canes	356:19,23	19 52:6,19	305:15 307:5	218:22 220:5
321:1	357:2,6,10,15,	53:11 82:5	321:2 347:5	225:21 230:18,
cannons	17,19,23 358:3,	101:2 223:5,6	433:22 434:9	23 231:1,16
339:13	5,9,11,14,24	246:11 251:21	Carolina's	233:22 234:3,7,
canon	359:3,7,9,10,13	281:14 295:8	32:19	11 238:7 256:4
340:18 355:4,5,	360:3,9,12,15,	298:22 304:2	Caroline	268:23 273:10
6,7	19 364:6,7,13,	312:14 409:8,9	12:4 22:14	280:20,21
canons	21,25 365:3,9,	career	Carolyn	287:11,12
15:18 339:11	12,14,25 366:5,	81:10 92:24	333:6	290:22,24,25
354:15 355:22,	11,17 367:24	113:3,17 116:8	carried	305:18 308:23
25 379:2	368:2,15,20,22	123:20 138:13,	383:24	309:10 315:17,
capability	369:3,13,15,23	17,23 147:13	carry	22 325:24
23:25	370:14,19,22	214:25 278:24	15:17 76:15	328:20 334:20,
capable	371:1,9,14,16,	279:19,22 280:2	390:20	21 341:3 347:4,
48:19 101:19	21,23 372:3,11,	288:12 292:19	carrying	10 351:17
214:10 283:24	15,19,22,24	303:10 304:8,24	55:9 186:2	353:2,12 361:23
367:10	373:4,6,13,15,	327:1 361:4	Carter	383:2,12 384:23
capacity	18,21 374:1,11,	careful	250:8	386:12 387:11
152:23 167:16	13,18,21,24	181:13 373:7		388:1 389:21,24
168:3 185:21	375:2 376:1,25	carefully	case 20:23 21:12	390:17 392:21
Capps	377:9,19,24	194:4 256:5	20:23 21:12 22:21 36:3 37:1	393:22 394:12
333:25 334:2,7,	378:24 380:16	cares	39:11 52:12	398:8 402:16
11,22 335:5,7,	385:1 386:11	60:5	62:5,6 63:8	404:10,19 405:8
11,13,14,15,20	417:3 418:11	Carolina	70:10 82:16,17	407:2 408:22
336:1,12,15,24	422:17	14:24 20:6 34:6	85:19,21,22	409:11,21,22
337:5,10,17,22	caption	44:19 46:23	101:6 102:9,10	410:2,5,6,8,20 411:25 412:4
338:2,6,13,19	71:13	47:15 54:3	109:8 115:17	
339:15,18	capture	62:25 65:13	116:24 117:2,	414:21,25 423:13 426:2
340:3,6,8,15,22	193:5	74:13 81:22	10,14,15,17	423:13 426:2
341:6,9,23	captured	87:5 88:1 89:10	125:21 126:20,	· ·
342:4,22 343:22	177:1	109:20 114:6,8	21,22,24	caseload
344:17,20	car	118:14 119:11	127:19,21	20:25 21:11
345:4,23	105:10 320:13,	120:14,24	132:4,5,14	197:22
346:13,14,18,21	20 374:14	125:12 127:2,4,	134:10,12,17	cases
347:21 348:4,7,	card	6 144:9 153:22	135:8 139:14	17:16 21:5,25
15,22 349:3,7	194:14 195:10	156:1 169:15	160:6 165:25	32:17 40:5,6
350:2,4,8,10,16,	cardiovascular	199:17 201:12	168:6 170:23,25	45:12 51:19,21
25 351:5,11	27:11	205:21 242:9	171:1,8 174:23	52:19 53:25
352:4,10,19,21	cards	257:17 259:7,9,	176:16 177:21,	54:11 82:2,4,6, 8,9,14 83:1,3,5
353:4,7,19	226:9	21 270:24	25 179:16 185:3	84:18 89:21
354:8,11	care	271:11 283:14	193:13 204:7	91:25 92:3,7
355:11,14	40:2 42:18 51:3,	297:17,23	210:15,20	93:24 98:2
		298:12,15		73.44 70.4
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>

100:20 102:23	cash	356:15,20,23	celebrated	98:8,9 103:5
111:8,9,21,23	113:4	359:1,5,10,16	321:21,24	106:10,20
112:17,18,20,	Caskey	360:5,14,16	cell	107:3,6,19,24
21,24 113:8,10,	20:14,15,17	364:2,7,15	105:19 195:11	108:1,5,7,12,21,
12,18,19,20,21	22:8 23:22	365:18 368:4	center	24 109:16,23
114:1,4 121:11	55:24 130:8,9,	375:3 377:9,21	156:21	116:6 118:1
123:25 125:3	10 131:6 132:2	378:8,16,21	century	119:16,18,21
126:2,13 127:1	133:7 232:2,3,4,	380:7,22 381:17	299:21	130:9,14,18,23
129:19 133:15	7 275:10,14,17,	386:14 391:12	certified	131:7,20 133:8
134:21 136:7	25 276:12,16	396:12 397:5	327:24	139:2,8,14,20,
137:15 161:13,	277:13,22	398:12 400:18	cetera	22 140:1,4,13,
16 165:20,22,23	285:20,23	404:1 406:7	100:17	17 141:14
166:6,7,8,10	286:21 289:5	407:8,10 408:13	chair	144:15,16,23
171:9 172:18	291:8,24 292:25	414:23 415:18,	104:25 152:21	146:5 147:3,5
175:12 177:9,11	293:20 294:3,	24 417:16,23	381:13 407:15	149:4,25 150:6,
184:9 190:20	10,13,17,22	420:13 422:4,6		14,18,20 151:2,
193:18,20	295:7,18 296:18	423:16 424:4,9,	chairman	6 152:5,6 156:7,
198:23 199:6	303:3,6 304:16	14,16 425:17	11:1,9,13,25	9,19,22 157:19,
205:11 206:15	306:5 308:4	426:9 430:19	12:3,9,13 13:2	20 161:2,20
218:25 219:1,20	309:20 310:25	431:4,19 432:25	15:22 20:12,14,	162:4,7,21,24
220:8 222:8	311:7,10,14,20,	433:20 434:6	15,17 22:8	163:7,9,12,16
228:17 229:8,9,	24 312:9 313:16	cast	23:10,11 25:5,6 26:2 27:5,15,20	164:12,18
21,23 230:11,14	323:23 328:23	259:12 260:24	28:5,9,13 29:1,	169:22,24
231:2,8,9,20	329:22 330:2,4,	Cat	7,10,13,17,20	172:11 173:21,
233:19 234:19,	20 331:3,9,13,	291:20	30:5,9 31:1,4	22 182:5
21,23 236:7	25 332:6,13,22	catch	34:12,13 35:5,6	185:14,24
248:18 273:3	333:8 334:4,8	63:16,20,22,25	37:16,17 41:7,	186:17,22,24
279:25 280:15,	336:1,14,18,25	category	22 42:2,14,18,	187:9 188:6,11,
21 281:7,12,15,	337:6,11,18,25	269:1	20,22,25 43:4,6,	13,17,19
19,24,25 282:11	338:5,11,15,20	caught	16 44:11 47:20,	201:14,18,20
286:13,14	339:16,20	190:25 231:24	22,23 49:14,15,	208:16,17
297:11 298:15,	340:4,7,10,16,	376:2	25 54:24 56:14,	214:15 218:5,14
19,21 299:16	23 341:8,11,24	caused	15,24 57:3,7,11,	222:17 227:6,
303:17,19 304:1	342:13 343:16	376:17	23 58:23 65:21,	14,15 232:2,3,4,
305:2,15 309:6	344:16,18,21	caution	22 67:4,5 72:6	7 233:13 238:23
315:2,10,12,18	345:17 346:11,	232:24 396:23	73:8 74:17,18	239:8,19 240:4,
316:5,6,7,9	19 347:19,22	caveat	77:10,21 78:1,5,	11,13,15,22
317:10 318:2,23	348:5,24 349:4,	28:16	12,14,24 79:2,4,	241:1,10,24
319:13 325:21	22 350:3,6,9,12,	caved	16,21 80:1,5,9,	245:18,20
327:21,23	20 351:1,8	300:20,25	12,18 86:11,14,	246:19,20 250:6
328:7,8 337:14	352:1,5,11,20,	ĺ ,	17,20,25 88:9,	252:16 253:2,5,
359:20 361:15	23 353:5,18,21	Cayce	11 91:5,6,7	11,13,18,23
375:11 389:19	354:9 355:9,12	308:19,21	, - , -	254:9,25
L				

257:23,25 258:2	348:5,24 349:4,	challenges	370:2 429:12	190:11 211:10
261:16 262:8,	22 350:3,6,9,12,	21:10 59:6	charge	229:5,15,20
10,13,15 263:6,	20 351:1,8	106:5 179:13	95:16 193:23	231:6,22 282:5
16 264:1,3,10,	352:1,5,11,20,	288:20 339:3	211:11 220:10,	297:7,9 299:15
21 265:1,3,8,17,	23 353:5,18,21	challenging	11,14 234:25	child
23,25 266:5,23,	354:9 355:9,12	263:9	236:13 358:16	50:19 51:6 52:4,
24 271:5,7	356:15,20,23	chambers	365:13	5 53:18 75:9
272:24,25	357:1 358:8,9	124:18 351:19	charged	84:13,14 100:3
273:23 274:13,	359:1,5,10,16	393:11	127:2 145:7	111:8 127:3,5,7,
17 275:3,8,10,	360:5,14,16	chance	198:19 213:2,15	8,9,10,15
14,17,25	364:2,7,15	149:23 157:25	359:24	147:11 179:24
276:12,16	365:18 368:4,6	264:9 287:2	charges	180:10,12,24
277:13,22	375:3,5 377:8,9,	290:16 335:22	392:5	181:3,11,19
285:19,20,23	21 378:8,16,21	353:25 354:2	Charles	182:4,10 205:16
286:20,21	380:7,22,24	355:10 376:10	30:8 159:4	219:12 221:21,
289:5,7 291:8,	381:11,17	chances	Charlie	24 224:4
24 292:25	386:14 388:24	149:12	356:3,4	237:15,25
293:20 294:3,	391:12,14	change	chastised	238:16 388:10
10,13,17,22	396:12 397:4,5,	14:2 63:22 68:7	232:13	401:19
295:7,18	18 398:12	71:13 120:25	chat	child's
296:18,19	400:18 404:1	147:13 211:21	320:2	237:22
303:2,3,6	406:7,19 407:8,	214:25 216:21		childhood
304:16 306:5,6	10,23 408:13	217:7 334:21	check	297:22
308:4,5 309:20	414:23 415:18,	changed	12:20 30:17	children
310:25 311:7,	24 417:16,17,23	36:7,8 215:15,	44:2 58:10 79:10 109:6	13:20 14:11
10,14,20,24	420:13,14	16 235:17	151:15 163:20	27:20 35:15
312:9 313:16,22	422:4,5,6	273:11 414:20	187:18 241:17	38:21 44:22
323:18,23,24	423:16 424:4,9,	channeling	254:16 266:12	51:13,23 52:4
328:23 329:21,	14,16 425:17	262:5	277:2 296:7	54:7,10 60:6
22 330:2,4,20	426:9 430:18,19	chapel	313:3 333:18	82:5 93:4 99:9
331:1,3,9,13,25	431:4,19,24	290:11	364:20	100:25 101:4,5
332:6,13,22	432:25 433:20	character	checked	102:8,24 113:21
333:8 334:4,8	434:6	19:6 33:8 46:15		122:15 129:13
336:1,14,18,25	chairperson		74:19 217:1	136:16 157:1
337:6,11,18,25	103:22,23	64:11 85:13	cheer	179:5,9,20,22,
338:5,11,15,20	challenge	118:8 143:8 153:21 154:21	291:21	25 180:13,15,22
339:16,20	20:21 21:19	168:17 171:23	Cherokee	182:15 196:1,2,
340:4,7,10,16,	35:21 179:17,21	197:2 244:18	104:2,20	13 198:20
23 341:8,11,24	195:20,21 196:8	256:16 270:1	chide	219:21 220:3
342:13 343:16	221:21 222:4	284:14 302:20	133:6	234:20,21
344:16,18,21	233:1 234:19	305:9 307:24	chief	236:14 248:24
345:17 346:11,	338:15	322:11 335:1	21:17 102:14	251:19 256:8
19 347:19,22		344.11 333.1	152:22 156:23	263:20 267:9
L				

274:10 295:15	432:16	cites	clarification	122:6 123:23
312:17 355:18	chosen	32:22 344:10	231:25	124:5 125:9
367:3 379:19,21	185:9 356:11	citing	clarify	137:25 147:19
380:2 385:19	367:25	346:12	335:15	183:25 184:1,2,
393:24 394:1,6,	Christmas	citizen	class	10,15,19,20
10,13,14,16	194:21 221:14	168:10 199:12	145:1 173:14	185:11 190:9,10
395:3,5,21	410:25	361:6 386:17	304:21 365:4	221:20 222:4
396:2,17 398:20	chronology	citizens	414:13	314:23 319:9
399:4,22 401:3,	119:23	18:24 33:2,3	classic	324:6,23 326:18
8 403:2 404:13	church	46:8 64:8 83:19,	273:18	327:1,16 329:1
406:20 409:9,13	396:9	20 84:21 85:9	classmate	418:8
410:12,13,16,21	Cindy	103:11 104:25	305:1	clerk's
411:5,6,16,24	333:5	105:22 118:2	CLE	132:20 229:11
412:10,17,25	circuit	143:2 154:17,18	18:5	clerked
413:2,8,11,16,	34:25 40:21	196:23 198:12,	clean	88:18 126:8
19 414:10 416:9	42:3 52:15	13,16 199:24	76:14 407:12	clerking
417:8,10 418:15	61:21 80:14,16	225:10 244:13		122:5
419:24 421:10	97:19 101:16	256:10 263:7	cleaned	clerks
422:17,18 423:6	102:21 109:19,	269:19 274:1	231:14	38:1 56:1
424:15 426:22	22 110:24 123:4	283:6,7 284:8	clear	149:19 184:13
children's	124:7 138:16	302:15 309:25	37:12 132:22	clerkship
44:23 45:3	202:13 212:1	310:3 322:4	137:16 185:8	91:12
156:20 182:2	219:17 229:20	363:12 429:8	288:8 342:7	Cleveland
236:9 394:13	258:9 260:3	city	343:5 345:1,2	333:3
409:17 410:3	circuits	80:13,15 109:19	348:12 362:7	client
Childs	35:11 100:16	297:7,8,9	368:11 369:11	61:15 84:20,21
122:6 126:9		308:12,19,22	372:24 379:17	96:20 126:16
choice	circumstance	civil	380:25 385:21, 25 394:3,5	127:14 133:18,
210:10 239:16	240:1 369:18	123:25 161:12	25 394:3,5 400:25 401:12	24 135:14 159:2
383:17 401:9	circumstances	180:20 189:16		204:5,15
choices	35:25 90:6	206:2	407:12 417:9,18	207:12,16,18
238:14	94:11 249:16	civility	423:11	224:2,5 249:4
choose	385:15	305:5	cleared	388:21,22 390:4
122:22 395:2	Citadel	claim	393:1 418:22	client's
399:19	48:3	346:15 363:25	Clemson	183:8 426:3,4
choosing	cite	claimed	120:20 121:2	ĺ
411:11	340:19 346:15	344:4	291:15,20,21,	clients 100:7 130:16
chore	347:2,4,6	claims	22,23 330:1	
32:9	355:17	337:8 346:24	360:25 367:18,	131:1,3 133:12 135:16,25
chose	cited	347:18 353:9	19 378:7	138:21 197:20
84:5 103:16	142:8 338:9	380:9,11,18	clerk	209:22 224:1
370:13 406:10	348:10	300.3,11,10	49:5,7 56:4	242:18 248:12
370.13 100.10			73:11 89:8	272.10 240.12
L				

	<u> </u>	1	1	1
clock	club	collegial	147:21 171:4	190:17,18
37:4 93:18	321:2,5,6,7	408:6	173:8 176:20	191:4,8 192:19,
94:15,18 206:10	clue	collegiate	179:7 191:10	21 194:9 200:11
273:9	159:6 387:20,22	297:21	192:4 193:6	202:21 204:12
clock's	coach	Collins	198:2 208:3	206:22 213:5
94:6	307:12	287:25	218:16 219:13	216:4 219:8
clone	coaching	colloquies	222:14 223:19	220:20 228:3
146:11	181:2	232:8	226:19 228:5,	235:4,7 239:11
close	code	collusion	10,11,14 229:4	243:7,13 244:12
28:16 42:5	20:6 34:6 46:23	340:21 351:24	239:1 262:18	255:22,24 256:9
107:6 139:3	47:15,16 54:14	352:13,16	291:24 302:22	259:23 268:14,
150:7 151:19	65:13 87:3 88:1	colors	310:1,3 318:18	16 269:2,4
162:11 165:25	118:12 119:11	49:1	391:11 416:10,	272:7,12 273:2
247:22 252:17	144:9 156:1		12 431:25	274:17 283:22,
258:20,21 356:5	169:15 201:12	Columbia	commentator	24 284:7,17
359:8	218:24 257:17	174:15 193:12,	69:7	285:22 292:2,25
closed	270:24 379:2	13 297:8,10,15	commentators	300:15,16,19
42:6 107:8	430:11	308:20,23	142:25	301:2 303:4
139:5 162:13		column	commented	304:9 305:24
186:11 252:19	cognizant	398:2	154:25	309:24 312:13
264:12 274:19	60:25 61:1	combat		318:5,6,14
	Cohl	36:10	commenters 148:6	328:22 329:3,5
410:5,6 412:4	254:21,25 255:1	combination		334:9 418:2
closer	256:10 257:20	299:6	comments	427:12,13,23,24
271:13,17	263:10	comfortable	14:3,5 16:4,16,	429:16 433:1
closing	coin	69:17 112:7	17,23 17:4,9,25	commercial
28:15 30:22	195:21 240:4	166:18,23	22:12 23:14,21	278:21 287:8
44:8 58:17	coincidence	167:3,11,12	25:9 32:15,16,	Commission
79:18 106:12	349:16 352:14	244:2 280:4,13	24 34:24 41:10,	13:8 16:14
109:14 149:8,11	coins	Commandments	17 45:18,20	19:12 32:13
151:21 161:4	196:8	396:10	46:6 48:15 55:7	33:13 44:7
164:13 185:17	cold	commend	58:17 59:24	45:16 46:21
188:1 239:1	320:17	37:5 49:10	60:1,8 64:3,16	55:10 56:9
254:23 264:3,6	colleague	55:17 76:24	67:8,13 74:7	58:20 59:22
266:20 354:9	375:6 392:3	157:6 173:11	75:3,5 77:10	64:20 79:17
384:19	397:19,22	commended	83:12,16 105:13	83:11 85:18
closure	404:11	269:5	114:14,15	87:8 88:10
62:11	colleagues		115:1,3 128:15	114:12 117:16
clothing	59:16 232:9	comment	142:19,20,22	118:17 119:20
158:24	396:15 408:10	19:8 28:2 41:8	146:14 149:5	128:4 139:12
cloud		60:12 68:21	153:16,17 154:1	141:5 142:17
134:6	college	74:23 83:21	156:19 165:12,	143:15 144:17
	72:8 291:14	101:7 105:14	13 172:22,25	147:4 153:14
	386:12 432:3	137:4 146:15	173:24 185:17	147.4 133.14

155:8 156:10	257:16 270:23	24:1 32:17 46:3	101:13 255:25	416:7 422:13
164:15 165:10	285:11 293:7	136:19 395:14	256:8 417:1	426:11
168:21 178:5	302:8 310:12	commonality	compassionate	complete
185:15 188:8	323:14 330:8	159:17	45:21 256:19	139:16 175:6
190:15 198:2,4	406:15 430:11	communicate	compel	383:1
200:15,18	433:7	95:22 391:3	339:23 349:10	completed
201:19 218:6	Commissioner	communicated	384:4	342:11 419:11
235:9 240:20	172:24	389:6	compelled	completely
241:22 243:5	commit	communicating	343:20 359:19	32:11 36:7
244:22 245:19	64:1	377:11 391:5	compensation	207:11 228:22
252:10 255:20	commitment	communication	279:9,14,21	362:17
256:23 258:1	189:2 285:9	351:20 352:16	286:6 292:12	complex
266:19,25	302:6 323:13	389:5	competence	81:24 82:3,6
268:12 270:5	committed	communications	25:20 273:17	112:12,15,17,
271:7 274:14	256:19	410:15	competency	18,20 113:7,11,
276:18 283:20	committee	community	334:25	12,13,14,15,19,
284:6 285:6,21	18:25 19:3,7	23:6 81:20	competent	25 121:10
289:6 291:9	33:2,3 46:9,12,	101:11 110:11	48:19 60:4	165:20,23 166:5
293:1 295:12,23 300:13 302:3	16 64:9,15	136:18 157:4	67:23 197:12	190:20
303:4 306:2,12	83:19,20,25	167:11 189:5	competition	complexities
309:21 310:12	84:4,22 85:4,9	193:7 432:10,11	121:21	93:24
312:20 316:4	103:11,23	comp	complain	compliance
318:3 321:4	104:25 105:23	287:5 288:1	41:2	12:18 30:15
323:3,8 329:2	118:2 143:3,10	compact	complainant	43:24 54:6,9
331:5 333:11	149:20 152:22	54:13,15	333:24 382:2	58:8 79:8
334:11,16,19,23	154:17,18,25	compacts	complainants	140:22 151:12
335:2 338:16	168:11,14	54:17	358:13 390:3,18	163:22 187:15
354:4 355:13	193:1,9 196:24	companies	400:22	205:8 241:14
356:12 358:10	197:6,8 198:12,	316:6	complained	254:13 266:10
364:3,20 368:5	13,16 199:12,25	company	231:15 263:4	276:24 296:4
377:13 381:24	225:10 244:13	90:16 99:3	complaint	312:25 333:16
386:19 406:12	256:11,18 263:7 268:24 269:20,	312:16	44:4 141:2	complicated
408:14 412:15	23 274:1 284:9,	comparable	187:19 334:12	179:19
420:23 422:23	16 302:15,22	124:13	335:5,8,11	complication
426:12 427:10	309:25 310:3	compare	336:5,16 348:9	179:20
429:18 433:1,8	322:5,8,12	61:24 316:13	355:1 364:5	complied
Commission's	342:12 429:9,16	compared	378:11 386:10	109:4 205:9
20:5 34:5 47:14	434:10	52:9	416:8 422:7	complies
65:12 87:25	committing	compassion	complaints	45:14
119:10 144:8	203:23	27:9 41:18	128:7,14 151:16	compliment
155:25 169:14	common	82:12 100:1	254:18 266:13	34:19 35:1
201:11 245:11		02.12 100.1	379:3 382:5	61:24 68:22

	1	1	T.	i
246:3 249:23	84:4 103:16	condition	confrontation	313:25 327:21
complimented	222:15 326:25	101:21 281:23	385:23	328:8 410:7
206:17	367:5 375:16	conditions	confronted	considerable
compliments	379:6 393:23	281:16	21:1	348:20
26:14 243:15	409:7 411:4	conduct	confused	considerably
comply	413:23 416:8	87:4 118:13	338:3 339:3	328:25
349:20 353:11	concerns	134:14 319:23	391:17,21 392:2	considerate
384:14 392:1,2	16:23 20:9	385:15	confuses	256:3
394:15,19	32:25 34:9	conducted	369:24	consideration
398:22 399:25	47:18 48:24	104:4	confusing	45:13 166:24
413:20	60:8,9 65:18	Cone	372:4	296:15 427:15
complying	83:17 84:3 88:5	225:3	confusion	considered
349:8 395:23,24	115:1 119:14	conference	207:4 222:23	42:10 68:22
404:15	133:13 144:12	72:13 105:11	362:2	114:18 120:9
composition	154:1,3 156:5	413:6,7	congratulate	124:13 162:16
123:5	169:18 191:5	confidence	145:24 261:3	186:10 243:14
computer	201:15 221:19	244:3 267:15	congratulations	288:23
105:9	245:14 257:21	363:6	41:4 150:4	considers
CON	269:2 271:2	confident	160:25 262:3	32:21
316:8	285:16 300:20	126:22 292:14	conjunction	consist
concept	302:24 318:15	confidential	300:4	193:10
196:8,9 228:6,	319:19 323:19	86:13 219:3	connect	consistency
14,24 411:14	360:8,23	confirm	99:21 133:18	170:13 171:2
concern	427:23,24 428:9,21 430:15	167:23 168:1	connected	172:4,17,19
16:24 17:1	, ,	confirmation	353:22 398:10	consistent
34:20 46:7 48:9,	concert	109:4 140:25	connection	25:14 170:14
23 62:20,23	300:11	151:12 266:9	133:21,24	171:5,8,16,20,
103:11 115:7,23	concise	conflicts	connections	24 223:3 267:21
116:3,5 165:21	167:8 338:4,6	12:21 30:17	116:4	268:2 269:14
166:14 172:23	conclude	44:3 58:11	connotations	consoling
192:25 197:14	77:11 186:6	79:11 109:7	242:12	373:9
213:11 256:9	236:23 239:19 264:10 293:4	141:1 151:15	conscientious	constantly
300:23 318:16	311:1 330:5	163:20 187:19	256:18	99:14
319:17 327:7		241:18 254:17	conscious	Constitution
402:9 403:20	concluded 200:3 293:21	266:13 277:2	70:7 207:20	283:1 289:24
408:5 410:23	392:24 393:12	296:7 313:4		constitutional
411:7 415:5		333:19	consensus	19:1 33:5 46:10
417:10 428:2,9,	concluder	conforms	197:10	64:14 85:11
11,21,24	237:3	45:14	consent	118:4 143:4
concerned	conclusion	confront	39:20 40:17	154:23 168:12
22:20 37:2	310:7 402:19 433:5	137:14	80:21 110:1 278:7 296:22	197:4 244:14
38:17 48:17	455.5		210.1 290.22	256:12 269:21
	•	•	•	•

		1	1	1
278:8 284:10	168:25 200:21	continue	contrary	core
296:23 302:17	245:1 257:2	12:8 13:13	370:17 405:20,	363:21
314:1 322:6	270:9 362:14	14:12 18:22	21	corporate
429:14	429:22	31:10 41:10	contravenes	90:17
constraints	contained	44:15 45:2,5	317:18	corporately
100:19 336:9	16:17 32:16	49:22 59:3,6	contributed	206:9
consult	45:19 54:14	62:5,6 75:21	320:19	Corps
185:5,7 411:15	59:25 80:19	115:5 141:17,	contributor	158:4,13 161:24
consultant	109:24 114:15	19,25 147:10	162:9	correct
411:15,20,22	142:21 165:13	149:7 152:12	control	14:21,22 15:5,6
412:2	190:17 255:23	157:12 164:23,	124:3 125:1	28:24 41:20,21
consulted	278:5 283:23	25 165:1 185:20	129:3 154:14,15	42:12,13 48:1
414:8	296:20 313:23	188:24 189:1	190:21 428:16	56:22 74:20
consume	318:6 427:13	199:23 232:23	conversation	78:25 88:16
126:9	contemporary	233:1 239:11,14	120:21,23 133:5	90:20,22,23
Consumer	24:7	242:4 251:9	212:19 226:16	92:21 100:8
314:23 319:10	contempt	252:8 263:19	351:13 390:24	108:22,23
contact	224:12,16,21	264:8 267:5	406:20 415:9	122:7,10 126:17
19:24 33:24	386:8 395:15,19	274:8 281:4	conversations	146:8 161:21
47:8 65:6 87:20	contested	282:14 301:13	25:11 116:9	162:5,19 167:23
119:4 144:2	35:24 38:10	364:1 366:8	261:7 401:15	174:10 183:17,
155:20 169:8	39:25 81:24	376:17 390:20	Conversely	18 186:15
201:4 243:3	98:1 102:2	401:8 407:20	99:23	188:24 225:18
257:10 270:17	112:12 115:18	411:11 426:18,	convey	258:14 264:19
284:24 301:20	166:7,8 177:8	21,23	134:3 135:22	276:15 305:3
322:21 356:9	212:20 238:2	continued	183:14 236:15,	308:9 335:19
430:5	260:17 281:18	134:18 135:8	20	336:4,20 340:15
contacted	315:22 409:22	230:1,17 263:18	conveying	350:2 395:15
19:12 33:12	context	266:15 340:17	77:4 186:1	396:20 399:3
46:20 64:19	17:4 135:3,4	352:8,16 399:1 433:23	236:12	402:20 425:22
87:8 103:21	138:8 156:15		convinced	corrected
118:17 143:15	226:12 232:16	continues	189:17 421:13	52:2
155:7 168:21	263:22 402:18	48:20 155:2	convincing	corrections
200:17 244:22	428:3	394:4 402:15	385:21,25	294:19
256:23 270:5	continually	continuing	· ·	correctly
285:5 302:2	23:23	25:3 28:6,7	cooperation 282:17 300:1	82:19 94:20
323:2 429:18	continuance	59:11 273:21	316:24	117:7 365:24
contacting	62:3,16 340:20	396:6 408:21		416:1
19:16 33:16	352:13	411:5	copies	correlated
46:24 64:23	continuances	continuous	378:11 412:13	55:16
87:12 118:21	325:19,20	362:20	copious	cost
143:19 155:11		contract	385:5	182:11 228:9
		303:11,14		

297:19	countries	31:10,11,18	152:11,12,20,21	284:2 286:2,7,
costs	110:13,14,18	34:21 41:3	153:10,12 155:4	13 291:6 297:12
228:24,25	316:15	44:15,16 45:1,8,	157:14 159:9,	298:6 299:2,5,
347:13	country	22 46:1 48:19	19,20,21,22,23,	10,12,20,22
Council	75:25 110:20	49:5,7 50:5,25	24 160:8,16	300:22 301:1,12
211:19	150:3 179:22	56:2 59:3,4,16	161:11 164:23,	308:22 309:17
counsel	362:24	60:5 61:5,22	24 166:3,10	314:8,11,13
154:6 174:22	county	63:4,7,12 75:20	167:18,19,24	315:3,6,11,14,
250:14 266:18	14:23 21:7,21	76:9,12,18 81:1,	168:1 170:6,7	15,17,19,24
277:17 296:13	42:3 61:5 80:15	4,7,10,12,13,17,	171:7,23 172:6,	316:2,5,14
313:9 334:9	83:3 84:24	21,24 82:1	9 173:7 175:11,	317:5,7,9,11,12
350:7 378:13	89:22 90:23	83:15,16 84:1,9,	19,21 178:4,16	318:1,2,21,22
380:16 390:3,	91:2,3 104:3,20	13,20 87:5	179:2,14 180:20	319:4,7,12,15
14,15 426:2,6,7,	109:21 110:24	88:19,25 89:8	181:23 183:25	334:19 335:17,
14	117:15 130:7	90:2 91:20	184:23 185:12	19 344:22 349:8
counseling	138:15 152:19	93:17 94:14,15	187:12 188:22	353:10 354:23
177:12 367:15	170:21 173:17	95:3,14,25	189:9,24 190:9,	358:1 359:20
401:7 411:5	190:7,24 197:17	96:10,23 97:19,	10,12 191:7	366:1 369:2
412:11 413:18	202:17 205:10,	20,25 98:24	192:4,21 193:19	370:3 379:12,
423:1	19 211:10 212:8	99:11 100:5,8,9,	196:13 197:12,	15,22 380:21
counselor	219:9 255:11,13	11,12 101:3,10,	13,17 198:18	382:18 383:5,
379:25 380:2	258:7,9,10,11	11,18 102:20,22	202:14,19 203:9	16,19,22 384:3,
413:4 414:8	259:1,11,20	104:16,18	204:17,24	11,12,14,20,24
counselors	271:12 288:6	106:25 110:5,10	206:12 207:8	385:3,5 386:4,8,
380:3 412:13	388:6	111:6,10,15,18	210:4,9 211:14	11 387:4,5
count	couple	112:12,14,15	212:1 213:16	388:5,15,17
75:15 292:15	55:1 96:12	114:19,24,25	220:5 221:5,12,	391:9,23
378:19 414:4	135:10 195:12	115:4,6 116:23,	13,14,20 222:6	393:19,22,24
counter	200:14 208:9	25 117:11	228:19 229:18,	394:2,3,4,5
261:17	227:21 243:13	118:14 121:6,17	20 231:8 238:5	395:12,21 396:5
	244:12,20	124:8 125:19,23	242:3,24 243:12	401:9,10,12,14
counterparts 223:11	276:17 296:16	126:11 127:16	244:3 246:7	403:4,15 405:7,
	307:4 309:4	128:7,23,25	249:12 255:4,11	15 406:3
counters 259:2	324:1 351:10	129:4 130:5,17, 23,25 131:5	256:1,6 258:10 260:1,9 263:13,	409:11,16,18,23 410:10 411:1,16
	356:21,24 357:3	133:3 134:19,22	21 267:4,5	410:10 411:1,16
counties	368:11 398:13	135:16 136:6	268:4,6 273:13	5 416:18,19
17:11 31:15	courage	137:6,10,15	276:13 278:12	417:3,17 418:1,
84:2,11 198:25 205:17 221:10	421:2	137:0,10,13	270.13 278.12	8,9,10,15,17
255:9,14	court	18,21,22 142:3,	280:8,10,12,16,	421:9,12,14,25
<u>'</u>	13:12,14,16	12 145:8	17 281:6,9,10,	423:14 424:22,
counting	14:17,25 15:1,9,	146:12,18	24 282:3,6,13	25 425:11,24
259:4	19 16:21 18:5	147:19 149:17	283:2,3,11	426:3,6,18
	20:20 21:3 23:1	111.17 117.11	203.2,3,11	120.5,0,10

427:18,22	208:5 215:13	235:8 240:7	criteria	cross
428:7,12	219:11 224:9	crazy	12:15 19:1,4	275:12,16,17,22
court's	227:11 237:7	350:5 370:6	30:12 33:4,7	276:2,5,8,11,15
100:5 166:1	243:19,24 263:1	cream	43:21 46:10,13	277:11,14,19
385:2,21	269:12 343:6	67:15	58:5 64:10,13	278:1,7,11
courteous	361:21 362:17	create	79:6 85:10	283:20 284:9,18
16:18 22:18	364:24 371:3	105:16 228:9	103:13 109:2	285:22,23,24
63:23 142:22	373:4 383:5	created	118:3,6 140:20	286:24 289:8
165:17 206:20	387:4,7,10,20,	110:20 174:16	143:4,7 151:10	291:10 292:10,
300:17 304:12	24 388:1,18	260:10 348:1	154:19,23	24 293:19 294:1
courteously	392:20 393:1	creates	163:18 168:12,	317:15 328:3
18:14	405:14 413:11	197:19 200:6,9	15 187:10,13	345:8 385:9
courtesy	418:23 419:10,	creating	196:25 197:3	cross-examine
255:17	15 420:3,7	206:17 213:2	241:12 254:11	408:3
courthouse	427:20 428:17	206:17 213:2	256:12,15 266:7	crossed
351:16 361:14	430:24		269:21,24	61:13 225:6
courthouses	courtrooms	creative	276:21 284:10,	Crouch
	103:25	62:18	13 293:7 296:1	21:8 22:4
142:15 149:18	courts	creatures	302:16,19	cry
255:13	26:22 97:19	230:8	310:12 312:23	36:11
courtroom	101:16,17 103:1	credibility	322:6,9 330:8	crying
15:20 22:24	160:1,8 299:14	160:4 181:3	333:13 341:18	362:3 374:6,14,
26:20 27:18	308:12,21	203:8 363:3	354:6,15 408:20	15 413:21
36:11 60:10	316:12 317:15,	credible	429:10,14 433:8	
61:10,13,25	19,21 326:5	386:7	critical	cues
62:2,9,11 63:3,	368:17 385:18	credit	363:7	97:18
8,15 77:5 95:16	coverage	117:4,8,14	criticism	cultivated
96:15 98:4	85:1,2	161:22 309:2	68:23 182:18	196:1
99:17 124:3	covered	342:14	216:3 217:6	cultural
125:2 126:10	44:9 335:1	creds	criticisms	135:20 136:6
128:22 129:12	crackle	203:8	106:5 199:11	137:5
130:3 132:10	202:23	creo	criticize	Cum
133:25 148:6,15		134:23	225:12	432:3,4
152:15 153:8	craft	crime		curious
154:14 158:3,8	16:3 194:7	220:13	criticized	131:21 179:5
159:3 160:10,13	crappy		198:24 229:13	286:25 306:20,
166:19 167:3,7,	70:11	criminal	critics	22
13 180:5,11	Crater	117:1,2 123:25	208:12	current
184:12,14,16	277:25 278:1,4	125:15,23,24	critique	314:17
190:5,8,21	284:8 285:15	126:11 134:14,	136:8	curriculum
192:8 193:25	Crawford	20 135:3 166:8	critiques	121:8
195:17 197:15	188:7,20 201:14	180:21 218:24	115:10	curve
199:3 207:3	215:24 226:13	362:5 365:13		13:24 83:9
				13.24 03.9

193:5	daddy	daughter's	363:22 364:13	412:24 417:8
custodial	145:21 431:16	341:1 385:24	368:13 369:17,	dealt
379:20	damaging	386:2	24 370:10 374:8	51:13 85:21
custody	423:2	daughters	382:23 390:14	202:1 316:8
37:23,25 38:3,	danced	337:13 343:19	392:10 404:8	359:20 384:19
10 82:5,6 102:2	291:20	386:2 418:11	413:9 423:5,15	410:21
111:7 112:18	Danford	David	425:7 426:4	dear
113:12,20	333:7	150:25 151:25	day's	416:16
114:1,2,5,7,10	danger	152:3 265:6,13,	145:19	dearly
121:11 126:16,	98:18	16	day-by-day	138:20
25 127:5,7,8,11,	dangerous	Davidson	49:20	deathly
12,17 128:1	112:6	72:8 73:3	days	49:6
166:7 177:9	Daniel	Davis	67:24 69:23	debates
366:14 369:5	295:16	334:7	96:12 97:8	390:5
379:18 409:12,	data	day	117:9 142:11	Deborah
23 413:10	11:17,20 29:25	11:6 13:18 18:8	149:2 161:14	333:6
customary	43:11 57:16	21:25 22:5,7	191:2 201:21	debt
199:2	78:20 108:15	28:1 44:18,20	231:10 237:13	112:25 113:1
customization	141:9 151:24	45:22 59:7	255:11 307:7	decade
71:20	164:6 184:22	70:18 76:14,15,	308:24 346:4,9	299:21
customize	187:4 241:5	23 103:25	351:10 363:16	decades
71:13	254:4 265:12	104:25 105:4	376:5 379:11,25	134:16
cut	276:4,7 294:18	124:22 125:12	382:23 411:3	deceased
207:8 261:13	295:2 311:16	127:10 128:5,23	416:17	86:5
386:18 397:18	312:3 332:8,17	145:8,15 149:1	days'	
409:3 417:20	date	159:9 177:23	211:1	December
cycle	19:21 33:21	183:2 194:25	de	337:24 361:12
262:21	47:5 65:3 87:17	195:1 196:7	159:25	366:11 368:14
	119:1 143:24	199:1 209:23	deadline	382:16,25
	155:17 169:5	210:15,17	230:9,10	383:23 410:25 424:22 425:13,
	201:1 210:12	215:14 217:14	deadlines	14,23
dad	231:10 257:7	221:21 223:10,	230:8	decent
49:19 61:3	270:14 284:21	12 229:8,18,22,	deal	94:4
136:25 148:20	301:17 322:18	24 231:5 233:2	27:18 93:16	
182:12 247:22	340:9 369:9	234:13 235:23,	97:17 191:5	decently
258:16 321:14	425:14 430:2	24 236:14	262:24 316:6	173:10
362:12 372:13	dated	252:13 255:7	347:7 395:11	decide
373:16 374:10,	339:24	259:24 261:20	408:6 430:22	146:19
16 431:16	dates	262:15,24	dealing	decided
dad's	425:20,23	263:15 272:17	113:23 134:20	72:23 90:17
321:19 366:18	daughter	274:6 280:24	177:9 281:15	120:20 177:19
396:5	321:15 333:4	317:8,9 321:21, 22 362:2,10	405:19 406:4	369:1 406:20 414:9
		22 302:2,10		414.7

deciding	Dee	delayed	165:15 224:23	depth
66:23 193:21	33:3 46:8 56:25	266:1	385:16	369:1
259:12 289:1	244:13 253:6	delaying	demonstrates	deputies
403:14	429:8	54:11 70:25	255:24 385:4	190:4 221:12
decision	deem	delays	denial	226:6
32:12 39:4 70:7	56:20	53:25	117:22,24	deputy
160:2 165:24	deemed	deleted	denied	41:19,25 190:6,
177:18 181:20	28:21 139:12	346:5,10	117:22 168:4,5	10 192:7,12
207:16 230:15	239:24	deliberate	281:19,22 346:8	226:1,5
236:10 237:4	deep	292:16	353:14 386:13	Derek
259:14 261:6	297:25	deliberations	denigrate	351:15
303:21 338:18	deeply	293:13 310:18	416:6	derived
358:20 394:5	362:9	330:13 433:13	denigrated	205:4
395:13 401:20	defeat	delighted	385:19	describe
403:1,3,4	291:4	289:2	dent	81:14,23 90:4
decisions	defend	delinquency	20:25	112:11 116:24
22:18 27:21	218:12 221:17	219:14	deny	117:16 203:21
78:7 86:22	defendant	deliver	379:1 382:14	321:4
142:10 153:19	85:24 117:2	226:1	407:16	deserve
171:7,20 175:23	134:22 218:18		denying	35:18 292:5
176:1,3,4	defendants	delivered	213:24	362:4
181:16 206:4	86:8 305:13	350:17,25 351:3	Deon	deserved
260:21 272:16	defendant's	delivering	289:12	117:9 195:15
318:8 327:17	344:7 345:6	175:23		deserves
331:18 338:23		demand	Department 14:16 198:8	20:24 21:13
378:9 394:7,14	defending 134:13	197:18 395:4	280:18 298:12,	259:11 342:14
397:12,13,15	defense	demands	16 303:12,18	deserving
413:6	89:11,20 117:1	26:25	314:15,23	94:14 293:12
declaration	134:17 429:5	demean	315:20 319:7,9	301:10 310:17
132:22 340:1	defer	423:5	393:8	330:12 370:7
349:2,12	160:9 166:1	demeanor	dependent	433:12
decorum		36:9 60:2 64:4	71:11,16	designated
15:19 26:18,20	defined 203:20 252:3	93:10 106:17	depending	379:19
416:3		143:11 157:24	122:20 135:25	desire
dedicated	degree	243:19 244:10	224:5	81:16 325:11
16:19	60:16 74:19	284:5 292:10 306:18	depends	336:15 426:23
dedication	75:18 121:3,5, 15 147:12		40:20	desk
104:16 360:22	233:18 251:5	democracy	depositions	39:11 229:11
deductions	297:19 309:23	282:22 363:14	279:16	detail
113:6		demonstrate		74:24 82:10
deductive	delay 123:2	127:16	deprived 423:18	192:2 327:10
320:18	123.2	demonstrated	423.10	422:24
	I	1	1	I

detailed	288:11 327:15	ding	discipline	199:4
348:12	415:16	103:12	158:7 220:3	disparaging
details	differences	direct	223:2	396:2
189:17 219:3	136:6	139:9 167:7	disciplined	disparity
detained	differently	directed	231:5,12	347:7
220:10	27:25 40:14	207:22	disclosure	dispensation
detention	74:8 307:18	direction	240:9	58:1
193:11,22	difficult	381:20 389:4	discouraged	dispense
determination	17:5 18:7 35:14	408:9	273:13	13:2
385:18 400:13	83:24 90:6	directly	discourteous	display
determinations	93:23 101:21	23:13 91:24	206:20	227:8
160:4	102:4 115:10	180:11 232:22	discovered	displayed
determine	133:1 161:14	256:7 267:11	279:5	428:18
180:1,5 334:17	246:9 258:24	285:9 302:6	discovery	displaying
354:19 416:11	260:21 272:16	314:11 315:16	349:11	421:8
determined	291:16,17 368:8	323:13 361:22,	discretion	displays
354:21	384:22 422:16,	24 363:19	168:2 234:11	374:23
deterrent	19	directness	250:12	disposed
337:14	difficulties	232:11	discuss	193:14 231:9
develop	131:9 288:17	director	81:25 112:13	disposition
152:16	difficulty	90:24 91:2,3	discussed	116:24 117:17
developed	39:7 186:2	156:20	197:25 372:25	193:23 320:15
95:2 190:7	262:24	disability	386:1	dispositional
283:5	dig	298:21 304:1	discussing	193:14 194:3
developing	101:25	disabuse	404:6	dispute
385:13	digest	207:1	discussion	286:14 287:15,
development	93:23	disadvantage	197:7 341:15	200.14 207.13,
236:9	dignity	207:7	406:10	disputes
developmental	20:23 21:12	disagree	dismiss	36:22 39:14
121:9	268:8 363:2	115:11 341:2	218:25 305:15	141:20 279:25
dial	432:22	353:1 404:3	dismissal	282:1
63:22 67:18	diligence	405:25 406:1	62:3	disqualification
dialogue	82:11 155:3	disagreements	dismissed	327:23
316:13,22	348:17	406:2	14:18 15:1	disrespect
406:15	diligent	disallowing	117:11,14	85:4 410:22
didn't	60:3 153:24	422:8	167:20 193:18	412:21 422:25
130:12 159:7	165:8	discharge	205:11,12	431:12
difference	diluted	20:24	218:22 220:8	disrespectful
61:22 135:1,20	234:16	discharged	dismissing	370:6 401:17
165:1 178:8,22	diminish	85:25	220:5	421:22
248:25 267:7	355:24	disciplinary	disorganized	dissatisfied
270.23 201.1		219:16 365:1	3	MISSAUSIICU

263:1	341:4,7	documentation	downhill	drove
disservice	divorced	336:3	89:24	237:11
422:8	369:4	documents	downright	drowning
dissolution	divorces	11:9 43:6 78:15	370:6	229:7
85:20	111:6	241:1 265:8	dozens	DSS
distinction	DJJ	275:18 294:14	197:8	40:1 82:5 83:4
155:1 157:13	111:8 115:20	311:15 314:19	draconian	84:24 89:21
distinctive	125:21 193:25	332:7,11 335:2	225:20	90:22,24,25
269:7	337:14 343:20	336:5 350:23	draft	91:3 104:2
distinguished	359:20 387:10	422:14	175:22 177:3	111:9,21,25
262:10	395:18 398:16	dog	182:21 183:13	112:3 115:21
distract	418:10,14,15,	272:8	185:7 190:23	161:15 166:9
364:19	20,22	dollars	drafted	198:14,22,23
distress	DJJ's	112:23	72:18,22	199:1,13,21
100:2	387:8	domestic	drawn	205:10 216:20
distributed	DMV	115:17 158:1	81:13 304:9	217:7 221:20
307:17	327:22	339:4	dream	224:7 231:8
	docket	don't	110:18 111:2	405:5
distributing	40:3 84:24 85:3	130:17,20	297:22	due
299:16	104:2 111:25	373:18 377:25	dream,is	48:17 225:17
distribution	174:14 175:1	door	39:24	312:14 341:3
166:6	176:9 177:22,23	124:24 211:5		353:2 363:14
district	197:17,25 199:8	288:7 361:18	dressing 204:4	408:9
14:17,24 15:1	207:6 211:9	doorstep		DUI
89:8 101:16	234:2,25 282:10	146:3	Drew	308:14,17,23
219:18 245:23	308:15 383:2,3	Dorita	78:13	309:10
disturbing	393:9,15,17	333:4	drill	dulled
218:11 222:11	394:22 398:16	Dorn	162:12 239:21	431:10
ditto	419:10	41:16	drilled	duly
27:5 173:23	docket's	dots	248:3	11:7 29:16
dive	153:8 211:12	398:10	drills	42:23 57:5
111:5	docketing	dotted	307:20	78:10 108:3
diverse	97:24 229:17	61:13 225:5	drive	140:10 150:25
123:13	dockets		251:9	163:10 188:15
diversionary	100:16 309:13	double	driven	240:24 253:21
375:13	doctoring	361:1	361:13	265:6 275:12
divided	183:20	doubt	driving	294:8 311:12
112:21,22	doctrine	69:10 75:2	214:16	331:23 334:2
division	426:2	145:4,14 202:13	drop	359:3
82:7 303:23	document	203:16 235:6	431:15	dumbing
divorce	95:19 195:8	262:6 328:19	dropped	216:14
136:11 263:22	327:24 342:6	398:18 402:9	224:10	dummy
	341.44 344.0	417:2		

307:19	earth	educational	288:7 313:5	364:6,13,25
duration	110:20	388:13	333:21	365:3,9,12,14,
175:13	ease	effect	element	25 366:5,11,17
duties	55:18 306:18	328:13	69:22	367:24 368:2,
328:18	easier	effective	elevate	15,20,22 369:3,
	53:7 74:4	81:3 110:7	225:16	13,15,23
duty	113:11 177:4	134:10 152:14	eliminate	370:14,19,22
15:16 161:23 162:1 171:6	248:4 358:21	158:16 278:14		371:1,9,14,16,
		297:5 314:7	129:16	21,23 372:3,11,
dwell	easiest 269:12		else's	15,19,22,24
389:22		effectively 27:6 71:23	234:18	373:4,6,13,15,
	easily	96:13 206:18	email	18,21 374:1,11,
${f E}$	154:15 189:17		246:25	13,18,21,24
	403:19	210:24 213:13 236:13 324:23	emailed	375:2 376:1,25
Eagle	Easley		350:18	377:19
55:2	271:11	327:1	embarking	Emma
Earl	easy	efficient	92:18 108:25	30:20
265:6,13,16	22:1 76:6 161:6	152:17 176:13	embarrass	
earlier	180:13	197:18 329:13	204:14 242:18	Emory 262:6 367:18
41:15 137:21	eat	337:9	embarrassed	
163:1 183:23	272:8 363:15	efficiently	68:18	emotion
225:4 228:2	EBITDA	256:6	embarrassing	36:15 354:16
286:17 306:12	113:4	effort	63:19 195:13	emotional
312:13 326:10	echoed	12:14 38:19	225:19	36:3,12 362:20
329:6 352:14	147:23	60:19 67:17	embellished	428:12
364:8 400:22	economic	140:18 151:7	403:16	emotionally
early	12:21 30:17	177:14 348:19,		359:25
30:10 40:6	44:2 58:11	21 356:17	emboldened 362:19	emotions
43:18 55:10	79:11 109:7	efforts		113:17 213:15
61:4 101:24	141:1 151:15	58:3 98:2 378:1	emboldens	421:5,7
137:25 140:5	163:20 187:18	eighth	356:7	empathy
150:21 162:22,	229:2 241:17	340:16 352:6	embrace	430:22
25 164:2 186:18	254:16 266:12	elaborate	14:4 16:12	emphasized
187:21 247:25	277:2 296:7	194:14	embraced	268:24
253:19	313:3 333:19	elected	131:14	employ
earned	Edge	59:18 113:16	emergencies	236:20
22:15 23:5 73:2	333:4	162:5 178:10	40:2	employed
145:12 248:12		258:4 260:1,11	emergency	190:3
292:7,18 329:18	edification 304:7	261:19	234:15,17	employment
earning		election	Emily	279:3 287:22
123:20	educate	43:21 107:4	335:14 358:13,	314:16,17
ears	136:21 290:5	259:22 277:5	24 359:3,7,8,13	315:20 319:7
77:6	education	287:15,18,20	360:3,12,15,19	313.20 317.7
, ,	23:18	207.13,10,20		
		1		

empowered 394:6 410:22 56:13 251:5 267:14 116:8 133:4 195:5 424:10 116:8 133:4 195:5 424:10 195:5 424:10 195:5 424:10 117:13 429:1 172:6 370:11 1		1	24 226 2 242 10		205.20
Attrition Attr	_			_	
empowering 61:20 70:20 311:4 321:6,11 entitled estimation 395:21 72:9 126:23 434:4 117:13 429:1 estimation emulate 250:22 287:23 31:14,16 90:1 288:14 14:17,24 encapsulated 95:19 ending 126:4 221:11 entrance 288:14 14:17,24 encompasses 21:11 410:23 262:21 304:22, envision 284:5 292:10 298:17 energized 93:3 235:10,11, envision 284:5 292:10 encounter 129:24 enjoyng enjoys 137:7 ethical 356:1 80:17 energy 90:5 332:11 432:4 137:1 5256:2 284:5 292:10 encourage 366:24 enforced enlightening 408:12,17 406:24 388:7 equipped 284:13 302:19 encouraging 106:4 402:5 25 60:20 ensuring 23:1 85:23 86:8 23:1 85:23 86:8 end 49:21 11:11 29:22 24:11 42:12 24:12 42:14 21:6 24:29 43:25 end<					
395:21					· ·
emulate 250:22 287:23 enjoyed centrance the centrance et al 14:17,24 272:5 380:12 405:13 31:14,16 90:1 288:14 14:17,24 ethic 95:19 ending 126:4 221:11 environment 173:15 256:2 envision 284:5 292:10 ethic 298:17 energetic 23 137:7 ethical ethical 15:20 19:4 33:7 ethical 298:18 energized 93:3 235:10,11, enjoying 93:3 235:10,11, enjoying 137:7 ethical ethical 15:20 19:4 33:7 ethical ethical ethical 22:19 268:16 46:14 46:10 ethical ethical 22:19 268:16 46:14 46:10 ethical ethical ethical 22:19 268:16 46:14 46:10 ethical 22:19 268:16 46:14 46:10 ethical 22:19 268:16 46:14 46:10 ethical 22:19 268:16 ethical 22:19 268:16 46:14 6:10 ethical 22:19 268:16 ethical 22:19 268:16 ethical 40:43 22:19 268:16 ethical 22:19 268:16<					
272:5 380:12 405:13 31:14,16 90:1 288:14 14:17,24					172:6 370:11
encapsulated 434:14 92:17 125:10 environment ethic 95:19 21:11 410:23 262:21 304:22, envision 284:5 292:10 298:17 29:24 enjoying 137:7 ethical 376:6 energized 93:3 235:10,11, 21:11 432:4 enjoying epitome 22:19 268:16 46:14 64:10 encourage 35:1 180:17 energy enjoys 76:1 82:18 15:419 168:15 292:20 343:3,14 130:7 156:25 321:11 432:4 equal 118:6 143:7 408:12,17 enforced enlightening 404:3 256:15 269:24 386:2 157:4 219:19 ensure 316:9 305:9 318:13 encouraged 157:4 219:19 60:20 equitable 23:1 85:23 86:8 end 49:21 11:11 29:22 equitable 23:1 85:23 86:8 23:1 85:23 86:8 12:18 26:18 135: 18:8 30:22 25 16:22 362:25 92:21 94:11 21:6 27:9 28:20 135: 18:8 30:22 engaged 57:8 108:10 21:6 229:32					et al
95:19 encompasses 298:17 encounter 376:6 energized 90:5 15 encourage 35:1 180:17 29:20 343:3,14 366:7 367:16 408:12,17 366:24 encouraged 386:2 enforced 386:2 enforcement 157:4 219:19 encouraging 106:4 402:5 410:13 412:8 engage end 13:5 18:8 30:22 36:25 48:13 58:16 59:20 70:9 76:14 89:7 96:8 113:16 127:10 137:23 366:13 47:2 96:8 113:16 127:10 137:23 164:13 175:2,6 183:2 200:15 204:23 210:16 217:14 220:24 227:21 214:157:8 25:17 59:6 338:11 390:17 405:12 405:12 405:12 410:13 307:17 405:12 410:13 307:15 25 22:19 268:16 envision 137:15 256:2 envision 137:7 envision 137:7 envision 137:7 entical 137:10 22:19 268:16 equal 118:6 143:7 76:1 82:18 154:19 168:15 equally 404:3 225:15 269:24 404:3 22:19 268:16 22:19 268:16 equal 118:6 143:7 76:1 82:18 154:19 168:15 equally 404:3 22:19 244:13 25:15 25:25 26:25 28:13 30:29 305:9 318:13 305:9 318:13 305:9 318:13 305:9 318:13 305:9 318:13 305:9 318:13 22:19 268:16 equal 118:6 143:7 76:1 82:18 154:19 168:15 equally 404:3 22:19 268:16 equally 404:3 22:19 268:16 22:19 268:16 22:19 268:16 22:19 268:16 22:19 268:16 equally 404:3 22:19 26:15 269:24 404:3 22:19 268:16 equally 404:3 22:18 26:18 29:19 28:13 300:19 305:9 318:13 305:9 318:	272:5		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	288:14	14:17,24
encompasses 21:11 410:23 262:21 304:22, envision 284:5 292:10 encounter 129:24 enjoying epitome 15:20 19:4 33:7 376:6 energized 90:5 15 epitome 15:20 19:4 33:7 ass:1 180:17 energy enjoys 76:1 82:18 154:19 168:15 292:20 343:3,14 366:7 367:16 enforced 388:7 equally 197:1 244:17 encouraged enforcement 386:2 enforcement 388:7 equipped 284:13 302:19 encouraging 221:21 401:23, ensure 316:9 305:9 318:13 106:4 402:5 25 ensuring 23:1 85:23 86:8 equitable ethics end 49:21 11:11 29:22 entered 21:18 26:18 27:9 28:20 36:25 48:13 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 equitable 21:18 26:18 end 49:21 121:12 22 entered 25:25 265:9 42:19 49:25 56:19 58:8 96:8 113:16 129:20,23 entering 33:214 36:42 2	encapsulated			environment	ethic
298:17	95:19			91:16	173:15 256:2
29:10	encompasses	21:11 410:23		envision	284:5 292:10
376:6 encourage 90:5 15 encourage 35:1 180:17 29:220 343:3,14 366:7 367:16 enforced 408:12,17 366:24 enforcement 386:2 157:4 219:19 encouraged enforcement 157:4 219:19 encouraging 221:21 401:23, 25:10.13 412:8 engage endouraged endouraged endouraged 25:25 16:22 362:25 410:13 412:8 engage endouraged endouraged endouraged endouraged 25:25 25:25 26:29 36:25 410:13 412:8 endouraged endouraged endouraged endouraged 25:21 21 401:23, 25:20 29:29 429:10 endouraged endouraged endouraged 49:21 11:11 29:22 endouraged endouraged endouraged endouraged endouraged endouraged 49:21 11:11 29:22 endouraged endouraged endouraged 49:21 11:11 29:22 endouraged 25:3:25 265:9 95:25 96:10 21:6 42:9 43:25 56:19 58:8 79:25 96:10 107:10 109:5 13:25 162:15 163:23 178:14 164:13 175:2,6 England 316:12,13,15 English 74:22 75:1 164:13 175:2,6 13:24,23,24 237:6 250:18 238:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 308:21 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 405:12 42:14 157:8 280:2 363:22 entire 213:23 327:25 3336:16 349:1 433:10 evolution 240:13 25:16 46:14 64:10 118:6 143:7 76:18 82:18 154:19 168:15 168:15 169:12 266:12 226:11 236:24 entire 21:6 equilably 22:6:15 269:24 entire 21:6 equilably 22:6 equilably 22:6 equilably 22:6 equilably 23:18 5:23 86:8 equilably 24:19 6:1,11, 21:18 26:18 equilably 21:6 equilably 23:	298:17	energetic		137:7	ethical
376:6 encourage 90:5 15 equal 46:14 64:10 35:1 180:17 292:20 343:3,14 130:7 156:25 321:11 432:4 equally 154:19 168:15 292:20 343:3,14 366:7 367:16 enforced 388:7 enlightening 40:43 256:15 269:24 408:12,17 366:24 enforcement 157:4 219:19 60:20 equipped 284:13 302:19 386:2 157:4 219:19 60:20 ensure 316:9 305:9 318:13 366:24 255 16:22 362:25 equipped 284:13 302:19 40:13 412:8 engage entered 23:1 85:23 86:8 ethics 40:21 11:11 29:22 equitable 23:1 85:23 86:8 ethics 13:5 18:8 30:22 engaged 11:11 29:22 equitably 21:6 42:9 43:25 36:25 48:13 129:20.23 140:14 241:2 equitably 21:6 42:9 43:25 58:16 59:20 70:9 76:14 89:7 21:22 347:9 366:15 249:12 107:10 109:5 183:2 200:15 204:23 210:16	encounter	129:24		epitome	15:20 19:4 33:7
Signature Sign	376:6	energized			46:14 64:10
35:1 180:17 292:20 343:3,14 366:7 367:16 enforced enlightening 366:7 367:16 408:12,17 366:24 enforcement 386:2 157:4 219:19 60:20 ensuring 106:4 402:5 25 engage enjoy 221:21 401:23, 256:25 262:25 410:13 412:8 engage enjoy 221:21 401:23, 26:25 48:13 129:20,23 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 253:25 265:9 267:9 28:20 270:9 76:14 89:7 70:9 76:14 89:7 70:9 76:14 89:7 96:8 113:16 127:10 137:23 164:13 175:2,6 183:2 200:15 204:23 210:16 217:14 220:24 237:6 250:18 225:25 25:17 59:6 entertained 237:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 405:12 408:12 409:21 404:3 256:15 269:24 404:3 256:15 269:24 404:3 256:15 269:24 404:3 256:15 269:24 404:3 256:15 269:24 284:13 302:19 404:3 256:15 269:24 284:13 302:19 200:20 ensuring 160:20 ensuring 160:20 ensuring 23:1 85:23 86:8 equipped 316:9 305:9 318:13 302:9 429:10 22	encourage	90:5	15	egual	118:6 143:7
292:20 343:3,14 366:7 367:16 enforced 408:12,17 366:24 enforced 388:7 ensure 386:2 157:4 219:19 60:20 ensuring 16:22 362:25 410:13 412:8 engage end 49:21 11:11 29:22 70:9 76:14 89:7 96:8 113:16 127:10 137:23 164:13 175:2,6 183:2 200:15 217:14 220:24 237:6 250:18 2237:6 250:18 2237:6 250:18 2237:6 250:18 2237:25 328:3 336:18 238:24 339:7 308:21 291:22 141:19,20,21,22 405:12 408:12 408:12 408:12,17 408:12 408:12 408:12,17 408:12 408:12,17 408:12 408:12,17 408:12 408:12,17 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:12 408:13 408:12 404:3 256:15 269:24 284:13 302:19 305:9 318:13 302:9 429:10 enuring 316:9 equitable 23:1 85:23 86:8 49:21 96:1,11 15 166:6 27:9 28:20 27:9 28:20 20:16 21:6 equitably 22:6 equitably 21:6 equitably 21:6 equita	_	energy		1 -	
366:7 367:16 enforced 366:24 388:7 equipped 284:13 302:19 encouraged 157:4 219:19 60:20 ensuring 316:9 305:9 318:13 106:4 402:5 25 16:22 362:25 ensuring 23:1 85:23 86:8 ethics 110:13 412:8 engage entered 15:22 362:25 94:21 96:1,11, 12:18 26:18 end 49:21 11:11 29:22 engaged 15:166:6 27:9 28:20 36:25 48:13 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 equitably 30:15 41:17 58:16 59:20 engagement 253:25 265:9 347:9 366:15 equity 56:19 58:8 70:9 76:14 89:7 121:22 347:9 366:15 equity 56:19 58:8 164:13 175:2,6 English 79:16 151:18 206:18 153:25 162:15 183:2 200:15 74:22 75:1 116:11 130:17 116:11 130:17 217:14 220:24 131:2,4,23,24 128:6 232:2 261:11 305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 305:15 312:21 306:25 122:21 306:25 122:21 406:25 122:21			321:11 432:4		197:1 244:17
408:12,17 366:24 388:7 equipped 284:13 302:19 386:2 157:4 219:19 60:20 equipped 305:9 318:13 encouraging 221:21 401:23, ensuring 23:1 85:23 86:8 ethics 106:4 402:5 25 16:22 362:25 94:21 96:1,11, 12:18 26:18 40:13 412:8 engage entered 15:166:6 27:9 28:20 end 49:21 11:11 29:22 equitably 30:15 41:17 13:5 18:8 30:22 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 equitably 21:6 58:16 59:20 engagement 253:25 265:9 95:25 96:10 27:15 79:9 70:9 76:14 89:7 121:22 347:9 366:15 equity 95:25 96:10 107:10 109:5 183:2 200:15 26:18 332:14 364:4 entertain 206:18 150:8151:13 183:2 200:15 74:22 75:1 116:11 130:17 131:2,4,23,24 133:13 entertained 217:14 220:24 133:13 entertained 128:6 error 239:22 25:14:15 328:3 336:18 92:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	enforced	enlightening		256:15 269:24
encouraged enforcement ensure 316:9 305:9 318:13 386:2 157:4 219:19 60:20 equitable 322:9 429:10 encouraging 221:21 401:23, ensuring 23:1 85:23 86:8 ethics 106:4 402:5 25 16:22 362:25 94:21 96:1,11, 12:18 26:18 410:13 412:8 engage entered 15 166:6 27:9 28:20 end 49:21 11:11 29:22 equitably 30:15 41:17 13:5 18:8 30:22 236:25 48:13 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 equitably 42:9 43:25 58:16 59:20 engagement 253:25 265:9 95:25 96:10 77:15 79:9 70:9 76:14 89:7 121:22 347:9 366:15 249:12 107:10 109:5 96:8 113:16 127:10 137:23 316:12,13,15 216 249:12 139:10 140:23 183:2 200:15 74:22 75:1 332:14 364:4 260:18 150:8 151:13 150:8 151:13 153:13 264:13 266:16 239:22 241:15 249:12 131:12,4,23,24 133:13 136:4			388:7		284:13 302:19
386:2 157:4 219:19 60:20 equitable 322:9 429:10 encouraging 221:21 401:23, ensuring 23:1 85:23 86:8 ethics 410:13 412:8 engage entered 15 166:6 27:9 28:20 40:21 11:11 29:22 equitably 30:15 41:17 13:5 18:8 30:22 engaged 57:8 108:10 21:6 42:9 43:25 58:16 59:20 engagement 253:25 265:9 95:25 96:10 77:15 79:9 70:9 76:14 89:7 121:22 347:9 366:15 249:12 107:10 109:5 96:8 113:16 England 316:12,13,15 entering 150:8 151:13 164:13 175:2,6 English 79:16 151:18 206:18 153:25 162:15 133:2 200:15 74:22 75:1 116:11 130:17 128:6 236:4 239:22 241:15 237:6 250:18 133:13 entertained 25:17 59:6 363:10 396:24 264:13 266:10 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 106:25 122:21 141:19,20,21,22 192:5 193:16 213:23 327:25 339:16 334:25	<u> </u>		ensure		305:9 318:13
encouraging 221:21 401:23, ensuring 23:1 85:23 86:8 ethics 106:4 402:5 25 16:22 362:25 94:21 96:1,11, 12:18 26:18 410:13 412:8 engage entered 15 166:6 27:9 28:20 end 49:21 11:11 29:22 equitably 30:15 41:17 13:5 18:8 30:22 engaged 57:8 108:10 21:6 42:9 43:25 36:25 48:13 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 equitably 21:6 42:9 43:25 58:16 59:20 engagement 253:25 265:9 95:25 96:10 107:10 109:5 56:19 58:8 70:9 76:14 89:7 121:22 347:9 366:15 249:12 107:10 109:5 107:10 109:5 96:8 113:16 England 316:12,13,15 249:12 139:10 140:23 150:8 151:13 164:13 175:2,6 English 74:22 75:1 79:16 151:18 206:18 153:25 162:15 204:23 210:16 116:11 130:17 128:6 363:6 259:22 254:14 237:4 22 26:111 235:17 59:6 91 312:15 36:4 252:22 254:14 <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>60:20</td> <td></td> <td>322:9 429:10</td>	_		60:20		322:9 429:10
106:4 402:5			ensuring	_	ethics
410:13 412:8 engage entered 15 166:6 27:9 28:20 end 49:21 11:11 29:22 equitably 30:15 41:17 13:5 18:8 30:22 engaged 57:8 108:10 21:6 42:9 43:25 36:25 48:13 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 21:6 42:9 43:25 58:16 59:20 engagement 253:25 265:9 77:15 79:9 70:9 76:14 89:7 121:22 347:9 366:15 249:12 107:10 109:5 127:10 137:23 316:12,13,15 entering 332:14 364:4 206:18 153:25 162:15 183:2 200:15 74:22 75:1 79:16 151:18 60:18 163:23 178:14 204:23 210:16 116:11 130:17 131:2,4,23,24 133:13 entertained 128:6 186:9,13 187:16 217:14 220:24 133:13 entertaining 36:4 252:22 254:14 237:6 250:18 254:22 261:11 305:18 327:25 36:4 252:22 254:14 338:3 336:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 327:15 293:10 296:4 338:11 390:17 141:19,20,21,22 192		· ·			12:18 26:18
end 49:21 11:11 29:22 equitably 30:15 41:17 13:5 18:8 30:22 engaged 57:8 108:10 21:6 56:19 58:8 36:25 48:13 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 56:19 58:8 56:19 58:8 58:16 59:20 engagement 253:25 265:9 77:15 79:9 107:10 109:5 96:8 113:16 England 316:12,13,15 entering 139:10 140:23 150:8 151:13 164:13 175:2,6 English 74:22 75:1 79:16 151:18 206:18 153:25 162:15 204:23 210:16 116:11 130:17 131:2,4,23,24 138:6 128:6 entertained 217:14 220:24 133:13 enjoy 312:15 36:4 252:22 254:14 254:22 261:11 305:18 327:25 25:17 59:6 92:21 93:5 97:4 enthusiastic 338:24 339:7 106:25 122:21 141:19,20,21,22 192:5 193:16 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 388:11 390:17 405:12 142:14 157:8 280:2 363:22 established evaluate			entered		27:9 28:20
13:5 18:8 30:22 36:25 48:13 129:20,23 140:14 241:2 253:25 265:9 347:9 366:15 249:12 107:10 109:5 107:10 109:5 139:10 140:23 150:8 151:13 164:13 175:2,6 183:2 200:15 204:23 210:16 216:11 130:17 217:14 220:24 237:6 250:18 254:22 261:11 305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 405:12 405:12 42:14 157:8 57:8 108:10 140:14 241:2 21:6 equity 95:25 96:10 107:10 109:5 139:10 140:23 150:8 151:13 249:12 erased 150:8 151:13 153:25 162:15 163:23 178:14 ertertained 128:6 entertained 128:6 entertaining 312:15 entertaining 312:15 entertaining 312:15 entertaining 312:15 entertaining 312:15 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 evaluate					30:15 41:17
140:14 241:2 253:25 265:9 347:9 366:15 249:12 107:10 109:5 109:10 140:23 150:8 151:13 153:25 162:15 163:23 178:14 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 108:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 108:23 178:14					42:9 43:25
58:16 59:20 engagement 121:22 347:9 366:15 249:12 107:10 109:5 96:8 113:16 England 316:12,13,15 entering 206:18 150:8 151:13 164:13 175:2,6 English 79:16 151:18 entertain 206:18 163:23 178:14 204:23 210:16 116:11 130:17 131:2,4,23,24 128:6 36:4 253:25 265:9 249:12 139:10 140:23 150:8 151:13 150:8 151:13 150:8 151:13 206:18 206:18 163:23 178:14 163:23 178:14 207:14 220:24 131:2,4,23,24 128:6 36:4 252:22 254:14 253:25 265:9 363:6 163:23 178:14 163:23 178:14 206:18 163:23 178:14 169:91 3187:16 239:22 241:15 255:17 59:6 312:15 36:4 252:22 254:14 252:17 59:6 92:21 93:5 37:4 363:10 396:24 274:23 276:24 388:11 390:17 141:19,20,21,22 192:5 193:16 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 405:12 142:14 157:8 280:2 363:22 280:2 363:22 <td></td> <td> 0 0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>56:19 58:8</td>		0 0			56:19 58:8
107:10 109:5 107:10 109:5 139:10 140:23 150:8 151:13 150:8 15:15 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 187:16 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 18 163:23 178:14 186:9,13 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 189:10 18 1		·			77:15 79:9
96:8 113:16 England and the content of			347:9 366:15		107:10 109:5
127:10 137:23 316:12,13,15 332:14 364:4 206:18 150:8 151:13 164:13 175:2,6 183:2 200:15 74:22 75:1 79:16 151:18 206:18 153:25 162:15 204:23 210:16 116:11 130:17 131:2,4,23,24 133:13 254:22 261:11 363:6 252:22 254:14 305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 312:15 363:10 396:24 274:23 276:24 338:11 390:17 106:25 122:21 141:19,20,21,22 192:5 193:16 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 405:12 128:6 280:2 363:22 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10		•	entering		139:10 140:23
164:13 175:2,6 183:2 200:15 204:23 210:16 217:14 220:24 237:6 250:18 254:22 261:11 305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 Content of the content o					150:8 151:13
183:2 200:15 74:22 75:1 79:16 151:18 363:6 163:23 178:14 204:23 210:16 116:11 130:17 128:6 239:22 241:15 217:14 220:24 131:2,4,23,24 128:6 239:22 241:15 237:6 250:18 133:13 254:22 261:11 36:4 252:22 254:14 2517 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 274:23 276:24 239:22 241:15 252:22 254:14 252:22 254:14 264:13 266:10 274:23 276:24 293:10 296:4 37:1 293:10 296:4 37:1 293:10 296:4 37:1 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 38:11 390:17 141:19,20,21,22 192:5 193:16 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 36:4 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 336:21 358:16 249:1 433:10 25:17 59:6 25:22 251 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 335:10 396:24 349:1 433:10 349:1 433:10 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 25:17 59:6 <td></td> <td>i i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>153:25 162:15</td>		i i			153:25 162:15
204:23 210:16 217:14 220:24 237:6 250:18 254:22 261:11 305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 216:11 130:17 116:11 130:17 128:6 128:4 133:13 124:13 266:10 128:6 186:9,13 187:16 129:2:22 254:14 126:4:13 266:10 128:6 128:10 128:6 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 128:10 1	1				163:23 178:14
217:14 220:24 237:6 250:18 254:22 261:11 305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 110:11 130:17 131:2,4,23,24 133:13 entertaining 312:15 enthusiastic 97:4 entire 128:6 entertaining 312:15 enthusiastic 97:4 entire 133:23 327:25 36:4 252:22 254:14 264:13 266:10 274:23 276:24 293:10 296:4 397:1 essentially 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 333:16 334:25 349:1 433:10 evaluate					186:9,13 187:16
237:6 250:18 254:22 261:11 305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 237:6 250:18 133:13 enjoy 25:17 59:6 92:21 93:5 106:25 122:21 141:19,20,21,22 142:14 157:8 entertaining 312:15 enthusiastic 97:4 enthusiastic 97:4 entire 192:5 193:16 280:2 363:22 enthusiastic 192:5 193:16 280:2 363:22 essential 36:4 essential 264:13 266:10 274:23 276:24 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 349:1 433:10 evaluate					239:22 241:15
254:22 261:11 305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 Check tailing 312:15 enjoy 25:17 59:6 enthusiastic 97:4 essential 363:10 396:24 274:23 276:24 293:10 296:4 397:1 essentially 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 336:21 358:16 280:2 363:22 established evaluate essential 363:10 396:24 397:1 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 349:1 433:10 established evaluate essential 363:10 396:24 397:1 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 349:1 433:10 established evaluate essential 363:10 396:24 397:1 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 349:1 433:10 established evaluate essential 363:10 396:24 397:1 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 established essential 363:10 396:24 397:1 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 280:2 363:22 established evaluate essential 363:10 396:24 397:1 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 280:2 363:22 established essential 363:10 396:24 397:1 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 333:16 334:25 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 363:10 396:24 397:1 293:10 296:4 310:16 312:25 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 3					252:22 254:14
305:18 327:25 328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 312:13 25:17 59:6 92:21 93:5 106:25 122:21 141:19,20,21,22 142:14 157:8 enthusiastic 97:4 entire 192:5 193:16 280:2 363:22 363:10 396:24 397:1 essentially 213:23 327:25 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 evaluate				essential	264:13 266:10
328:3 336:18 338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 328:3 336:18 92:21 93:5 106:25 122:21 141:19,20,21,22 142:14 157:8 25:17 59:6 97:4 entire 192:5 193:16 280:2 363:22 397:1 essentially 213:23 327:25 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 evaluate		•			274:23 276:24
338:24 339:7 388:11 390:17 405:12 338:24 339:7 106:25 122:21 141:19,20,21,22 142:14 157:8 97.4 entire 192:5 193:16 280:2 363:22 essentially 213:23 327:25 333:16 334:25 349:1 433:10 established evaluate				397:1	293:10 296:4
388:11 390:17 405:12				essentially	310:16 312:25
405:12 141:19,20,21,22 192:3 193:16 336:21 358:16 349:1 433:10 established evaluate				213:23 327:25	333:16 334:25
				336:21 358:16	349:1 433:10
205:20 235:22, 411:14				established	evaluate
,		205:20 235:22,	411:14		

354:4	117:24	133:10 141:15	206:22 208:6	379:20
evaluated	everybody's	147:5 152:7	exceptional	exercised
276:19	29:5 36:8 68:1	157:21 164:19	125:1 190:23	320:9
evaluation	146:24 214:14	170:2 172:12	exceptionally	exercising
408:19	415:6	173:22 182:7	427:21	410:24
evaluative	evidence	188:20 201:20	excerpt	exerting
12:15 19:1,4	41:23 180:15,18	208:18 218:7	305:8	369:14
30:12 43:20	181:11 189:15	222:18 227:16	exchange	exhibit
46:10,13 58:5	191:24 206:1	233:13 241:25	75:19 96:13	11:16,19,22
64:10,13 79:6	281:2 337:20	246:21 250:6	105:18 186:1	29:24 30:2
85:10 103:13	343:4,6,14	255:1 267:1	187:25 212:12	43:10,13 57:15,
109:2 118:3,6	344:9 345:20	271:9 278:1	235:3 259:16	18 78:19,22
140:20 143:4,7	347:3,9,16	285:23 286:24	375:6	108:14,17
151:9 154:19,22	380:18 385:22,	289:8 291:10	exchanges	141:8,11 151:23
163:17 168:12,	25 404:9 405:2,	297:1 303:6	431:1	152:1 155:2
15 187:10,13	19	306:8 308:6		164:5,8 187:3,6
196:25 197:3	evident	313:19 323:25	exchanging 97:22	241:4,7 254:3,6
241:12 254:11	354:14	328:3 385:10		265:11,14
256:12,14 266:7	evidentiary	426:16	excited	276:3,6,9 295:1,
269:21,24	126:1 327:14,17	examinations	290:18 424:21	4 312:2,5
276:21 284:10,	evidently	345:9	excitement	332:16,19
12 293:7 296:1	166:21	examples	312:18	335:3,6,9,12
302:16,19	evolution	190:18	excluded	339:18 343:3
310:12 312:22	226:4	exceeded	312:16	344:7,16,17
322:6,9 330:8	ex-husband	48:18 148:11	excuse	345:2,4,10,21,
333:13 341:17	340:25 358:5,6	exceedingly	210:3 364:23	24,25 346:12,
354:5,15 408:20	[122:12 239:9,11	392:25	13,23,25 347:1,
429:10,13 433:8	ex-wife	excelled	excused	14,15 352:3,4,5,
even-keeled	85:21	13:25	393:2	21 378:23 383:8
114:21	exact	excellence	executive	385:1 392:15
evening	171:19 229:24	197:16,19	78:2,4,6 86:12,	429:4
304:19 418:5,6	369:9	excellent	17,19,21 283:4,	exhibited
event	exam	16:20 22:22	13 286:9 331:5,	348:25
42:12 77:19	320:18	23:1 45:20 46:2	10,12,16,17	exhibits
107:13 139:8	examination	64:4 83:16	executives	46:2 342:10
150:11 162:18	13:9 31:5 37:18	121:23 138:19	72:25	345:6,7 354:24
186:12 240:1	44:13 50:2	143:12 153:18	exemplary	357:13 378:15,
252:23 264:18	58:24 67:6	190:19 243:10	23:5 251:6	17 385:12
274:20,24	74:18 79:23	256:20 259:6	432:11	422:24 424:8
events	88:12 91:8	275:25 289:9	exempt	425:8
398:8 418:16	98:10 103:5	427:14,19 432:5	50:24	exhusband
eventually	109:17 119:21	exception	exercise	340:1
- Circumiy	130:10 131:20	Mophon		

exist	experience	329:1 388:8	OWNWOGGOG	
228:7 377:13	19:6 33:9 34:21	415:4	expresses 183:3	
existed	46:15 59:12	experiences	expression	F
110:21 392:10	64:12 81:2,16,	81:6 93:11,12	423:20	fabricated
	18,23,25 82:8	111:14 204:2	1	362:17
exists	83:1,8 85:14		extend	face
212:7	89:12 93:9	281:9 285:25	58:17 79:19	97:11 216:6
exited	102:11 110:6	304:14 315:14	386:21	246:10 363:19
351:18	111:3,13	expert	extended	423:20
expand	112:11,13,19	180:25 395:9	34:22 177:11,20	
392:11		398:5	231:11 339:4	face-to-face
expanded	113:9,19 114:9	explain	extent	116:9
230:3	115:3,12,24	83:25 85:17	193:19 204:15	faced
expansion	116:2 118:8	86:2 106:6	214:5 217:2	338:15
316:2	121:10 122:4	195:22 251:25	328:15 381:3,24	faces
expect	138:7 143:9	281:7 315:12	402:12 408:14,	44:23,24 57:24
28:23 77:15	152:13 154:21	320:15 359:22	20 415:25	388:22
95:1 107:14	158:12 159:9	382:20 383:16	416:4,14,20	facilitate
139:14 153:9	162:1 168:17	390:25 391:25	431:21	362:20
154:11 178:9	189:9 192:22	395:1,22 419:3,	extra	fact
206:7 215:21	193:2 197:2	4,13	32:3 176:9	24:1 68:8
264:14 293:9	204:17 214:21	explained	177:8 193:8	138:21 145:15
384:10,11 393:5	244:18 256:17	176:22 372:1	205:7 263:11,12	157:11 173:4
427:4 428:6	270:1 278:13	394:2 396:3	extraordinary	175:15 206:3
expectation	279:1,15 284:15	413:21 414:3	58:21 262:21	227:6 237:3
26:21	291:5 297:4	explanation	288:2 432:19	248:5 249:3
expectations	298:8,10 299:4,	84:3 339:12	extreme	260:18 304:4
48:18 128:17	12 302:21 304:5	346:16 394:23	173:1 353:17	308:17 326:11
354:20,22 355:8	309:14,17	exposed	extremely	327:9 348:1
, '	314:6,10,12	91:21,23 315:9	22:21 49:17	366:14 383:14
expected	315:1 318:15,19	396:21,22	58:18 63:23,24	387:9 389:11
31:14 198:15 369:7 384:13	319:1,3,6,11,13	exposure	91:21 145:2	397:14 402:13
	322:11,14	83:7 398:9	153:16 161:8	412:3 416:21
expects	324:22,23,24 325:2 329:4	expound	171:16,20	418:13 427:1
310:13 396:5		103:10	258:19,24	factor
433:8	363:15,23 366:6	express	288:15 318:11	159:14
expedited	375:19,20,23	217:16 306:9	329:10	factory
54:4 234:14,17	376:5,16 412:3		eye	206:15
expense	415:8,10 429:12	expressed 16:23 46:7 60:8,	77:1,2	facts
196:19 230:21	experienced	9 83:17 115:1	eyeballs	32:21 36:1 99:5,
386:12	16:18 52:17	154:1 191:4	377:11	6 127:22 128:18
expensive	60:4 67:9 83:14	256:9 269:2		129:8 159:16,19
196:19,20	90:3 138:6	300:20 427:23	eyes	175:8 183:6
	142:22 143:11	300.20 427.23	77:7 374:6	

219:14 220:1	fairness	251:20 356:13	155:4 159:18,24	fan
236:11 354:17	22:20 23:24	families'	160:16 161:10	71:20 74:11
fail	217:13 243:12	44:23	163:4 164:23,24	291:21
67:21 394:16	362:22 363:2	family	167:18 170:6,7	fandom
398:20 399:22	faith	13:12,13,15,16	171:23 173:7	292:1
failed	363:23	16:21 18:5	175:11,18,19,21	fans
21:2 207:14	faithful	20:20 21:3 23:1	176:6 177:18,24	330:1
378:17	23:6	28:10 31:9,11	178:4 180:20	fantastic
failing	fall	34:21 41:3	183:25 184:23	21:8 123:5
117:4	133:20 161:12	42:16 44:15,16	185:11 187:11	149:17 232:17
failings	fallen	45:1 46:1 48:19	188:22 189:9	304:13 336:14
207:13	18:17	50:5,18 52:22	191:3 192:20	359:16
failure	falling	53:2,5 54:6	193:19 196:7,	faring
221:24 232:14	319:25	55:14 59:3,4,16	13,14 197:12,	308:13
fair	false	60:4 61:5,22	13,17 198:18	farm
		63:4,7 75:20	202:14 203:9	259:7
15:12 16:18,22 22:18,24 23:1,	337:8 380:9,10, 12,13,18	76:9,12,18	204:17 206:12	fashion
23 24:15 25:15		80:25 81:4,7,10,	207:8 210:4,9	86:9 184:7
	fame	12,13,17,21,24	211:14,19	
26:17 45:10,21 46:4 66:18 77:5	157:15	82:1 83:15,16	213:16 220:5	fast
	familial	88:25 90:2	228:19 229:14,	70:23 222:23
90:19,20 93:22	50:17 147:6	91:20 92:4 93:6,	18 231:8 236:12	faster
106:4,8 124:5	familiar	17 94:14 95:3	242:3 246:7	177:4
128:8,10 142:23	19:15 33:15	97:25 98:24	251:15 253:7	fatal
152:16 153:7,	46:23 47:16	99:8,11 100:3,8,	260:1,9 263:13	70:12
20,24 165:8 180:3 183:21	64:22 87:11	9,14 101:3,10,	264:22 267:4,5	fate
	113:7 118:20	18 103:1 106:25	268:6 273:13	157:5 372:21
203:11 206:4 209:7,15 219:9	143:18 155:10	110:5,10 111:6,	321:21,24	father
l '	168:24 200:20	10,15,18	330:23 335:19	55:7 114:6
222:20 223:22	217:20 228:11	112:12,14,15	359:19 362:21	136:10,11 147:7
226:5 242:16	244:25 257:1	114:19,24,25	367:14 384:19	148:16 237:15
249:10 256:20	270:8 288:12	115:4,6 116:25	385:3,5,18,21	238:15 362:19
267:22 268:2	294:16 308:20	121:6,17 122:14	386:4,8 387:5	370:12,18
273:10 292:16	366:13 411:15	124:8 125:19,23	388:5 391:9	379:20,23 380:2
300:17 304:12	418:7 426:1	126:11 128:7,25	405:7,15 409:11	383:18 384:15
305:13 318:13	429:21	129:4 130:5,17,	416:18,19	386:3 394:8
329:13 341:22	familiarity	25 131:5 135:16	419:23 420:24	396:7 405:11
397:5 403:22,24	387:5	136:6 137:6,15	421:9,12,14,25	410:11,14,15,
406:2 427:2	families	139:23 141:16,	423:14 426:17,	22,24 411:7,12
fairly	13:19 60:6	18,21,22 145:8	19 427:18,22	412:5,17,21
16:10 18:14	74:13 99:16	146:12,18 147:9	428:12 431:12	413:2,16,25
21:5 83:10	161:12 173:16	149:17 150:16	433:24 434:5	420:1 421:20
274:5 305:22	196:13 236:8	152:11,12,20,21		423:10
	1	ı		I .

				ſ
father's	35:17 52:10	fellow	398:14	financial
337:15 394:9,16	59:8,10,12 64:1	142:13 304:25	figured	82:1 112:14,17,
395:24 401:21,	76:11 81:1	Fellows	149:12	20 113:8 122:15
22	91:16 92:25	173:13,14	figuring	132:22 240:9
fault	110:5 122:25	felt	75:8 90:18	340:1 349:2,12
36:4 181:20	127:18 128:8	18:13 90:3,4	file	362:21
182:16 243:20	138:6 153:11	101:6 127:18	17:20 176:8,16	financially
346:20	166:18,23	177:25 196:21	340:1 349:2	239:16
favor	167:11,12	214:22 221:5	414:5	financials
86:15 207:16	174:17 175:18	230:25 247:17	filed	347:9
331:6 427:6	177:2,17 181:19	279:6,7,13	14:14,17,24	find
favorable	182:16 209:15	299:2 342:5,6,	30:18 44:3	26:7 35:13 52:8
75:6 124:14	215:4,5 216:19	11 343:4 347:18	79:12 109:8	62:17 69:19,20
390:16	222:25 242:6,12	348:8,13 353:8,	127:4 141:2	71:12 85:10
favorite	244:1 251:21,24	20 374:5	151:17 163:24	89:15 92:22
61:17 66:10	267:11,13	female	187:20 231:20	96:19 97:9
271:23	278:13 280:3	123:8 223:11	241:19 254:18	100:17 161:11
favoritism	282:22 297:3	fest	266:14 277:4	168:2 189:6,8
116:14	298:13 299:8	36:11	333:20 336:5	218:1,11 329:12
favors	301:2,12 314:5	fever	379:4 386:10	351:5 385:20,22
301:8	349:17 354:12	416:23	files	389:23,25
fear	355:18 386:17,	fewer	326:12 342:15	finder
362:2 419:7	24 390:1 394:25 410:1 421:19	174:3 203:15	filing	237:3
feat		field	231:10,17	finding
41:13	feeling 124:4 129:1	279:22 368:1	fill	52:3 88:23
February		395:9	124:10 132:21	180:7 386:8
86:2 379:10	160:12 173:3 343:12 371:17	fight	filling	findings
federal	391:1	76:1 112:25	127:20	175:15 328:25
18:4 54:12		135:23 218:21	final	finds
88:19 89:7	feelings	219:19	37:10 42:7	50:25
97:19 101:16	128:12,20 129:15 183:16	fighting	51:11 62:12	fine
124:9	404:7 405:1,7,	50:6 75:23	77:13 151:21	111:15 112:4
fee	19 406:3	113:2 146:24	176:18 214:4	224:12,22 227:1
231:17,18	416:19,22	421:10	229:9 230:15	335:24 370:24
feed	423:24	fights	252:20 293:15	403:18
326:23	feels	50:6	310:20 330:15	finish
feedback	34:16	figuratively	428:21 433:15	383:2
194:11 195:18		27:17	finality	finished
215:22 216:7	fees	figure	32:8	120:18 412:7
225:14 292:6	347:13	39:23 97:14	finally	418:2
feel	fell	211:4 222:16	27:9 178:7	fire
13:25 31:12	431:21	237:23 289:12	191:3 427:18	138:3 326:13
13.23 31.12		20,.20 20,.12		
	l	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1
firm	fix	49:1 52:15	365:19 384:12	188:11
26:17 66:19	62:7,8 63:23	focus	395:2 396:4	forgiveness
81:12 89:6	69:5 100:6,22	79:5 98:1 100:1	399:19 401:18,	431:11
90:22 111:4	282:9	107:8 163:17	22 428:5 433:9	forgiving
138:20 153:19	fixing	187:10,12	followup	253:14
199:18 220:24	68:18	254:10 354:14	328:4	forgot
222:20 223:23	fizzled	367:2,17 431:13	fondly	364:7 388:19
226:5 267:21	366:23	focused	138:17 156:12	form
268:2 278:25	flack	115:3 116:3	font	71:12 115:16
279:9 287:12	148:23	276:21 295:25	180:24 360:13,	206:11 213:22
firmness	flagged	312:22 333:13	17	233:25 283:10
222:2 269:10	329:7	366:7 408:18	fools	formal
firms	flagship	415:11	208:22 223:25	28:18 56:16
89:9,14,19,23	297:17 306:21	focuses	foot	77:13 107:14
91:13 92:6	flare	58:5 266:7	98:3	139:5 151:21
288:19	428:13	focusing	football	162:13 186:11
fish	flaw	109:1 191:6	306:25 307:2,5	264:12 274:19
321:18	70:12	241:11	377:16	285:10 302:7
fished	flex	foes	footing	323:14
321:19	279:11	262:19	88:23	formalistic
fishing	flexibility	folk	forbidden	97:21 101:23
321:14	277:22 295:21	261:10 306:11	321:12	146:23
fit	310:9 330:22	folks	force	formed
91:17 93:8	flexible	55:10,21 56:25	363:4	286:8
147:25	32:5 255:15	74:23 77:4 94:9	forced	formidable
fitness	326:8	124:4,6 131:10	349:19 361:14,	284:2 292:7
19:5 33:7 46:14		148:9 156:25	22	forming
64:10 118:7	floor 58:14 149:9	157:3,10 172:24	forecast	283:12
143:7 154:20	289:11 290:2	174:1,7 202:4,	262:11	forms
168:16 197:1		22 203:17		71:12,16 144:22
244:17 256:15	Florence 49:4,7 287:18	220:23 227:25	foreclosure 85:16 86:1,6	formula
269:25 284:13	288:7	228:19 252:2,5,	,	75:8
302:19 305:9		9 255:8 259:20	foreign	forthright
322:10 341:16	flow	261:3 274:5	228:23	32:23
429:11	113:4 407:15	366:3 407:22	foremost	
fits	Floyd	follow	379:1	fortunate 44:19 67:12
89:16	41:16 351:18	91:9 102:22	forever	
Fitzlee	Floyd's	172:16 177:14	360:9	152:20 183:24 263:4
42:23 43:2,12,	41:24	194:5 208:5	forget	
15	fluent	213:20 218:23	247:3 388:13	forum 283:16
Five-ish	114:25	221:1 293:9	forgive	
235:6	flying	310:13 339:5	27:10 120:15	forward
				21:24 54:20
	l	1	l	l

55:9 59:19	frame	frightens	385:13	gallery
73:24 84:19	200:1 341:14	158:24	fully	132:11
100:14 123:6	351:2	front	131:14 183:3	gallon
166:25 167:7	Francis	25:12,17 67:15	fumble	22:6
229:25 236:14	250:12	73:1,2 85:7	209:3	gallons
258:18 290:20	frank	95:20 98:13,16	fun	22:6
292:23 299:20	287:17 327:4	99:21 101:3	196:15	Gambrell
333:25 393:6	frankly	104:8 123:14	function	271:23
forwards	183:4 208:25	124:16 129:25	22:2 249:1,2	Gambrell's
22:17	414:3 416:7	157:25 160:5	363:4	271:22
foster	fraught	170:12,20,22	fundamental	game
51:3,19 52:6,19	128:11	171:2 172:20	172:8 224:24	207:21,22
53:11 82:5	Fred	204:4,14 205:6	225:15 282:22	291:17 421:17,
256:7 334:11	250:7	214:10,20 220:9	362:23	18
335:18,25	Fred's	225:21 226:20	Funderburk	Gamecocks
426:15,16 429:8	250:25	230:9 242:18	324:9,10,15	291:21
430:14	frequent	247:6,11 248:9	funnest	gamed
fought	227:25	275:18 281:24	50:4	203:15
421:17	frequently	286:12 294:14	furious	gaming
found	84:9	311:15 320:21	205:10	202:22
18:25 19:3 33:3	fresh	332:7 353:13	furtherance	
35:8 39:13	32:19 76:2,22,	358:14,23 386:1	188:2	gamut 325:4
40:21 46:9,12	23	390:18 391:3		
64:9 81:13		428:19	future	garnering
83:20 87:4 94:3	freshmen	frugality	106:24 236:9	49:18
106:4 118:2,5,	307:10	41:23	363:20 367:22 424:13	Garrett
13 125:22 143:3	Friday	frustrating		26:2,3,5,12,16
154:18 168:11,	21:25 383:2	194:6	fuzzy	27:3 37:16,17,
14 177:22	friend	frustration	196:3	18 41:12 50:1,2
196:24 200:5	48:7 57:21	63:18		54:23 65:23,25
244:13 256:11	60:17,18,19	fulfill	G	66:1,3,12,22
269:20,23 279:7	92:5 250:25	147:14 189:2	goin	73:13,15 98:8,9, 10 146:5,6,8,10
291:24 302:15	265:21 290:18	fulfilled	gain 67:10 279:15	157:19,20,21
322:5,8 386:6	416:17	267:25	428:16	169:23,24 170:2
388:25 395:22	friendly	full		174:3 222:17,18
412:19 414:4	26:17 66:18	101:12,13 108:5	gained	226:24 227:10,
416:15 429:9	222:20 223:22	187:25 207:9	299:4 383:4	14 271:8,9
foundation	226:4 292:14	275:15 294:11	392:20	289:6,7,8 308:5,
362:24 363:13	friends	325:5,6 332:1,4	gaining	6 323:5 331:4
fourth	260:19,20	334:5 359:6	298:7	364:22,23
12:5 196:18	262:19	423:18	gal	365:1,7,10,13,
339:9 346:14	friendship	fuller	203:22	17 406:17,19
	190:7 305:21			17 700.17,17
				<u> </u>

407:1,5 408:25	301:21 317:7,	girls	glance	109:18 114:15
409:2,15,20	13,18 322:22	345:13 397:10,	342:25 343:1,15	125:1 127:16,24
410:1,7,17	429:23 430:6	11,15 420:20	glasses	134:5,8 138:18
411:9,13,19	generalized	423:11	293:2	142:7 145:5,20
412:22,25 414:6	347:25	gist	glee	148:4,10 150:17
424:16,18	generally	372:14	128:3	152:8,9,19
425:19	16:12 39:17	give	global	153:2 160:2,14,
Garrett's	45:1 62:17	35:8 39:10 40:8	338:8	18 164:20,22
162:25 199:18	202:16 326:12	97:7 99:24	glory	165:3,13 166:3
gasping	389:18	101:12 110:23	272:14	172:10,13,14
361:19	generation	112:9 122:18		175:22 178:3,
gathers	204:3	144:24 146:11	glowing	18,19,21 184:22
276:16	generations	148:19 189:4	49:5 329:5,18	188:3,21 190:1,
	356:13,14	194:24 195:19	goal	8,21 217:22
gave 15:22 48:8	,	198:1 207:9	24:1 94:21	218:8,9,10
91:17 194:22	gentleman 117:12 134:13	211:1,16 212:13	137:24 242:23	221:11 222:25
204:4 214:20		221:3 226:23,25	God	226:25 231:11
226:1,8 260:7	159:6 326:17	227:2 234:13	29:2 55:22 71:7	232:4,6 237:2
297:20 298:22	gentlemen	236:23 238:6	150:14 272:14	240:13 242:6
317:4 319:13	27:7 400:19	240:18 272:14	Godspeed	243:1 249:14
367:19	415:19	303:8 309:2	42:14 56:24	250:25 252:16
	gently	312:11 317:12	77:22 107:19	253:8 254:9
gears	263:9	327:9 329:22	139:22 150:15	255:2,6 259:2,
182:17	George	332:22 335:22	gold	11 260:14
general	333:5	338:11 339:1	269:1	261:10 262:19
19:17,25 21:2,	Georgetown	346:22 355:9	Golden	264:20 265:2
15 33:17,25	432:6	358:17 382:2	150:2	267:2,3 271:25
46:25 47:9	Gerald	393:4 412:14	good	275:10 278:2,3
64:24 65:7	140:10 141:10,	422:24	11:1,2 12:10	288:21 289:25
76:13 87:13,21	13	giving	13:10,11 14:9,	294:3,5 298:14
116:4 117:11	Gerwe	52:23 55:2	10 17:21,24	304:19,20
118:22 119:5	277:12	101:4 123:19	20:15,16 25:6,8,	306:16 308:1
125:20,21 126:2	get all	129:17 184:3	16 29:7,8,10	309:7 311:10
132:6,7 134:12	402:8	194:11 196:1	30:9 31:6 33:1	313:20 318:19
143:20 144:3	ghostwriter	210:18 227:4,	39:17 48:7	331:13 334:1
155:12,21	69:3	· ·	49:23 56:15	340:19 352:12
168:25 169:9		12,24 259:20 264:9 313:15	58:25 59:1	360:15 363:4
200:22 201:5	gift 176:17 206:10		60:16,20,22	375:25 376:18,
245:1 250:14	176:17 306:19	glad	62:19 66:1,3,13	20 415:12
257:3,11	gifts	20:18 42:21	67:23 74:16	418:5,6
270:10,18	221:13 367:6	131:18 227:22	76:21,24 79:24,	goodness
284:25 298:17	girl	235:13 262:2	25 80:9 86:1	42:1
299:8 300:12	361:17	309:12 376:15	91:11 107:24,25	12.1
			71.11 107.27,23	
	<u> </u>			

317:25 government 282:18,24 283:8,10,19	grateful 342:19	114:24 117:15,	158:5 160:20	50.17 100.0
282:18,24	342:19		100.0 100.20	59:17 100:9
*		20 120:17,23	161:25 162:2	guidelines
283:8,10,19	gravitate	121:1 123:4	guardian	20:5 34:5 47:14
	326:12	138:15 184:10,	53:15 83:4	65:12 87:25
300:1,5,10	gravitated	11 190:10,24	84:12 136:8,21	119:10 144:8
316:25 360:7	251:15	197:17 202:15,	374:1 406:22	155:25 169:14
grace	great	17 205:19 212:8	407:6 409:24,25	201:11 245:12
100:1,24 103:9	26:5 29:1 32:20	229:6	410:3 413:5	257:16 270:23
431:11	41:10 46:3,5	Greenwood	414:9	430:11
gracias	57:24 58:22	66:5,9 291:3	guardian's	guilt
139:20	60:2 66:12,24	414:13	84:10	193:15
graduate	73:12 91:17	Greg	guardians	guilty
121:20 297:18	98:23 99:2	14:23,25 26:8,9,	100:13 373:24	70:24 117:6
361:4	106:23,25	13	guess	193:21
graduated	114:17 143:11	grew	23:15 24:6 48:7	gun
122:11 146:6	145:10,21	55:14 120:12	75:11 83:18	220:10,14
432:4	147:15,20	201:25 297:8	88:24 89:15	gut
graduation	157:13 165:17	321:14	90:25 131:3	96:18
119:25	170:4 173:9	grievance	138:4 149:14,15	guy
grammar	203:21 225:2	283:15	167:18,25	122:11 145:10
75:11	232:7 235:15	grievances	170:11 181:22	203:5,22 217:23
grandfather	243:10 247:23	17:21 283:17	183:23 206:18	251:3
321:18	251:2,4 256:19	Griffith	213:5,11 214:14	guys
	259:21 272:3	214:12,14	220:25 271:14	18:6 162:1
grandma	275:4 283:12,18	ground	306:24 307:7	216:24 324:15
136:12,25 246:16 247:22	288:3 290:8	415:20	324:10 325:2	367:16 405:17
	293:20 299:15	grounds	338:2 370:4	Guyton
grandmama's	318:7 324:15,20	219:20 321:12	371:2,17 375:4	150:25 151:5,25
243:20	342:17 347:7		376:3 377:6	150:23 151:5,25
grandmother	377:1 378:9	group 185:25 278:21	380:24	153:4,14,18,23
247:23 420:5,6	422:24 430:22	279:4	guesstimated	154:18,25 155:6
grandmothers	432:14		393:16	156:18,21
137:3	greater	grouped 355:1	guest	157:18,21
grandparents	122:19 351:12		57:14 265:17,20	161:5,22 162:6,
115:19	greatest	groups	guests	20,22 163:5
granted	13:17 110:20,21	279:2	164:1 312:12	333:5
135:21 206:22	greatly	growing	332:25 333:1	
327:2 379:23	356:10	274:8	433:24	Н
Granville	Greenville	grown	guidance	n
130:7	61:5 80:15,16	31:13	317:13 381:22	habit
grasp	104:21 109:21	growth		108:20
		165:15		

		1.	1 212 0 222 22	
haircut	361:15 419:15,	hangs	313:8 330:23	harsh
144:21 147:22	16	236:10	367:25 378:21	225:25
148:2 158:8	handed	happen	415:19 422:13	Harvey
Haley	89:25 108:8	36:25 60:22	434:13	260:15
335:13 358:13	195:8	67:19 72:5	hard	hate
410:17	handful	91:15 212:2	22:22 24:10	18:9 396:14
half	148:11 179:11	214:2 222:20	32:2,8,11 35:13	405:23
59:14 97:13	handicapped	249:21 349:13	45:21 124:21	hated
105:3 267:13	113:20	351:1 369:6	125:3 127:23	230:22 325:19
287:24 321:10	handle	372:6 394:8	134:8 153:19	hating
360:12 379:11	39:22 52:12	400:6,10 404:16	154:7 248:12	237:7
halfway	86:12 92:10	426:8	268:9,18 272:6,	hats
298:25	111:19 133:14	happened	15 274:16	408:8
hall	158:17,25	61:15 127:4	297:14 339:5	haunts
31:2,4,5 33:2	236:15 246:10	207:18 297:24	349:17 354:13	362:10
34:8,13 94:8	280:9,21 298:7	339:5 351:16	363:21 372:5	haven't
157:15 218:20	315:7,11 316:9	373:9 383:9	373:8 375:21	225:5 391:18
hallway	395:18 411:24	387:17,18	388:21	
212:12 261:25	handled	390:15 392:19	harder	Hayes 161:21 162:8
Hammond	40:17 82:3	394:21 398:17	61:12 149:2	
78:10,13,18,21,	91:25 111:21	400:3 401:24	356:10	head
23 79:1,3,15,20,	112:20 228:18	412:11 414:1	hardest	24:22 38:2
22,23,24 80:3,7,	281:8,11,15,23,	418:17 419:22	61:18 421:14,17	48:16 248:3,24
11,21,25 85:15	25 287:14	425:11	hardworking	293:23 370:20
86:18 87:1	303:18 315:13	happening	15:12 16:19	425:20
88:12,13 91:8	316:14	207:2 210:17	45:20 189:14	head-to-head
98:10 103:5,6	handles	215:1 412:9	292:13 318:11	36:10
106:11,14,21	215:12	happy	Hardy	headed
107:2,5,18,22	handling	29:3 37:11	333:5	397:7
hand	44:20 81:24	42:16 45:2	harm	heading
11:13 29:14	82:23 90:7 92:6	56:24 68:5	99:10 409:5	408:10
42:22 43:6,16	112:12 113:25	99:13 105:10	422:22	heal
57:4 78:9 108:2	197:22 280:15	107:20 139:22	harmful	100:14
140:9 150:24	286:13 316:4	150:15 163:3	424:12	health
163:9 188:13	hands	188:4 198:3	·	19:2 33:5 46:11
195:3 253:20		216:15 219:7	harming 205:16	64:14 85:11
262:2 265:4	238:23 286:19 367:7	221:17 230:13		118:4 121:12
275:11 294:7		239:4 253:5,6	Harrelson	143:5 154:24
311:11 331:22	handwritten	259:19 264:21,	162:3	168:13 179:8,
350:17,25 359:2	69:8	23 273:15	harrowing	13,18 180:23
391:22	hanging	275:4,6 277:9,	214:21	181:23 197:4
handcuffed	27:21 62:14	16 293:24	Harry	244:15 256:13
nanucuneu	234:20	296:11 311:4,5	258:12 259:3,4	269:22 281:14,

19 284:11	249:5,6 252:2	343:19 346:4,10	heart's	helpful
298:12,16	283:17 298:18,	347:10,20	325:13	39:13 66:8
302:17 303:12,	21 318:24 331:8	349:10,11 352:7	hearted	184:8,9 216:2
18 322:7 429:15	336:8 344:2,5	355:16 357:21	318:9	316:20,21
healthy	358:18 378:10	359:18 360:21,	heated	318:10 327:9
317:24	383:20 386:15	23 366:8 379:9	170:7 269:16	helping
hear	389:6 392:1	382:17,24	421:11	70:3 71:15
17:25 22:10	398:25 402:23	383:24 385:7,8	Heath	90:12 157:5
37:1 71:9 76:20	407:17 418:16	386:5,6 387:14	258:9	207:22 212:20
98:18 115:11	426:10	391:17 402:11	heavily	222:24 267:11
134:18 145:6	hearing	410:23 412:12	77:3 173:5	422:2
157:2 166:15	16:22 35:14	418:14 421:12	396:8	helps
191:11,12	36:23 37:10	424:19 434:4	heavy	76:11 215:11,
202:11 203:2	51:11,20 53:17	hearings	180:2 181:4	16,17 223:3
208:20 210:11	60:20 61:14	17:13 37:21	197:25 242:11	224:4 307:16
227:22 228:23	62:12,13,16	44:21 95:6,10	247:14 293:12	here's
240:20 277:16	63:10,25 70:19,	111:25 166:9	310:17 330:12	23:20
292:3,6 296:11	20 97:23 104:23	175:9 184:6		hesitant
309:12,14,15	123:7 132:21	190:22 191:1,22	heavyweight 433:13	61:9
313:8 328:20	137:9 149:2	193:8,10,11		hey
333:23 358:18	160:7 174:21	194:3,19 195:2	height	215:5 244:5
360:6,8 361:17	175:2,7,17	196:2,22	307:2	He's
378:22 390:22	176:12 180:1	211:15,25	heightened	324:18
391:22 394:1	181:14 182:8,	212:4,21 213:15	171:5 178:23	
395:13 399:6	13,23 192:13	236:23 248:14	179:1 421:7	hiccup
403:15 410:3	193:11,15	279:16 303:23	held	106:3
422:13 424:2	200:1,2,4,7,8	314:18 315:21	95:24 181:19	hiding
heard	207:14 210:13	319:8,24 327:21	197:21 198:14,	80:5
16:6 32:11	211:22 212:20	331:15 343:21	21 199:22	high
34:24 47:24,25	214:4 229:9,10,	365:23 425:7,24	202:25 203:1	15:19 61:24
48:21 51:21	22 234:7,9	hearken	207:12,24,25	84:15 115:18
55:23 68:21	237:10,18 238:2	55:6 235:2	216:10 221:2,14	120:18,19
77:6 86:16	249:11 272:9,10	Hearn	229:14 233:7	137:16 145:7
120:7 128:22	280:22,25	333:5,6	246:24 247:10	174:2 198:14
129:1 165:9	293:21 294:4	hears	363:11 379:9	199:22 213:14
167:18 174:18,	298:11,15,19	318:2	helped	216:10 307:8
21,24 181:7,8,9	303:11,14,17,24	heart	16:1 52:13	361:7 416:22
188:3 191:2	308:24 314:16,	15:24 27:10,16	126:12 127:13	high-
194:10,17	18 318:7 324:24	36:15 159:4	128:1 158:6,14	56:1 89:6
207:10 210:5	325:1 327:3,11	226:21 239:6	250:17 267:12	high-end
225:9 228:4	328:12 334:16	251:23 359:8	287:20 314:13	91:25
233:19,20	337:13,24	360:16 420:19	315:2 362:20	high-stakes
234:3,4,14,22	339:14 340:17	432:8	415:16	134:20
		132.0		
	1	I	1	1

	1 12 12	1 100 20 11 2 1	1 - 10	1.
highest	136:18	400:23 417:24	57:5,17,19	hopes
26:13 94:5	history	420:24 421:24	140:10 141:10,	217:16
197:11 198:21	74:23 179:23	426:1 427:19	12 150:25	hoping
205:2 233:7	220:2 226:14	430:25 431:2,17	151:25 152:2	299:7
361:7	287:12	432:1	163:10 164:7,9	horrible
highlight	hit	Holmes'	187:5,7 188:15	356:7
286:17 309:22	13:22 26:17	339:13 341:2	240:24 241:6,8	horrific
382:16 383:12	48:16 211:3	353:1	253:21 254:5,7	350:11
highlighting	218:20 219:12	home	265:6,13,15	horrifying
22:13 291:25	220:1 237:15	42:15 72:16	294:8 295:3,5	363:14
highlights	hits	76:15,22 117:5,	331:23 332:18,	Horry
384:22	208:3	7,13 237:8	20	42:3 259:1,10
highly	hitting	255:13 279:7	honored	hose
32:23 60:4	40:1	280:3 293:23	14:12 286:22	22:6
73:13,14 124:23	hold	298:22 304:2	honoring	hotly
134:9 162:8	67:25 124:12	334:14 358:21	396:6	260:17
256:1 300:17	146:18 174:1	374:9,11	hoot	
329:13	183:5 199:13	394:15,16	238:6	hottest 326:13
highly-	202:18 217:5	396:4,6 401:16,	hope	
trafficked	224:11,20 361:6	22 432:5 434:1	14:9,12 15:10	hour
202:13	384:10 404:25	hone	16:1,12 17:7,8	40:16 240:17
hill	holding	324:21	18:12 32:1 37:5,	404:6,23 405:18
163:3 240:5	124:1 209:21	honest	12 45:5,10	hours
333:6	387:4	45:21 63:5	49:11 59:19	102:17 285:10
Hills	holdout	158:24 225:24	66:12 106:15	302:7 323:13
333:7	260:19	301:2 342:4	125:12 141:24	336:11 362:3
Hinson	hole	423:3	142:5,6,8,12,15	house
164:14,18,19	101:25 422:11	honestly	146:19,22	70:21 179:11
168:10 169:17		115:25 368:22	147:14 153:7,11	227:8 258:12
313:17,19,22	holiday	honesty	185:19 189:19,	259:3 347:9,12
322:4 323:18	150:17 293:25 311:4 433:25	23:25 273:18	21 217:25	405:11
329:6		honor	236:17,20	household
HIPAA	holidays	31:19 108:11,12	242:16,23 243:1	15:15
412:15	374:19	170:1 189:3	244:6 247:20	housekeeping
	Holmes	235:11 250:11	255:19 290:20	19:9 33:10
hire	337:8,14	282:14 298:3	301:11 330:24	46:18 64:17
94:25	340:13,19 347:6	361:10 365:15	348:12 354:14	87:1 118:10
hired	349:24 351:17,	419:25 431:18	356:10 375:23	143:13 150:6
72:22 114:3	22 356:3 357:8,	Honorable	381:12 416:14	155:5 168:19
hires	18 359:19	11:7,18,21,23	434:12	200:14 256:21
114:4,7	361:23 363:25	29:15 30:1,3	hoped	270:3 284:18
Hispanic	377:22 378:4	42:23 43:12,14	18:11	301:14 322:15
133:15 135:16	381:1,9,21	12.23 13.12,17		

Howard	128:20 129:16	152:1 164:5,8	impacts	imposed
43:2	248:17,18	187:3,6 241:4,7	181:23	399:3,7 402:8
huge	376:24 406:3	254:3,6 265:11,	impairment	imposing
297:12	hurts	14 276:3,6,9	179:17	220:12
Hugh	17:25	295:1,4 312:2,5	impartial	impossible
162:3	husband	332:16,19	153:7 292:16	21:9 146:13
human	30:8 274:3	335:3,6,9,12	imperative	148:7
67:20 206:24	277:12 288:5	378:23	26:19	impressed
298:12,16	333:3 349:2	identified	implemented	23:12 106:8
303:12,18 370:6	385:19,20,23,24	30:23 112:21	191:1	214:11 272:2
390:23 423:24	431:14	263:10	implementing	273:19 306:9
humanity	husband's	identify	150:2	impresses
206:12	385:9	338:24	implications	23:11
humbled	hustling	identifying	37:3 134:15	impression
99:1 106:15	191:18	38:22	implied	200:6 209:8
262:23 263:5		identity	327:21 328:8	214:20
humbling	I	38:20	impliedly	imprint
115:8		ignorance	272:18	148:17
humdrum	i.e.	212:23	implore	improper
75:22	102:24	ignore	344:7	340:12
humiliate	ICPC	200:12	importance	impropriety
362:9	53:24 54:5,9	III	15:16 31:17	42:9 77:17
humility	ICPCS	240:24 241:6,9	99:22 131:9	107:11 139:10
150:1 155:3	54:3	ill	161:11 395:23	252:24 264:16
humor	idea	66:6		274:22 293:11
32:18 142:7	28:1 136:18	imagine	important 24:20 32:6,7	310:15 330:11
147:20	192:4 216:9	229:14 343:24	35:22 37:7	351:24 353:17
hundred	288:18,24	immature	48:22 54:19	356:5 433:11
214:7	326:25 365:25	237:21	68:16,24 75:13	improve
hundreds	366:1 368:22	immediately	82:14,15 98:12	59:12 101:15
115:15,16	388:2 392:4	90:21 112:16	102:23 104:11	171:12,13
hunt	403:8	177:20 199:4	129:2 161:8	185:23 186:2
178:3	ideas	219:18	170:13 171:22	189:9 200:13
	105:18 220:23	immense	172:7,17	204:17 212:5
hurrah 214:17	316:20	305:11	175:11,14 189:3	214:6 225:16
	identification	impact	222:9 247:2	improved
hurried 63:21	11:16,19,22	68:15 99:17	249:4 252:14	18:20 59:10
	29:24 30:2	171:21 213:25	333:10 334:9	97:2 197:16
hurry	43:10,13 57:15,	251:18,22	338:10 348:14	309:1
319:25	18 78:19,22	362:11	419:25 421:17	improvement
hurt	108:14,17	impacted	importantly	101:9 212:3
23:17 96:24	141:8,11 151:23	238:16	379:15	
		250.10		
	I	I	1	1

	1	1	1	1
improvements	64:23 87:11	indirect	379:12	18 339:10,20,23
101:14 299:18	94:18 118:20	139:9	Infrastructure	340:10,16,23
improving	143:18 155:11	indirectly	201:22	342:20 343:17
204:21	168:24 200:20	83:3 285:10	ingratiating	345:18 346:14
in-person	244:25 257:1	302:7 323:13	244:4	347:23 348:25
103:17,20	270:8 276:1	individual	ingredients	349:15,23
inability	294:23 311:25	72:15 283:15	392:13	352:6,14,25
133:12,19	356:3 428:22	301:9 363:1	inherently	355:3 384:13
inappropriate	429:21	370:3 384:8	319:13	415:2
12:9 362:8	income	432:2,19	inherited	instances
386:1	316:7 347:7,12,	individual's	206:16 229:5	56:18 64:2
incarceration	17	306:15	initial	136:14 191:7
117:5,7,13	inconsistent	individuals	51:19 53:21	209:6 210:16
incessant	172:2 427:25	99:8 115:16	341:4,6	282:16 299:24
156:24	inconvenience	136:8 182:15	initially	316:23 341:12,
incident	230:19	327:22 384:10	34:20 48:6,14,	14 353:24
362:4	incorporated	429:1	17 69:8 88:19	357:20
inclined	20:10 34:10	industry	103:21 235:15	instituted
408:16	47:19 65:19	90:18	366:18	195:21
include	88:6 119:15	ineffective	input	institution
105:15 126:19	144:13 156:6	135:11	227:23 228:1	204:22 205:1
241:12	169:19 201:17	inflexible	317:6 356:18	363:7
included	245:16 257:22	61:7	inquire	institutional
38:24 80:20	271:3 285:17	influenced	386:20	197:15 224:7
83:21 109:25	302:25 430:16	124:22	inquiry	225:1
153:17 276:22	increase	informally	276:20 312:22	instructed
278:6 296:1,21	38:12	22:11	333:12 341:15	183:7 359:21
312:23 313:24	increased	information	inquisition	instructions
333:14 380:14	228:9	17:23 38:22	370:25	177:7 393:4,25
383:10 384:17	increasing	39:9 97:22	insensitive	394:1
385:1,12 416:24	135:16	106:1 132:19	232:12	instrumental
includes	incredible	161:7 171:11,15	insisted	304:3
12:15 30:13	176:17 261:4	176:13 180:25	199:7	insurance
41:24 58:6 79:6	incumbent	194:4 212:13		90:16 281:19
109:2 140:20	67:16	227:25 336:20	insistent	integrity
151:10 163:18	independent	337:4 338:22	198:23	27:9 41:17
187:13 195:10	301:7	341:21 342:2	insisting	171:6 172:9
254:11 266:8	indifferent	351:6 353:23	205:8	179:2 268:17
346:24	204:18	378:12 381:9	instance	273:17 363:9
including	indigent	382:3 385:9	170:21 210:22	intellect
19:15 33:15	89:19 179:5	informed	217:18 320:4	114:23 192:19
45:24 46:24		320:4 351:21	325:18 337:11,	217:13 324:18
	I	I	1	I

intellectual	intents	intompotor	intimidation	involved
intellectual 92:9	intents 428:17	interpreter 131:25 132:5,9,	intimidation 371:10	involved 35:15 37:24,25
	interact	10,13,16,18	intramurals	38:3 43:22
intelligence 32:18	171:18 317:25	133:16	307:15	45:24 82:13,20
		interpreters		83:2 85:15 92:1
intelligent 16:19 165:16	interaction 316:11	133:25 134:6,7	intricate 82:4	116:21 126:24
243:10 256:2		137:17		129:13,14
283:24 300:17	interactions	interpreting	intrigued	150:22 177:22
329:10,13 388:9	190:13	135:4 317:16	50:3 210:7	212:22 219:20
329.10,13 388.9 intend	interest		introduce	220:13 234:23
	12:21 13:3	interrupt	12:2 30:7 57:13	256:4 328:9
199:23 341:19	30:17 44:3	350:6 380:7	265:19 277:9	339:6 368:21
383:6 394:23 419:2	58:11 60:6	388:3 396:14	295:11 332:25	396:9,18
	76:17 79:11	interrupting	376:11	involves
intended	109:7 141:1	407:25	introduced	54:1 367:3
129:10 236:6	151:16 157:1	interstate	43:8 345:8	involving
417:15 423:5	163:20 180:12	114:1,10 126:25	375:20	82:3,4,7 166:6
intense	182:4 183:9 187:19 224:4	intervene	introduction	281:25 316:6
156:25 421:21	232:8 241:18	20:2 34:2 47:11	58:2 314:20	351:18 352:17,
intent		65:9 119:7	introspective	19 409:12
19:11,19 33:12,	254:17 266:13, 16 277:3 296:7	144:5 169:11	216:8	ironically
19 46:20 47:3	313:4 314:24	201:7 257:13	investigated	168:6
64:19 65:2 87:7,	333:19 352:17	270:20 285:2	295:24 312:21	irritable
15 118:16,24	426:22 434:8	301:22 322:24	333:11	62:21 63:2
134:21 143:14,		341:20 430:8	investigation	Isn't
22 155:7,15	interested	interview	20:9 34:9 47:18	402:20
168:20 169:3	50:8 73:1 308:11	16:5 58:4 60:13	50:23 65:18	
200:17,24		68:22 84:5,7	88:5 119:14	issue
244:21 245:4	interesting	103:17,18,20	144:12 156:5	25:19 51:18,22
256:22 257:5	132:3 290:17	104:3,11,19,20	169:18 201:16	54:20 94:6,19
270:4,12 284:19 285:4 301:15	316:22 345:23	105:2,3,5,10,15,	245:15 254:9	104:5 117:6
302:1 317:18	346:2 389:23,25	25 197:9 198:1	257:21 271:2	136:24 174:4,5
302.1 317.18	interests	225:4	285:16 295:25	175:2,5 183:1 194:8 198:12,
378:12 402:17	409:18	interviews	302:24 323:19	16,17 199:15
429:17,25	interfere	83:23 197:10	430:15	232:11 234:5
429:17,23 intention	396:15	313:12	investigations	300:9 340:14
167:1 431:20	interns	intimately	50:22	346:2 347:4
	388:18	339:6	invite	350:1 380:1
intentional	interpret	intimidate	208:14 333:24	395:15,17
415:2 417:12	121:15 132:14	363:5 384:8	377:22	403:14 404:12,
420:9	317:22 400:8	419:6 423:6	involve	14 405:8,9
intentionally	interpretation	intimidated	127:9	406:5,8,9
63:20	134:18 135:4	209:11 215:4		
L				

413:23 415:21	400:15 404:25	Jennifer	12 10 22 212.1	judge
	412:22 415:19,	333:4,7	12,19,22 312:1, 4,7 313:10,19,	11:2,12,15,25
issued	22	ĺ ,	20,25 314:4	12:11,13,25
229:16,21 231:6		Jim	315:4,12,23	13:9,10,14,16
379:16 383:21	I've	73:20,22	315.4,12,23	14:13,19 15:2,7,
384:5,18 402:3	13:22 227:20	Jimbo	11 320:11,25	12,14 16:11,14
issues	387:13	41:19	322:5,13	18:2,4,25 20:15,
19:9 33:10 37:6		JMSC	323:11,20,25	16.2,4,23 20.13,
46:18 48:25	J	354:13		
52:7 63:12	• • • •	job	328:24 329:3,9, 21,25 330:3,5,	4,8,15,16,19,22, 23 23:2,8 24:4,
64:18 82:3,4,14,	jail	13:18 14:9	19,25	
15 87:2 95:4	117:10 134:15,	17:24 18:7 21:8		9,15 25:1,4,7,8, 18,24 26:1,4,11,
98:1 113:22	16 224:21 244:7	31:17 40:25	Johnson's	
118:11 136:22	399:13 403:21	58:21 59:7 77:8	61:25	15,25 27:2,4,13,
137:5,14 143:13	409:7	98:12 122:19	joined	19,25 28:4,8,12,
153:20 155:5	Jalon	127:24 129:7	312:12 321:16	25 29:4,7,8,12,
166:5,11 179:8,	351:15,21	134:8 137:13	333:2	17,19,23 30:8,
15 181:22,24	James	160:25 172:10	joint	11,25 31:3,5,6,
200:14 239:4	240:24 241:6,9	186:3 190:23	127:11,17,25	11,20,21 32:16,
256:22 262:24	Jan	193:25 204:25	379:18	20,21 33:3,10
270:3 301:15	331:23 332:3,5,	208:13 255:18	Jonathan	34:15,18 35:4,6,
336:21 344:3	18,21	261:14 267:15,	78:10,13,21,23	10,18 36:20
346:5 369:19	January	17 273:21	Jordan	37:14,18 40:10,
379:6 384:18,25	167:15 379:10	282:7,10,11,12	34:14,15,19	12 41:3,7,21
386:11 391:10	384:23	297:6 299:15	41:8 47:22,23	42:1,13,17,20,
406:5 409:22	Jay	342:17 354:18	48:5 49:8,10	21 43:2,3,4,5,9,
issuing	405:25	359:22,23 390:9	88:10,11,12	17,19 44:11,13,
327:18,19	Jefferson	411:21 421:14	91:5,10 227:15,	14,16 45:6,16,
items	173:12	426:20 432:23	16 245:19,20	20,25 46:1,4,6,
44:8 108:8	Jeffries	jobs	246:1,5,14,15	9,12,18 47:19,
244:20 434:10	294:5,8,12,16,	221:18 268:9	391:13,14	24 48:3,6,13,14,
it's	21,25 295:3,6,	325:14	395:6,8,17	19 49:8,13,16,
84:17 104:21	13,14,19	Joe	397:6 398:3	24 50:2,5 52:12
108:20 156:18	296:14,23	18:2	399:1	54:25 55:17
242:16 253:17	297:1,2 300:13	John	Josh	56:6,8,23 57:1,
271:22 346:16	302:15 303:5,6	79:14,23 80:12,	305:2	10,21 58:18,24,
l'd	302:13 303:5,0	18 86:11,24,25	journey	25 59:2,4,10,22
286:22	305:3,5 306:4,8	88:4,9 103:12	415:16	60:5 61:4,17,18,
1'll	308:6 310:24	120:3 241:21,25		20,23,25 62:20
330:3 415:22	311:5,8	244:13 245:14	joy 44:22	63:7 64:7,9,17,
I'm	, ,	Johnson		18 66:1,9,20
40:24 358:17	Jeffries'	61:6,17,21,23	Jr	67:2,6 69:10
359:8 395:9	305:9	66:14 311:10,	61:6 140:10	73:9,14,17,20,
337.0 373.7		00.14 511.10,	141:10,13	25 74:15,18,19
	•	•	•	•

75:7,19,20 76:9,	182:1,2,5,7	2,10,20,24	334:18,25	431:1,3,14
18 77:11,20,24	184:2,16	256:11,20,21	337:7,14 339:13	432:1,24 433:2,
81:1,3,5,7,17	185:15,18	257:19 258:4,5,	340:13,19 341:2	4,19 434:2
83:16 94:17	186:16,17,20,	7,8,10,14,16,21	347:6 348:25	judge's
95:20 96:3	22,23,24 187:2,	259:12,23	349:24 351:17,	55:17 60:17
99:20,25 101:3	9 188:2,10,12,	260:1,2,6,22,25	22 352:11	423:20
102:5,15 104:8,	18,20,21	261:9,19 262:7,	353:1,14 357:7,	judges
12 110:5,7,10	190:11,15,20,24	9,12,14,23	8,12,14,16,18	21:4,22 24:8
111:18 114:25	192:18 193:19	263:13,14	359:19 361:23	26:8,19 38:1
122:6 123:23	194:9,17,18	264:1,7,20,23	363:25 371:20	39:14 44:20
124:2,7,8,21,24	196:23 197:7,13	265:1,2,10,21	377:22 378:4,5,	55:25 59:16,18
125:7,8 127:25	200:14 201:20,	266:1,4,22	10,14,19 379:1	60:1 63:4 66:14,
129:4 132:1,7,	21 202:22,23	267:1,2,6,18	380:7,15 381:1,	16,18,24 67:14,
17 140:1,2,12,	203:18 205:22,	268:12,16,19,	9,21 382:12,15	17,22,23 76:12
16,17 141:15,	24 208:18,19,22	21,25 269:2,20	384:8,17,22	94:4 95:4 98:13,
16,18 142:1,17	211:11,19,20	270:3 271:5,9	387:11,18,22	15,24 99:3,13
143:3,12,13	213:6 214:21	272:3 273:22,24	388:4 389:17,19	100:17 103:8
145:10 146:4,7,	215:12,14,18	274:3,4,13,18	391:15 392:14	112:1 123:6,8,9,
9,18 147:2,5,6	216:24 217:19	275:2,3,6	394:21 395:7,	10,12,14 124:15
148:5 149:5,10	218:7,8 220:6	278:12,14 280:8	16,19 399:4,8,	128:13 130:1,5
150:3,5,13,17,	222:5,18	281:6 282:5,12	10,15,18,22	136:7,22 149:18
20,24 151:5,7	223:16,18	290:11,20	400:3,14,16,23,	152:21,24
152:4,7,8,10,12,	224:3,15	294:5,6,12,13,	24 402:3,21	160:9,16,22
16,17,25 153:4,	225:10,15 226:8	16,21,25 295:13	403:24 404:19,	170:12 173:7
14,18,20,22	227:10,13,16	296:14,22	24 405:1,4,5,23	178:25 179:1,7
154:9,13,18,25	228:18 229:5	297:1,2,3,5,7,10	406:9,24 407:2,	184:11,12,14
155:4,6 156:18,	231:12 232:4,6,	298:2,4,7 299:5,	6 409:11,14,19,	195:25 196:16
21 157:2,18,21	24 233:12,13,14	9,15,24 300:13,	21 410:5,8,19	202:14,20 203:6
159:4,7 161:2,5,	234:1,6,10,24	22 301:1,8,14	411:10,17	204:4 205:6
22 162:6,10,20,	236:20 239:2,8,	302:15 303:5,6,	412:1,23 413:12	214:10 215:17
22 163:5,7,8,10,	18,19 240:3,7,	7 304:11,13,19	416:5 417:24	216:2 217:3
15,16 164:11,	11,12,15,21	305:3 306:4,8,	418:6,21	222:7,20 223:8,
17,19,20,22,24	241:3,10,23,24,	20 308:6,8,10	419:18,21	15 233:9 237:1
165:4,10,16	25 242:1,20,21	309:22 310:24	420:4,12,24	259:9,10,15
166:12,17	243:10 244:13,	311:5,8 314:5,7,	421:14,23	260:9 272:5
167:14,16	20 245:21,24	14 315:7 316:17	422:8,16 424:6,	299:13 320:8
168:9,11 169:19	246:3,13,16,21	320:7 324:4,5,9,	7,10,15,21	357:5,11,25
170:2,6,20,22,	247:5,15	13,14,16,19	425:17,22	363:5 387:6
24 171:1,23	249:10,24	325:16 326:9	426:10,16,17,19	390:23 404:14
172:12 173:22	250:6,7,22	327:14 328:1	427:3,10,14,16,	412:19 413:7
175:10,13,14,21	252:17 253:1,4,	331:19,20	19 428:9,21	416:18,24
176:16,18	5,8,11,12,17	332:3,5,6,12,15	429:7,9,17	421:13,25 425:3
178:19,21	254:1,24 255:1,	333:3,22	430:19,25	432:7
	· '	'		•

	I	1	1	
judgeship	316:24 317:1	justice's	keen	148:22 153:18
327:4	362:24 434:9	21:18	16:21	157:18 166:17
judging	Judy	justification	keenly	170:20 179:16
63:13	154:9 333:5	416:12	198:6	184:19 194:25
judgment	Julia	Justin	keeping	203:3 208:19
85:24 147:1	426:15,16	277:12	99:14 431:15	209:3,25
192:23	Julio	juvenile	Kendrick	214:13,15,18
judgments	346:19,20	83:1 91:24	305:2	217:12 222:11
63:11 315:18	July	125:19 161:13	key	225:23 242:11,
judicial	14:19 51:14	192:7,9,12,14,	110:8	21 243:3,18
16:24 19:6 33:9	339:10,14,16	23 193:2,7,8,9,	kick	245:25 246:3
42:3 46:16	346:14,17,20	12,17,18,20	360:5 392:1	247:5,20 248:23
58:22 60:2,7	jump	194:3 218:24	kicked	258:3 259:8
64:12 77:16	31:1 109:12	219:1,14 220:9,	387:12,14	261:7 273:2
80:16 85:14	123:18	13 361:14	kid	283:24 284:5
87:3,4 110:21	June	369:19 374:25	415:14	287:21 292:10
113:17 114:16	15:2 339:15,18	375:11,13,17	kids	300:6 306:17
118:8,11,12	340:11 346:17,	382:19,22	157:5 161:14,15	318:9 324:21
124:25 129:8	18 347:20,21	383:2,3,6	251:21 312:15	325:3 326:10,23
135:11 143:9	349:23 350:13	388:15 389:16,	361:15 376:10	350:5 365:11,
154:2,21 158:14	351:14 383:24	20 391:24	403:7 404:15,17	21,23 366:20
165:14,18	junky	392:4,18 393:7,	405:11 411:10	367:2 370:1
168:17 179:2	126:5	8,15,16,17	415:5,6 423:21	371:10 372:12
197:5 229:13	jurisdiction	394:25 398:5	Kim	374:4 375:15,18
243:11 244:19	177:10,21	406:21 413:8	164:7,10	376:9,14 380:24
256:17 267:24	280:17 317:5,14	419:5,9,13	Kimaka	381:7 387:16,17
268:19,24	318:1 344:15	juveniles		423:22,24 432:20
269:5,6 270:1	jurist	193:22 194:1	164:7,10	
274:15 276:18	153:23 261:10	256:7 361:23	Kinard	kindly
284:15 295:23		387:6,23 391:19	61:6 66:14	424:1
302:21 312:19	jury 224:23 308:14,	394:18,21 395:1	kind	kindness
322:11 333:10	25 309:10	398:22 399:18,	13:22 24:23	167:5 243:12
338:17 341:17		24 400:7	28:7 35:20 67:2,	255:25 268:17
343:24 354:15	justice 83:1 91:24		10,18 68:3	269:17
355:4,5,6,7,21,	93:21 94:10	K	69:21 74:15	kinds
22,25 362:22	93:21 94:10 152:22 160:19		75:19 76:3	92:7 199:19
363:8,23 379:2		Kathleen	83:19 88:22	204:9 259:17
428:10,18	165:2 174:13 177:2 178:2	333:5	90:14 92:18,19	307:24 309:14
429:12		Kathy	93:16 94:12	Kittredge
judiciary	225:7 229:16,20	41:16,24	103:10 125:4	152:22
32:20 153:22	231:6,22 362:22	keeled	133:11,20	knew
282:17,19	363:9 393:8	66:8	142:22 145:2,23	26:8 31:17
299:25 300:2	416:25		146:21,25 147:1	49:19 73:25

144:19 165:22	384:13 387:20,	360:1	128:11 129:9	316:2,12,14,19
171:1 196:7	25 392:18	largely	137:25 138:19	317:9,11,17,22
235:17 260:23	393:18 394:17	128:7 327:22	139:10 142:8,9	318:9,13,21,22
261:8,9,14	395:22 398:21	larger	147:8,12 151:13	319:4,9 324:6,
279:10,12 346:6	399:23 400:18	81:12 89:9	154:11 156:11,	23 325:14
362:12,13,16	412:20 414:3	100:16	21,22 157:4	327:1,15 329:1
365:21 369:2,3,	418:24 422:22	largest	159:16 161:22	330:9 347:5
5 422:18	423:3,6 424:12	287:11	162:15 163:23	349:20 367:19
knowing	lady	lastly	165:14,19	390:5,12
137:9	169:25 237:12	33:10 137:20	171:17 179:5	401:23,24
knowledge	259:1 260:17	268:23 356:5	183:25 184:1,2,	405:21 427:5,6,
46:2 67:10	344:21,24	late	10,13,15,19	8,14,20,25
114:16 153:21	373:23 415:6	57:25 140:6	185:11 186:9,13	428:4,5
165:14,19 191:3	laid	288:5 320:5	187:16 189:14	laws
192:19 292:11	319:22	365:4,6	191:3 192:20	12:18 22:23
318:12 370:14,	Lakeisha	Latin	199:18 206:1	28:20 42:9
22,23 380:13	295:14	110:13	211:19 217:22	43:25 56:19
knowledgeable	landed	Latino	218:23 219:19	58:8 79:9 109:5
22:22 83:14	90:8	121:22	225:18 235:16,	140:23 150:8
189:14,15,16	Lander	latitude	18,23 236:11	208:5,7 241:15
318:9 329:12	290:19	397:17 408:16	237:3 239:22	254:14 264:13
Kristian	landfill		247:12 250:15	276:24 293:10
275:12,16	291:2,4	Laude	251:15 252:22	296:4 310:16
276:5,8,10	lane	432:3,4	256:1 266:10	313:1 333:16
278:7	231:22	Laurens	274:23 276:13	412:15 433:10
kudos	language	170:21	278:12,18,19	lawsuit
28:9 174:7	131:11,13	law	279:1,3,6,7,13,	14:23 320:16
186:4	133:20 135:14	14:1 16:21	24 280:2,4,6,8,	lawsuits
	238:3 306:13	22:16 26:9	10,12,14,16	14:14 85:15
$oxed{\mathbf{L}}$	361:5 399:12	30:15 45:15	281:6,8,10,24	116:22
	languages	46:3 50:11,12	282:2,6 283:2,3,	lawyer
lack	132:25	52:2 55:8 56:1	11 284:2 286:2,	49:6 55:16 61:3,
115:3 192:22	languish	75:12 77:15	4,7,13 287:22 288:14,17	17 69:1,2,9,12
193:2 349:1	308:18	82:21 89:4,6,8,	289:13,17	95:10 126:6,7,
386:3 428:22		9,19,23 94:20 99:6 100:10	291:6,12 292:13	22 128:24
Lacy	large 20:25 82:16	107:10 111:3,16	297:3 298:4,6,	132:4,15 133:17
287:25	90:15 101:19	113:14 114:17	10,24 299:1,10	138:8 159:2
ladders	113:3 202:16,18	116:6,7 120:3,	304:21,22	170:16 178:18
124:25	260:9 278:21,25	20 121:22	309:17 310:14	191:23 192:1
ladies	279:9 287:7,8,	122:3,9,10	314:5,8,14,21,	195:3,8 198:19
364:11 382:17	11 288:19	123:23 124:5	22,23,25 315:1,	199:9,13,15
383:4,10,15	308:12 327:20	125:4,25 126:5	4,6,11,13,15,24	205:5 207:13,19
	300.12 321.20	123.7,23 120.3	.,0,11,10,10,1	224:20 226:2

228:21 230:22	leadership	legal	lesson	letting
232:21 234:2	146:25 156:20	36:14 55:13	371:7 404:20,21	214:2 249:1
237:6 238:8	158:11	81:2 82:4 110:6	let alone	370:17
249:8 288:22,25	leading	174:22,25 185:1	176:18	let's
292:7 305:20	105:25 148:7	189:4 190:19	Letitia	289:16 356:1
325:24 328:1	370:9	243:25 278:13	124:24	level
357:4,5 373:11	league	292:19 297:4	letter	26:22 60:24
388:14 405:14,	72:20	314:6,10 318:8	19:11,19 27:11	115:24 124:9
16 413:25	learn	331:6,11	28:20 33:11,19	152:13 192:2
lawyer's	32:10 59:11	340:20,24	41:24 46:19	205:2 255:6,16
55:16 60:18	216:8 238:19	343:25 352:12	47:3 55:3,4	297:21 298:2,22
195:5 207:16	255:14 324:16	legend	56:19 64:19	299:5 303:21
lawyers	371:8	226:15	65:1 75:12	304:2 306:15
14:6 16:2 22:24	learned	legislating	77:15 87:7,15	316:10 318:15
25:16 26:19	31:12 36:12	317:20	107:9 118:16,24	392:9
39:1,4,16,17	59:9 61:19	legislation	143:14,22 150:9	levity
40:5 63:16 66:8	66:18 325:16	216:1 227:24	155:6,15 162:15	364:17
69:22 70:2,16	learning	316:3 317:4,16	168:20 169:3	Lexington
71:9,24 76:17	13:24 193:5	legislative	186:8 190:5	21:7,20 26:6
95:5 100:12	leave	250:18	200:17,24	205:10 308:4,21
102:16 111:4	62:2 183:16	legislator	239:22 244:21	406:18
136:22 146:12,	200:3 301:11	19:20 33:20	245:4 252:21	Liberty
21 148:8 158:20	330:3 388:18	47:4 65:3 87:16	256:22 257:5	173:13,14
194:21 197:15	390:20 419:14	118:25 143:23	264:15 270:4,12	license
198:14,22	leaves	155:16 169:4	273:25 274:22	279:25 282:1
199:21 203:6	128:21 133:20	200:25 245:6	284:19 285:4	286:14 291:1
205:10 207:10,	leaving	257:6 260:22	293:9 301:15	licensed
23,24 209:11,22	387:24	270:13 284:20	302:1 305:8,22,	81:9 232:21
223:23 224:8	lecturing	301:17 322:17	24 310:13	lie
225:1,11 229:8	405:10	430:1	322:16 323:1	362:17
230:7 232:11	led	legislature	330:9 357:4	lied
233:6,10 234:2,	89:1 277:3	129:10	429:17,25 433:9	405:4,5
5,23 235:5 238:6 248:8	388:14	legitimate	letterhead	lies
273:3 364:11	left	177:14	41:25	363:19 370:1
387:9 391:4,5	124:18 207:15	legitimately	letters	372:11
414:1 428:14	230:16 235:2	402:19	27:8 55:22	life
lay	344:21 374:8	lend	73:12,19 147:16	13:17 74:4
129:18 182:9	375:9 388:25	17:23 49:16	173:9 174:8	75:20 92:24
lead	390:22 402:22	lengths	190:6 194:24	111:14 123:11,
13:6 83:8	417:20	203:21	202:5 252:4	16 189:6 207:17
278:24	legacy	lengthy	304:25 305:22,	214:25 229:14
270.24	16:3 55:8 147:8	197:6	25 413:25 414:4	236:5 237:22
	l	I .	l .	I .

				· C
247:20 248:4	321:9 341:15	litems	litigators	long
271:13 354:1	Lindi	136:8,21	203:9	27:13 34:17
358:21 361:6,19	43:17	literacy	litigatory	37:13 41:9
362:6 414:20	lines	424:4	408:8	53:10 70:8
415:11 421:18	192:2 327:8	literally	litigious	121:14 122:20
lifeline	354:5 382:12	27:17 96:14	40:8	124:18 145:6
144:24	386:16 424:5	133:24 204:4	live	147:10 179:6
lifetime	Linski	224:21 288:11	80:15 109:20	229:15 237:19,
307:21	324:5,13	litigant	110:19 136:13	21 238:2 267:17
light	liquor	17:20 36:5	180:6 202:17	282:5 291:1,22
125:13 148:5	279:25 282:1	94:13 95:22	361:5 362:6	296:16 300:7,8
203:4 219:13	286:14 290:22,	176:17 242:22	376:12,13	305:20 308:13,
220:12	24,25 291:1	243:23	386:25	14 390:5 391:20
lightly	Lisa	litigant's	lived	401:15 432:1
15:23 28:7	262:6	60:18	137:2 247:7,21,	longer
263:23 274:4,12	list	litigants	24 271:12	53:25 178:4
329:20	48:20 125:14	17:17 32:7	lives	184:5 215:17
likable	339:1 340:5	35:23 36:13	35:22 45:3	229:9,22 394:8
256:20	listed	62:8,14 63:15	99:19 114:8	401:20 425:4
likelihood	126:13	123:25 128:8	136:19 216:21	longest
84:12	listen	129:17,18 148:8	246:10 267:8	308:18
likes	26:5 54:18	158:23 166:20	305:11 356:9	looked
93:15	129:19 158:19	184:5,21,23	363:5 415:14	73:24 184:22
likewise	204:13 242:17	185:1 194:12	living	388:25
428:8	366:3 411:13	207:7 224:19	120:24 136:9	Lord's
liking	listened	228:10 232:20	390:7	290:14
176:22 242:5	204:8 232:7	237:4 256:3	lobby	lose
limit	listening	281:18 391:3	11:4	101:5 133:15,
38:13 138:9	63:10 96:12	428:6,13	local	23,24 170:25
154:5 180:19	115:9 130:4,5	litigating	157:10 210:8	185:1
limitations	188:3 375:5	328:14	211:6,7,8	loser
19:16 33:16	377:19 391:8	litigation	212:23 213:3	249:13
46:24 64:23	listens	68:16 89:7,10,	228:5,7,9	loses
87:12 118:21	256:4	11 101:18	lock	100:1 363:2,3
143:19 155:11		115:17 134:21	194:5 221:24	losing
168:25 200:21	litany 396:1	278:22,23	Lockemy	126:23 249:19
245:1 257:2		279:12,15,19	160:19	261:11
270:9 429:22	litem 92.4 94.12	287:8,13 328:10	logic	loss
limited	83:4 84:12	339:4 367:1	320:18	113:5 135:13
39:15 83:1	406:23 407:7	litigator	logical	lost
95:18 153:12	409:24,25 410:3 413:5 414:9	279:10 416:2	318:8 427:15	125:9 126:18
174:18 317:8	413.3 414:9		310.0 427.13	127:11,19 157:9
177.10 317.0				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	1	1		1

177:16 287:18	396:18	197:7 201:20,21	102:6 127:17	174:20,24,25
339:9 348:19	lots	208:18,19 218:7	158:6 319:21	175:22,24,25
354:1 378:3	123:25 199:2	222:18 225:11	363:12 407:12	177:13 182:25
426:3 431:13	205:20,21	227:13,16 232:6	maintaining	185:17 186:5
lot	loud	233:12,13	15:19 126:15	187:22,23
25:12 31:13,24,	199:3 387:1	239:2,18 240:3,	maintenance	199:25 205:1
25 34:21 36:12	love	7 326:9	111:7	208:2 211:25
49:3 50:20	50:3 73:10	made	major	212:25 214:1
51:12,21 59:13	76:10 120:25	17:9 18:10	75:1 161:24	216:15 217:1
61:8,23 66:17	141:24 145:14,	31:18 40:11	299:18	222:25 224:22
69:13 70:1,10,	15 147:8 219:1,	70:7 72:10,16	majoring	225:17 232:25
15 71:2,9,11	5 264:8 267:14	74:3 78:8 86:23	361:1	236:2 238:11
74:4 76:16	297:6 426:20	95:20,21 149:7	majority	239:1 240:17
91:25 114:9	431:14,16	167:21,25	83:13 113:24,25	249:22 251:20
122:23 124:6	loved	177:17 181:17	160:10 191:5	254:23 259:8
128:25 130:1	423:11	196:9,11 200:12	208:9 243:7,8	260:21 264:6
133:14 134:5	loves	204:12 205:10	269:4 278:17	266:20 268:9
135:13 136:7	141:23	212:21 219:13,	286:5	272:15 279:18
145:13 148:3	loving	15 223:13	make	281:3 282:8
161:7 165:3	24:8	231:23 238:14	12:23 13:4	296:10 299:22
172:2 176:8		239:16 248:4	17:17 21:16	301:3 307:9
180:2 184:25	low 115:18	269:7 275:20	28:15 30:22	312:14 324:1
193:3 196:20		288:8 294:20	32:5,12 38:19	326:15 327:16
223:7 224:25	Lowcountry	311:21 328:24	39:4 40:23 44:8	336:7,19,25
227:20 228:19	143:2 256:10	331:18 332:11	46:16 48:1	338:21,23
236:25 238:2	lower	334:13 337:13	50:10,12 53:24	344:14,18,24
242:9,11 244:9	26:21 216:15	338:18 345:11	58:1,14 60:19	350:20 358:20,
246:6 248:4	luck	361:9 369:11,25	62:13 63:11	21 359:7 378:9
262:4 264:1	56:12	378:2 385:5	67:17 68:8	394:6,14 403:3,
267:12 273:12	lucky	394:5 415:15	71:20,23 79:19	4 409:17
274:16 281:14	17:19 111:3	416:10 426:6	83:15 84:25	411:20,23
288:5 289:14	138:14 149:16	Madison	100:23,24 104:5	412:13 415:8
297:10 298:18,	263:3 268:18	317:23	106:12 109:14	426:7
23 301:3 307:17	427:21	magic	111:15 123:18,	makes
309:1 312:18	Luther	37:20	21 131:8 140:7	53:7 97:15
313:13 314:8	250:7	Magna	146:1 147:14	121:5 174:17
318:20 322:2		432:3,4	149:8 152:18	208:4 212:11
326:1 328:7	M	maiden	153:2,11 154:12	225:21 299:6
337:1 349:18		431:17	155:3 158:17	318:7 356:8
355:14 367:11,	Madden	main	160:1 161:4,18	390:11 399:12
12,13 368:3	186:22,23	16:24	165:1 167:9	makeup
373:9,10 375:25	187:2,5,8 188:2,	maintain	171:7 173:8	244:9
381:2 387:6	10,12,15,18,20			

making	manner	295:14 401:6,7	mattering	244:14,20
14:8 20:22	319:24 408:6	431:17	75:9	245:24 246:3,
45:14 69:8	Marbury	Marsh	matters	13,16,21 250:6
75:13 93:18	317:23	57:5,17,20	81:25 98:3	253:1,4,8,12,17,
156:25 160:2,3	Marcelo	Marshall	100:10 102:2	21 254:1,5,8,24
191:14 204:21	108:3,6,16,18	120:3	104:1 112:12	255:1,2,20,24
207:21 237:3	March	Martin	192:24 193:2	256:11,21
266:17 267:7	14:17 339:24	14:16,18 41:16	267:10 288:9	257:19 258:5,8,
327:14 356:17	Marguerite	marvelously	317:14 416:22	14,16,21 259:23
377:14,18,23	287:9 288:3	34:25	mature	260:6,25 261:19
397:11,13,15	Marianne	massage	45:25	262:7,9,12,14,
413:5 416:12	265:22	213:7	Mauldin	23 263:14
makings	Marine	massive	120:18,19	264:1,7,20,23
137:22	158:4,13 161:23	197:22	Max	Mciver
male	Marion		73:16	304:16,17,20
123:7		material	maximum	305:4 420:13,14
malice	250:13	159:10	153:12	422:5
23:18	marital	materials	Maynard	meaningful
 man	112:24	12:17 30:14	278:20 279:10	176:15 214:1
203:12 261:4	marked	43:24 58:7 79:8	281:12 287:3,6	385:16
414:19	11:16,19,22	109:4 140:22	· ·	meaningfully
manage	29:24 30:2	151:11 163:19	mayor 287:17	189:8
94:17 128:17	43:10,13 57:15,	187:15 241:14		means
175:1 211:9	18 78:19,22	254:13 266:9	ma'am	23:8 62:6 63:9
managed	108:14,17	276:23 296:2	338:11 353:18	69:6,9 149:14
211:13	141:8,11 148:15	312:24 333:15	356:15,20	178:20 185:5,8
	151:6,23 152:1	336:7 408:23	380:22 400:15	264:1 297:10
management 83:17 174:14	164:5,8 187:3,6	math	407:1 422:4	314:8 322:2
	241:4,7 254:3,6	17:12	424:9 434:6	327:24 368:2
manager	265:11,14	matter	Mceachin	meant
90:24	276:3,6,9 295:1,	14:21 15:4	42:21,23 43:2,3,	70:19,22 262:3
managing	4 312:2,5	36:16,23 86:4,	4,5,9,12,15	362:25 416:15
21:9 94:16	332:16,19	13 100:1 112:16	44:11,13,14	431:12
mandamus	335:3,6,9,12	168:8 171:13	45:6,16,25 46:4,	measures
167:20 168:3	378:23	199:18 217:15	9,12,19 47:19,	220:12
mandate	market	219:16 248:5	24,25 48:3 49:8,	meat
231:21	89:12	249:3 280:11,17	13,16,24 50:2	341:13
mandatory	marks	291:11 336:8	54:25 56:8,23	mechanical
37:23	91:11 197:11	351:9 358:1	57:1	206:24
maneuver	marriage	364:18 383:14	Mcgee	mechanism
272:11	53:3 85:20	385:2 401:9,14	240:12,15,21,24	
Manipulation	married	416:20	241:3,6,9,23,24,	350:23 409:16,
401:11	12:5 204:23		25 242:1	19,20

		<u> </u>		1012
medallion	members	men	messages	196:8
196:11,17 227:7	13:8 19:12,16,	321:9	38:15 236:17	Mill
media	25 22:10 33:12,	mental	messing	321:2
276:25 296:5	16,25 44:6	19:2 33:6 46:11	421:9	million
313:1 345:11,12	46:20,25 47:9	64:15 85:12	met	112:22
mediating	49:25 54:7	118:5 121:11	30:21 62:24	mince
82:8	64:19,23 65:7	143:5 154:24	72:24 79:14	214:8
Medicaid	79:17 87:8,12,	168:13 179:8,	105:20 120:19	mind
298:17,20	21 88:9 105:22	13,18 180:23	233:4 261:3	24:17 37:7 38:6
303:17,19,22,25	116:4 118:21	181:23 197:5	297:22	63:11 99:15
medical	119:5,19 129:9	244:15 256:13	method	112:16 144:21
36:4	141:5 143:15,19	269:22 284:11	216:6	181:24 199:5
medication	144:3,16 147:3	302:18 322:7	metric	200:1 354:3
281:21	155:7,12,21	429:15	148:12 239:10	391:18
meet	156:9 164:15	mentality	Metro	minded
21:10 194:8	166:21 167:10	93:17	297:14	427:2
217:23 232:14	168:21,25 169:9	mentally	Micah	mindful
277:10 305:16	176:6 185:14	113:20	431:5,6,7,9	15:13 166:25
354:20,22 355:8	188:7 200:15,	mention	Michael	167:8 176:13
431:5,7	17,21 201:5,18	362:9	14:25 431:8	180:9 181:13
meeting	218:5 227:8	mentioned	Michelle	minds
13:21 84:14	235:8 241:22	35:7 36:17 89:3	126:9 333:25	190:19
172:16 209:16	244:22 245:1,18	96:10 97:3		
211:19	252:10 256:23	120:15 129:21	334:2,7 335:5,7, 10	mine 102:11 124:17
	257:2,11,25	136:5 147:7		208:11 250:25
meetings 321:10	266:18,24	216:5 228:14	middle	260:20 290:19
	270:5,9,18	244:11 281:11	90:15 295:17	339:18 356:14
meets	271:7 284:25	286:3,13 306:20	Midland	
80:22 110:1	285:5,21 289:5	308:17 357:7,11	18:24	minimized
278:7 296:23	291:8 293:1	386:16 414:22	Midlands	97:7
314:1 354:19	301:21 302:2	mentor	284:8 302:15	minimum
Melia	303:3,7 309:20	66:24,25 77:4	322:4	354:24,25
173:11	322:22 323:2	124:17	midst	355:2,3,6,24
Melissa	329:2,15 358:10	mentors	350:11	ministers
262:6 275:16	364:20 368:4	160:20	midstream	432:17
member	377:13 386:19		417:21	minor
50:19 53:2,5	406:12 408:14	merged	midterm	72:20 127:3
55:3 118:17	422:23 429:18,	99:7	216:1	134:14 180:21
129:7 258:13	22 430:6	Merit	Mike	181:18 361:1
306:11 321:1,7,	432:21,25	276:18 295:23	271:22 431:6	379:18,21
19,20 323:7	membership	312:20 333:10	military	393:23 395:11
361:10	321:5,9	mess	15:15 157:23	414:15 426:22
		234:3	13.13 137.23	
	1	I	I .	1

			240.10.294.4	Mushag
minority 239:5,10	mistried 134:17 135:9	month 62:15 149:13	349:10 384:4	Muchas 139:20
,		202:9 255:11	motions	
minute	misunderstand	346:20	212:6,9 233:3	multiple
11:4 38:13 50:9	225:2		234:13 327:18	338:8
176:12 189:22	misunderstandi	months	motivated	multiply
251:7 396:12	ng	52:25 54:5	44:24 124:22	17:14
400:18 415:23,	392:12	135:10 230:15	motivates	multistate
24 417:18	mix	233:15 234:8	45:4	54:1
minutes	125:14	237:16 260:1	motivation	multitasking
35:15 37:22	mock	309:4,5	92:20	63:8
38:3,10 39:6,15,	121:21	Morgan	motor	municipal
22 40:8,19	model	41:16	314:17 315:21	299:5 300:22
57:25 93:19,20,	145:11 361:6	morning	319:8 325:3	301:1 308:8,10,
25 102:1 104:21	modification	11:1,2 13:10,11	mountaintop	11
105:7 109:12	127:5 176:19	20:15,16 25:6,8	56:5	myriad
140:6 187:21,22	mold	29:7,8 31:6	mousetrap	172:25
207:14 210:19	125:6	58:25 59:1	97:5 100:22	myth
238:25 398:13	mom	79:24,25 104:9	mouth	194:15
417:20	136:24 148:20	107:24,25	69:3,4 150:22	
miscommunicat	182:11 247:22	109:18 147:24	mouths	N
ed	321:11,23	188:21 237:10	95:6	
405:24	373:1,22 374:9,	240:13 320:19	move	nail
misconduct	11	336:11 383:3	49:2 84:18	48:16
337:12,19	mom's	mornings	100:14,19	named
339:10,25	396:4	290:12	120:22 136:24,	61:5 85:16 86:8
340:24 352:6,25	moment	mortgage	25 198:23 207:6	names
355:23 356:1,7	68:2 78:3 96:17	85:23	325:21 342:21	55:21 157:9
misconstrued	115:14,25 261:8	mother	343:18 381:25	380:3
172:19	262:16 293:6	114:4,8 127:1,4	415:21 424:2	narrowing
misguided	294:15 311:18	361:21 379:19,	moved	338:7
292:2	312:11 332:23	24 390:6 396:7	120:17 210:1	National National
misinterpretatio	335:20,21	399:3,7 410:12,	222:8 271:13	158:5 160:20
n	343:23 407:19	16 412:20	movement	161:25
394:3	418:13 425:9	413:2,15,24	222:19	nature
missing	moments	420:1 421:19,20		72:21 86:3
226:13 346:10	338:25 424:1	423:12	moves 136:12 200:10	116:24 117:16
mistake	Monday	mother's		280:1 320:15
104:13 167:4	434:11	136:16 394:15	moving	321:5 384:23
335:18		motion	74:5 222:23	nauseous
mistakenly	money 129:13 248:13	78:1 167:18	238:7 290:18	374:5
104:3		175:9,17 211:25	299:16 309:12	
	monitors 190:13	331:3 339:23	325:21 393:6	navigate 128:20 228:22
	190.13			120.20 228.22
			1	1

200.10	100 0 221 0	270 10 207 2 6	1 .	204.16.202.22
288:19	180:8 231:9	279:10 287:3,6	normalcy	284:16 302:22
navigated	neglected	Nexson	367:2	309:25 343:17
288:16	161:15 198:20	281:13	Norton	357:3 379:8
nay	234:21	nice	357:8,12,14	390:4
331:9	negligent	142:13 242:1,2	384:17	notes
necessarily	117:4	243:16,21 244:8	nosy	284:18 339:16
52:1 91:16	Nelson	245:21 246:2,15	388:23	348:2 352:8
92:13 124:12	24:18	251:6 277:13	notably	358:12 385:5
134:2 137:8	nerved	329:10 344:24	192:23	425:7
138:3,7 183:16	431:10	431:6	notch	notice
214:23 215:5	nervously	niceness	120:8	68:13 211:1,16,
226:5 368:25	286:18	246:11	note	22 277:6 323:7
376:21	net	nicer	18:24 20:8 33:2	noticed
needed	115:18	274:2	34:8 41:15 46:8	135:19 159:11
19:8 21:4	newer	nicest	47:17 64:8	208:25 295:8
104:14 105:11	59:18	203:11 245:22	65:17 80:18	357:20 418:23,
142:7 192:2	news	Nichols-graham	88:4 109:23	24 419:11
195:4 259:21	33:1	163:8,10,15	118:1 119:13	notified
281:20 299:18		164:7,10,11,17,	143:2 144:11	84:6 103:17
367:19 393:14,	newspaper 12:19 30:16	19 165:17 170:2	156:4 168:10	351:19
15 394:25	43:25 58:9 79:9	172:12 173:22	169:17 191:8	noting
413:20	109:5 140:23	182:7 185:18	196:24 201:14	83:13
needing	151:13 163:21	186:16,20	245:14 256:10	notion
212:18	187:16 241:15	night	257:20 262:17	116:14
needle	254:14 266:11	76:20 320:17	263:8 269:3,4,	notwithstanding
136:23	276:25 296:5	nimbleness	19 271:1 278:4	377:17
Needless	313:1 333:17	164:3	284:8 285:15	November
159:5	Newton	ninth	293:13 296:19	320:17
needy		340:23 352:25	302:14,23	novo
263:19 274:9	11:7,12,15,18,		310:18 313:22	159:25
negative	21,24 12:4,11, 25 13:9,10	noisy 199:3	320:12 322:4	Nowlin
17:9 28:2	14:13,19 15:2,7		323:18 330:13	432:14,18
128:14 136:14	16:14 18:25	nonetheless	336:2 345:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
142:20 146:14	20:16 22:3,9,16,	131:16 277:24	357:7 364:15	nuanced
148:19 170:5	19,23 23:8 24:4,	293:3	391:10 393:23	113:23
174:2 202:21	9 25:1,4,8,18,24	nonsense	394:17 398:21	nuisance
223:6,19 243:14	26:1,4,11,15	24:16	399:23 429:8	375:15
262:18 263:5	27:2,4,10,13,19	nonverbally	430:14 433:14	number
272:7,12 284:7	28:4,8,12,25	377:12	noted	11:16,19,22
neglect	29:4	Noriega	82:25 85:9	20:19 22:12
83:5 84:24		116:23	103:12 116:22	29:24 30:2
89:21 161:16	Nexsen	normal	192:19,20 243:9	38:12 43:10,13
07.21 101.10	278:19,20	178:24		57:15,18 71:14

78:19,22 93:20,	object	occur	266:19 289:1	236:16
24 105:19	380:18	28:22 107:13	292:22 300:23	Oklahoma
108:14,17	objected	162:18 215:3	310:3 313:7	114:4
135:7,15 141:8,	135:7 241:18	340:9 393:5	354:6 355:13	older
11 151:23 152:1	objection	394:11 401:8	382:9 386:25	68:12 177:10
164:5,8 174:1	241:3 276:1,2	occurred	406:11 422:12	203:1 204:3
180:19 187:3,6	294:23 311:24	84:22 85:19	424:6 428:1,11,	321:16 397:1
195:11 197:20	332:13 348:1	141:1 339:21,23	23	Olivia
212:20 230:6	364:4 407:18	340:11,24 352:6	offered	295:15
241:4,7 254:3,6	objections	401:11,13	106:12 380:12,	Omaha
265:11,14	58:12 79:12	430:20,24	14 381:18 385:7	72:10
276:3,6,9 295:1,	109:8	occurring	offering	OMBH
4 297:11 312:2,	objective	209:7	73:6 128:10	318:19 327:11
5 326:11	17:23	October	131:17 173:18	one's
332:16,19	obligations	51:16,25	306:2 308:7	36:4 210:23
335:3,6,9,12	20:25 38:9	odd	328:21 391:15	232:18 409:5
340:4,8 343:3	282:25 312:14	13:23 68:3	433:23	ongoing
344:8 345:2,6,7,	observation	offended	office	71:1 341:3
10,24,25	17:18 18:10	387:13 388:4	26:9 34:22	353:2
346:23,25	406:16	392:4	41:23 70:21	
347:1,5,14,15	observations	offending	73:1,2 83:5	open
352:21 378:23	236:25	148:13	84:10 89:6,19	28:18 56:17,22 79:13 95:5
385:17 430:21	observed	offense	122:5 132:20	280:23 293:14
numbers	55:15 137:6	361:11	170:24 262:1	310:19 330:14
38:23	386:4	offenses	267:24 314:17	409:21 433:15
numerous		359:23	315:21	
98:13 111:11	observer		officer	opening
192:18 381:16	237:2	offensive	298:11,19	187:22,24
nursing	observing	207:11 226:18	303:11,15	277:15,19
298:22 304:2	63:10	416:15	314:16,18 318:7	296:11 313:7
nurtured	obtuse	offer	319:8 324:24	operate
137:25	381:18	13:4 16:25 25:3	325:1 327:3,11	89:20
	occasion	28:14 29:10	328:12	operating
0	238:15 407:24	58:16,17 59:13	official	171:14
	occasional	60:7,11 62:23	167:16	opinion
oath	361:11	107:4 109:13	officials	99:14 148:22
188:9 233:8	occasionally	131:6 149:7	221:5 418:20	195:15 377:4
240:22 307:3	63:16 365:4	151:20,21 154:3	oftentimes	384:24 429:2
obey	occasions	156:16 164:12	70:12 94:4	opinionated
403:20	355:20	185:16 187:22,	224:4,18 274:10	220:25
obfuscation	occupy	25 192:24	428:13	opinions
300:6	286:22	232:10 238:24	oil	142:11 220:22
		254:22 264:5		329:11
L				

	1	1	1	1
opponent	opposed	200:8,9 221:22	348:19	295:18 305:10
259:25	92:5,12 95:11	224:11,13,17,24	organize	overcome
opportunities	101:23 102:2	229:16 230:4	337:1 339:8	48:11
91:18 110:25	214:2 315:22	231:6,7 237:13,	organized	overdo
129:18	331:9 408:7	17 238:3,9	175:24 292:11	96:5
opportunity	opposing	280:7 281:3	342:18	overlap
13:4 58:20	136:7 176:24	283:5 289:18	orientation	83:6
59:20 99:2	opposite	298:6 299:22	152:25 160:23	overlay
106:6,12 109:13	112:23 167:1	315:6 340:12,14	219:23	83:6
111:5 112:9	171:19	349:24 350:1,5,	original	overly
149:21 161:3	opposition	14 351:2,3,14,	105:1	71:15 319:23
164:12 165:9	12:24 30:19	21 357:14	Orioles	overqualified
171:12 174:20,	44:4 58:13	379:7,15,24	72:3,19,25	300:18 304:11
24,25 182:21	109:9 141:3	380:21 382:10	Ott	overriding
184:5 185:16,	163:24 187:20	383:18,21,22	258:12 259:3,4	67:8
19,20,22 188:1	241:20 261:15	384:4,11,12,14	outcome	
205:20 207:9	266:14 277:5	385:2,21 399:2	19:21 33:21	oversight 177:13
212:4,13,16,18	296:8 313:5	401:9 402:3,4,6	47:5 65:4 87:17	
214:1 220:16	333:20	410:7,9,11	99:7 119:1	overstepping
221:3 222:10,12	optimistic	ordered	128:17 143:24	381:12
238:25 239:3	21:17	424:25	155:17 169:5	overturned
240:19 254:22	orange	orders	180:1 201:1	117:24
264:5,7 266:20	291:23	60:11 69:14	206:5 207:21	overwhelming
279:11,23	orchestrate	70:25 71:17	237:18 257:7	75:5 157:8
283:9,14 295:11	387:23	74:3 175:9,22	270:14 284:21	173:25 343:5
297:20 298:23	orchestrated	176:21,24	301:18 322:18	383:21
303:9 305:6	392:17	177:14 182:19,	430:2	overwhelmingly
306:21 310:10	orchestrating	21,22 184:7	outcomes	75:16 203:4
329:23 330:7	389:14	190:23 238:1,9	212:21	overworked
332:24 336:8	ordeal	327:18,19	outdoors	198:10
337:3 338:12	295:20	384:16 396:25	321:6	owe
339:2 358:18	order	397:11 404:14	outliers	18:18 116:10,12
370:11 373:1	15:19 51:24	412:19	208:10	owing
375:10 379:5	68:5,6,14,18,24	ordinance		237:6
380:17 382:2,6	69:1,2,11,16,21	309:15	outright	owned
386:25 406:9	70:4,9,11,17,18,	ordinarily	125:20 127:19	195:6
407:17 419:12	21 71:10,17	312:10,19	outset	
420:16,20 421:4	75:12 111:17	ordinary	104:22 106:11	P
422:9 431:22	175:4 176:11,20	310:2 426:13	149:8 213:24	
433:6	173.4 176.11,20	organization	235:4	p.m.
oppose	183:3,8,9,10,20	342:15	outstanding	434:15
85:6	185:4,7 195:3,5	organizational	25:13 142:23	pace
	105.7,7 175.5,5		153:23 247:8	
•				

				1
222:23	par	259:19 262:22	parts	path
packed	209:4	299:19 300:24	111:1 141:22	28:6 37:12 89:1
366:21	paragraph	311:1 314:9	179:22 335:10	120:14 121:1
packet	346:24 347:1,5	327:20 334:13	379:17	122:18,22 186:4
132:20 294:16	paralegal	335:4,7 346:9	party	237:23 288:24
pages	199:14	348:4 352:2	85:16 96:24	408:10
11:17,23 23:2	parent	360:2,7 380:9	101:25 174:23	pathologists
29:25 30:3	53:8,9,19,20	388:14 422:2	179:17 183:12	367:23
38:12 39:6	126:25 127:17	parte	210:22 245:8	pathology
43:11,14 57:16,	176:15 180:5,7,	340:12,14	429:4	361:5
19 78:20,23	11 181:2,16	349:24 350:1,4,	party's	paths
108:15,18	238:9,12 379:20	13 351:13,14,	385:19	147:13
121:14 141:9,12	395:3,20 399:20	20,21 352:16	party's	patience
151:24 152:2	401:17 404:13,	participate	393:4	109:11 154:4
164:6,9 187:4,7	18	180:10 273:7	pass	240:16 266:3
241:5,8 254:4,7	parental	355:22,25	89:23 160:15,	patient
265:12,15	52:20 53:16	participates	18,22 195:24	32:2 63:24
276:4,10 295:2,	410:20 411:7	189:10	333:8	125:4 142:6
5 305:23 312:3,	parents	participating	passion	143:11 145:10
6 332:17,20	38:8 51:5 101:2	178:16 181:5	76:4,24 81:15	154:7
335:4,7,10,13	136:9 179:19	211:18	88:25 89:17	patriot
336:4 342:2	237:20 238:5	participation	90:12 92:23	153:24
360:10 378:17,	365:23,25	95:10	106:16 150:1	pattern
24 381:16	366:15 368:24	parties	157:7 251:9	115:2 116:3
383:12 408:23	369:4 380:2	19:24 33:24	252:7 278:23	349:17 352:14,
pain	395:2,4 397:13,	35:17 47:8 65:6	421:7 426:20	15
202:7	14 399:19	87:20 96:13	passionate	patterns
pains	401:4,5,7 403:2,	119:4 144:2	76:5,7 90:5	349:18
202:10 203:1,2	11,17 404:12,15	155:20 169:8	92:14	
pair	409:4,9 419:25	176:24 201:4	passions	Paul 260:15
159:3	420:8	256:8 257:10	400:19	
panel	part	270:17 284:24	past	Paula
379:6	52:14 54:15	301:20 320:3,24	25:20 59:9,14	141:4
paper	76:5 85:19	322:21 379:12,	71:18 111:22	pause
69:7,11 74:25	90:18 94:15	13,18 380:5	151:14 163:22	359:1 418:1
160:6 238:18	100:24 104:14	385:14 386:2	190:25 266:12	pay
250:1	109:21 110:22	393:1,2,3,9,11,	355:15	221:24 231:17
	112:24 115:8	24 407:14,20	pastors	paying
papers 233:3	121:8 133:17	413:1 430:5	432:13	120:15 248:12
	134:9,17 135:25	partners		320:21
paperwork	174:9 208:13	12:7 161:22	pat 57:22 22 248:24	payments
357:12 367:12	223:14 250:14	162:3	57:22,23 248:24	298:22 304:1
368:9				

payor	201:1 216:1	247:17 248:1,20	223:17 227:1	254:4 265:12
85:23	257:7 270:14	251:14,16,18	403:18	276:4,7 294:17
pays	284:21 301:17	261:21,22	period	295:2 311:16
206:10	316:3 322:18	267:8,12 268:11	34:22 125:8	312:3 316:10
PBA	331:3 409:21	269:8,13	161:7 175:4	323:9 332:8,17
279:5 281:12	430:2	271:24,25	208:21 267:17	370:10 427:8
286:13	pennies	272:16 273:12	297:21 298:25	personality
PDF	42:2	300:11 301:11	404:23	89:17 196:5
342:15	people	305:14 306:14,	periodically	215:1 261:12
PDQ	13:19 18:9	18 307:1,13	227:4 235:13	301:4
11:10 29:20	25:12,16 26:7	318:24 326:2	permanency	personally
43:7 78:15	32:4 36:2 38:17	356:6,8 367:1	166:9	52:17 90:12
80:20 88:18	45:1,22 61:23	387:8 388:16	permission	221:23 420:25
108:9 109:25	67:11,21 68:13	397:21 406:2	192:11	personnel
116:22 127:21	75:25 76:16	418:15 420:18,	person	15:9 45:8 142:3,
140:13 151:2	81:20 82:13,20	19 421:7 431:5	53:3,8 81:19	12 189:25
163:12 167:15	90:13 92:16	people's	82:20 84:5 85:7	242:25 255:4
186:24 253:24	93:15 94:25	150:1 305:11	94:2,13 95:16	268:5,6
275:23 278:5	96:22 99:12,15	363:4 404:7	100:3 104:6,15	persons
286:16 296:21	100:24 110:17,	405:1,7	106:21 141:23	141:21 375:16
313:24 320:12	23 111:1	peoples	142:6 144:23	432:15
peace	125:11,12	74:12	153:25 171:15	perspective
170:9	131:12 138:15,	perceived	196:3,4 199:10	89:15 232:10
Pee	19 141:20	14:9 15:11	204:13,19	406:11
33:3 46:8 56:25	142:14 145:7,16	18:22 191:15,19	206:19 221:23	perspectives
244:13 253:6	148:21 149:17,	192:3 205:24	224:18 243:25	358:19 378:3
429:8	18,22 158:17,18	428:22	245:23 258:25	
	160:7 167:4	percent	261:13,17 277:8	pertains 268:4
pen	171:21 172:5	18:9 125:15	400:20	
68:8 69:7,11 74:24 394:4	173:10 176:3	204:10,22 214:7	person's	pertinent
	180:17 183:24	231:20	401:16 421:18	379:17 385:6
penalize	185:1 189:5	perception		pet
225:21	194:6 195:12,	63:14 124:4	personable 142:24	37:6
penalized	13,20 199:2	191:20 233:19		petitioner
207:18	202:2 204:11,	389:24	personal	167:17,25 168:5
penalties	22,23 207:22	perfect	11:17,20 29:25	phase
285:12 302:9,12	208:24,25	17:7 44:17	38:21 43:11	229:2 424:3
323:15	212:12 214:19	60:21 61:8	57:16 78:20	phenomenal
pending	216:15 223:5	67:24 116:11	81:6 93:11	268:19 282:12
19:21 33:21	224:19 226:17	283:2 361:8	108:15 123:16	Phillips
47:5 65:4 87:17	229:2 231:15	377:3 432:7	141:9 151:24	265:2,6,10,13,
119:1 143:24	238:4 239:15	perfectly	156:13 164:6 187:4 241:5	16,21,22,23,24
155:17 169:5	242:8 246:8	perfectly	107.4 241.3	266:4,22 267:1,

2 268:12,16,19,	117:19 238:18	plaintiff	157:13,25	23 102:1,14
21,25 269:3,20	344:9	185:4	172:15 268:20	105:12 106:20
271:5,9 273:22,	pieces	plaintiff's	290:12	130:20 131:8
24 274:2,13	385:9	345:7,10 346:25	pled	138:14 145:18
275:2,6	Piedmont	347:1,14,15	193:18	146:19 160:3
philosophy	154:17	plan	pledge	172:4 174:5
94:12 269:6,16	pienso	52:22 321:14	19:20 33:20	176:2 180:24
phone	134:23	planned	47:4 65:2 87:16	183:11 199:7
71:10 105:9,16	Piercetown	383:5 392:17	118:25 143:23	203:23 208:11
195:11	271:15	planning	155:16 169:4	216:17,18
photos	pile	117:16 166:9	200:25 245:5	224:10 225:4
38:15	25:9	plaudits	257:6 270:13	260:8 263:6
physical	pillars	41:14	284:20 285:9	277:4 287:12
19:2 33:5 46:11	362:23	play	301:16 302:6	306:1 312:10
64:14 85:11	pilot	231:4 248:13	322:17 323:12	313:17 318:21
118:4 143:5	52:14	250:12 291:23	430:1	333:24 341:18
154:24 168:13	pitch	playbook	pledging	345:15 346:11
194:11 195:18,	416:23	238:17	20:5 34:5 47:14	350:22 353:19
19 197:4 244:15			65:13 87:25	364:19 367:7
256:13 269:22	place	played 72:8,19 219:11	119:10 144:8	371:2 374:2
284:11 302:17	45:2 51:25	307:2 343:9	155:25 169:14	377:21 386:9
322:7 327:23	54:10 68:9		201:11 245:12	389:14 393:13
429:15	91:14 99:12	player	257:16 270:23	397:5 399:11
pick	100:5,11 104:2 105:15 136:13	307:8,23	285:12 302:9	406:15,16
103:9 111:20	147:9 204:19	playing	323:16 430:11	414:12 417:7
132:20 203:14	231:7 236:5	291:22	plenty	418:3 426:14
231:22 245:22	269:10 272:22	plays	115:12 236:23	pointed
263:21 274:10	288:8 300:7	129:24	247:9 338:12	67:14 304:4
362:12 366:20	314:9 316:3	plea	plethora	326:10 416:1
395:2 399:18	321:17 322:2	117:6	73:18	417:7,13
picked	363:7,12,17	plead	plow	pointers
96:12	392:9 421:21	70:24	415:20	70:5
Pickens	placement	pleas	pocket	points
138:16	50:22,23 51:10,	193:21	240:6	26:17 95:20,21
picking	15,20,21,23	pleasantries	podium	132:12 227:22
213:4	52:4,8	13:3	331:21 333:25	368:11 415:13
picks	placements	pleased	377:23 417:25	poke
176:18 306:13	51:12	48:14 106:8	point	136:23
picture		pleasing	17:5,18 26:16	Police
249:7 385:13	places 103:7 177:23	148:12	40:11 58:2	156:23
piece	205:20,21 309:9	pleasure	91:19 92:24	policies
15:22 40:9	387:14 394:13	26:12 66:4	93:6,7 100:21,	213:7
13.22 70.7	307.14 374.13			

			1	1
political	382:15 384:7	potentially	296:25 310:2	predecessor
250:16	410:4 418:11	137:7 215:3	314:3 325:12	309:2
poll	422:25 424:11	293:12 310:17	326:2,3 390:12	predicted
306:24	positions	330:11 433:12	426:25	81:15
poor	45:12 161:19	pounds	practiced	predominant
134:18 192:23	363:11	307:17	15:8 70:18	174:6
238:14	positive	pour	116:6,7 289:14	preeminent
poorest	16:17 32:16	129:11	326:7	114:18
110:13,14	45:19 60:1 75:6	Powdersville	practicing	preexisting
pop	83:13 114:15	271:12,18	81:8 89:4	281:16,23
202:23	125:6 142:19,21	power	113:14 123:6	prefer
popped	153:17 165:13	216:20 217:1	170:16 235:18,	176:25
144:20 192:6,16	173:6 190:18	305:7,11,12	23 247:12	preference
219:25	202:11,12	316:6 362:8	251:15 278:16,	358:22
pops	203:16 243:8	363:6	20 279:8 286:4	preferred
132:12	255:24 268:16	powerful	practitioner	181:9
population	283:23 300:16	55:5	81:19 88:13,14	
76:13 226:20	301:2 304:23	powers	89:2 90:11 92:2	pregnancy 237:16
poring	310:4 318:6	282:21,23 300:7	102:19 172:5	
113:3	427:13	practical	210:10	prejudice 205:15
	positively	36:23 70:5	practitioners	
portion 42:5 77:11	45:3 129:25	243:9	101:19,22	prejudiced
107:6 113:3	positives	practicality	praise	385:17 427:4
139:3 150:7	148:19	197:22	301:3	preparation
162:11 165:18	possess	practice	praising	45:24 82:22
186:6 239:20	67:11	17:10 31:22	263:9	111:17,19 179:4
252:18 264:11	possessed	40:4,20 45:8	pray	280:7,9 298:5
293:4 310:6	305:12	61:3 71:2 80:24	49:21	315:5,8
330:6 347:12,14	possibility	81:9 89:16	Prayer	prepare
419:8 433:5	124:19 129:15	91:20 93:3	290:14	175:4 177:6
portrayed	possibly	110:3 114:21	pre	185:4 230:20,21
370:5	111:10 126:10	116:25 117:1	50:22	279:16 314:13 315:2
position	211:6 249:15	123:15 125:14	preacher	
73:1 80:23 91:2	342:25 355:8	142:2,4 153:5	237:2 238:21	prepared
110:2 148:25	post	165:5 170:11	preachers	60:3 61:11 73:4
167:1 171:13	50:23 72:18	189:12 211:25	237:1	78:15 83:15 189:13 190:22
179:4 228:1	304:4 309:3	212:6,17 226:4,	preaching	215:7 223:24
278:9 296:24	posts	11 235:3,16	237:5	215:7 225:24 224:2 225:5
300:19,21 301:1	345:11,12	242:15 250:15	precious	227:10 281:3
304:12 314:2	potential	255:3 267:19	367:6	284:3 292:8
317:11,12,20	330:1	278:10,17 279:2	predates	360:3
328:16 381:24		280:24 288:17	288:14	300.5
			200.14	

preparing	267:21 272:10	176:20 201:1	254:2	176:2 179:3
70:17 280:22	280:2 325:2	220:2 257:7	procedurally	186:7 187:9
prerogative	374:18 375:21	270:14 284:21	62:1	191:1 201:8
220:6 403:1	380:4 384:6	301:17 322:18	procedure	224:24 225:14,
presence	386:9 425:9	343:25 351:13,	126:2 189:16	17 228:22
204:5 274:11	prevail	20 365:11	206:2 244:3	239:20 241:11
present	126:15	368:16 386:6	procedures	249:14 252:14
25:16 40:5	prevailing	430:2	279:17 280:13	257:13 262:25
153:10 296:10	70:9	priority	281:21 286:10,	263:3 268:25
312:16 313:6	previous	229:23 234:12	11	270:20 274:18
333:21 343:6	12:20 30:18	pristine	proceed	285:2 293:5,8
383:10 393:24	44:2 55:11	223:16	78:8 86:23	301:23 310:6
presentation	58:10 85:20	privacy	299:20 331:14	311:1 322:24
69:25 214:2	140:25 177:14	36:2	333:1	330:6 361:3
306:10	187:18 241:17	private	proceeding	402:14 407:21
presented	254:16 277:1	51:4 84:12	158:9 369:18	416:4 418:18
35:19 191:23	296:6 313:3	111:23 114:21	434:14	419:5,13 422:2
236:11 401:13	322:14 333:18	120:10 197:13	proceedings	430:8,20 433:6
presenting	previously	232:16 325:12,	136:11 339:7	processes
315:17	28:17	17 326:2 413:10	341:4,7 344:23	418:8
preserved	pride	privately	345:1 382:19,22	proclivities
132:23	432:22	227:1 232:23	383:7,8 392:16,	204:20
	primarily	privilege	19 396:18 398:5	procrastinate
preside 337:14 341:2	70:25 287:5	13:17 14:10	418:22 419:9	70:17
353:1	303:25	142:25 235:12	426:13	product
	primary	privileged	process	195:16,17
presided	101:1 218:19	388:5	20:2 22:11	profession
413:14	379:19	pro	30:11 34:2	76:6 189:4
presiding	prime	95:21 115:21	47:11 50:4,19	292:22
99:25 167:3	123:19	123:25 129:17	51:7 52:10	professional
pressure	principal	154:8 158:23	53:22,24 54:21	16:20 19:5 33:7
300:21,25	337:2 408:18	224:19 232:19	58:4 65:9 77:12	64:11 81:2,5
presume	principled	256:8 327:22	79:4 85:5 94:16	93:11 94:16
282:7	153:23	proactively	96:4,18,19 97:1	110:6 111:13
pretty		157:3	101:9,24 104:7	118:7 143:8
17:24 37:12	principles 362:25	problem	106:2,4,7,9	154:20 165:16
76:21 84:15		66:15 159:6	107:7 108:24	168:16 197:1
91:10 102:9	prior	166:4 234:22	115:9 119:7	232:14 233:8
111:9 132:25	19:21 33:21	253:17 394:12	122:24 127:20	244:17 256:15
154:15 158:2	47:5 65:3 87:17	403:14 406:21	144:5 151:8	269:25 278:13
166:2 170:9	90:21 117:5,10	problems	162:11 163:17	284:13 297:4,19
173:10 217:10	119:1 143:24	100:6,8 104:13	169:11 171:11	302:20 314:6
248:14 249:16	155:17 169:5	100.0,0 104.15		
1				

	1 -		1,00,000	
322:10 329:10,	pronounced	366:4 425:9	189:2 224:22	295:2,5 312:3,6
14 428:25	47:24,25	prouder	236:1 316:4	332:17,20
429:11	pronunciation	259:13	325:13,15	335:4,7,10,13
professionalism	48:2 75:11	proudest	publicly	341:21 378:24
45:23 46:14	proper	13:17 16:5	422:22	398:11 428:17
81:18 155:2	75:10,11 428:3	proudly	published	pursuant
428:22	properly	259:12	398:19	162:25 293:7
professionally	75:10 232:13	prove	puffs	310:11 330:8
90:11 110:16	281:3	224:17 347:3	67:16	393:25 433:7
professionals	property	404:9	pull	pursue
121:12 180:14	86:6 117:20,21,	proven	228:3 363:16	361:4
professor	25	354:23 355:19	pulled	pursuing
61:18,19	proposed	provide	103:8 361:12	55:8
profit	176:23 177:2,5	21:16 67:22	pulling	pursuit
113:5	propriety	102:8 132:19	291:19	363:9
program	239:24	180:14,16	punctual	push
52:6,14 89:20	prosecute	312:17 328:4	189:14 191:17,	100:10 179:22
298:17 375:13	375:11	337:3 338:22	21 207:5	299:19 373:7
programs	prosecution	347:15 378:12	punctuality	pushed
432:12	305:17	383:8	13:3	154:5
progress	prosecutor	provided	purchased	put
119:24	305:10 359:21,	110:25 111:4	117:19	17:5 22:6 38:17
progressed	23 375:7,10	171:16 177:12	purpose	45:11 57:12
89:8	389:18,21 393:7	232:15 297:18	159:7 321:4,6	66:21 78:16
progressing	prosperity	336:3 342:7,12	334:15 345:11	98:17 111:14
308:1	122:19	378:11,14	371:4 383:19	121:23 122:2
progression	protect	provider	408:18 418:18	145:13 147:9
119:24	36:2 38:20	298:21 304:1	purposely	151:3 180:3
prohibited	101:5 171:6	providing	342:6 402:5	183:15 192:11
285:8 302:5	protecting	67:23 255:22	purposes	224:21 244:7
323:12	101:4 102:24	304:6 422:9	11:17,20,23	291:3 306:18
projecting	172:8 182:3	Pruitt	29:25 30:3,23	328:16 341:13
63:14	198:20 305:14	278:20	43:11,14 51:16	342:5 369:24
promise	409:12	psychological	57:16,19 78:20,	374:23 398:1
246:17 263:14	protection	53:19 362:11	23 108:15,18	409:6 424:11
promised	237:14,17	psychologists	131:15 141:9,12	426:7
268:1	proud	373:24	151:24 152:2	putting
	24:3 49:17	psychology	164:6,9 187:4,7	68:19 69:2,4,21
prompt 268:21 337:9	138:18 145:12	121:3,9,10	208:10 229:6	74:24 76:21
	260:11,12	361:1	241:5,8 254:4,7	137:10 181:4
pronounce	288:15 292:17	public	265:12,15	213:22 408:7
43:1	299:19 307:25	38:24 59:13	276:4,7,10	
	1	1	1	1

	281:9 286:1	questionings	21 293:1 296:13	260:22
	315:14	186:15	303:2,4 313:9,	railroad
Q			18 322:15	
qualification	qualities 81:18	questionnaire	323:22 328:2,4	213:13
145:4		11:17,21 29:25	329:2 334:10	rainy
qualifications	question	43:11 57:16	335:22,23	320:17
19:2 28:19 33:5	13:21 16:9	78:20 108:15	356:22,24 357:3	raise
42:7 46:11	39:12 44:17	141:9 151:24	363:17 364:21	29:13 42:22
	51:1 53:21,23	164:6 187:4		57:3 78:9 108:1
56:16 64:14	63:2 64:3 65:22	241:5 254:4	377:12 386:20	136:16 140:9
77:14 85:11	75:5 101:14	265:12 276:4,8	391:16 406:12	150:24 163:9
107:15 118:4	104:22 110:8	294:18 295:2	408:15,21	239:13 253:19
139:6 143:5	122:23 128:3	311:16 312:3	426:13 430:18	265:4 275:11
154:23 162:14	130:12,15 131:7	332:8,17	433:1,4	294:6 311:11
168:13 186:12	132:3 134:1	questions	quick	318:15 331:21
197:4 244:15	138:4 165:25	13:7 20:12	54:2 58:1 80:1	359:2 407:18
252:20 256:13	166:16 174:12	23:24,25 30:20	125:2 133:9	428:16
264:13 269:22	203:25 205:8	34:12 38:5 41:7	140:7 150:6	raised
274:20 276:20	211:17 214:15	42:5 44:6,7	177:18 179:4	15:15 20:9 34:9
284:11 293:15	220:15 228:4,7	47:21 54:24	240:18 248:7	47:18 65:18
295:24 302:17	235:13 250:7	65:21 79:14,16	250:7 251:5	88:5 96:21
310:2,20 312:21	251:4 262:12	86:23 88:8,10	395:8	103:11 119:14
322:7 330:15	268:4 269:3	105:18 106:10	quicker	144:12 156:5
333:12 334:17	278:15 286:17	109:10,13	51:12	169:18 198:12,
429:14 433:16	326:21 358:10	119:17,19 133:8	quickly	13 201:15,17
qualified	391:8 395:8	141:6 144:15	39:3,21 142:10	238:24 245:15
18:25 19:4 33:4,	397:8,9 398:25	147:3 149:5	261:12 266:2	257:21 271:2
6 46:9,13 64:9,	400:4 403:13	151:18 156:8,9	323:6	285:16 297:9
13 83:21 85:10,	406:7 408:1	164:14 168:19	quiver	302:24 319:17
12 118:3,6	420:15 431:25	169:21 173:21	232:18	323:19,20
143:3,6 154:19,	questioned	182:6 188:5	quo	336:22 365:16
22 168:11,15	165:19 166:12	191:25 200:16	102:4,6	385:1 430:15
178:17 196:25	questioning	201:17,19	quote	raises
197:3 244:14,16	20:11 34:11	208:15 222:5	16:7	223:4
256:11,14	47:20 65:20	227:20 233:5	10.7	raising
269:20,24	77:19 88:7	235:8 238:23		
284:1,9,12,16	119:16 144:14	240:18 241:21	R	63:18
299:6 300:18	156:7 169:20	245:16,18	robbit	Rally
302:16,18,22	257:23 271:4	252:17 253:3	rabbit	291:20
322:5,9,13	273:17 285:18	254:21 257:24,	422:11	rampant
334:18 405:22	303:1 310:22	25 258:3 263:17	race	217:3
429:10,13	323:21 396:16	264:4,17 271:6	258:19,24	ran
qualify	430:17	274:18,25	260:17	34:20 162:4
81:2 110:6	150.17	277:18 285:19,	races	261:14,15 299:1
		,		
	l	I	l	I

320:22 383:3 Randall	149:4,25 150:6,	re-election	ready	reason
Randall		10 010001011	•	Teasun
Kanuan	14,18,20 151:2,	58:12 222:19	11:10 29:21,23	14:7 68:10
253:21 254:5,8	6 152:5 156:9,	re-file	43:7 53:4 57:8	70:15,16 114:2
Randolph	19,22 157:19	205:15	78:16 106:18	123:2 125:1
14:18	158:10 161:2,20	re-up	108:8,9 109:10	131:7 133:14
Randy	162:4,7,21,24	26:3	140:14 151:3	260:14 273:8
262:2	163:7,9,12,16	reach	153:10 163:13	321:16 327:7
ranked	164:12,18	56:2 83:24	186:25 191:18	369:22 396:20,
94:5	169:22 172:11	84:17 323:5	200:4 215:7	21 424:23
Rankin	173:21,22 182:5	reached	224:2 230:23,25	reasonable
	185:14,24	176:1,4,5	241:1 253:24	45:11 189:6
11:1,9,13,25	186:17,22,24	379:13 380:5,20	265:9 336:13	206:5
12:3,9,13 13:2	187:9 188:6,11,	, and the second se	343:17 389:21	reasonableness
15:22 20:14	13,17,19	reaction 206:6	394:10	406:14
23:10 25:5 26:2	201:18,20		reaffirm	reasoned
27:5,15,20 28:5,	205:17 208:16	reactions	240:22	268:22 284:4
9,13 29:1,7,10,	218:5 222:17	215:14	real	292:9,15 329:11
13,17,20 30:5,9	227:6,15 232:2	read	67:17 80:1	reasoning
31:1 34:13 35:5	233:13 238:23	23:21 25:15	99:15 124:19	256:5
37:16 41:7,22	239:8,19 240:4,	34:24 83:22	131:12 150:6	
42:2,14,18,20,	11,13,15,22	95:11 105:13	179:4 223:8	reasons
22,25 43:4,6,16	241:1,10 245:18	170:3 202:12	234:22 250:7	23:3 70:10
47:22 49:14,25	246:19 250:6	218:16 246:1	251:5 314:21	131:14 175:5
54:24 56:15,24	252:16 253:2,5,	248:22 290:16	394:22 430:23	189:1 230:6
57:3,7,11,23	11,13,18,23	305:7 343:8	real-world	353:4,6
58:23 65:22	254:9 257:25	346:19 348:11	111:13	recall
67:4 73:8 74:17,	261:16,23	354:25 365:20		14:2 104:8
18 77:10,21	262:5,8,10,13,	367:11 391:23	reality	106:11 190:13
78:1,5,12,14,24	15 263:6,16	398:19 399:15,	21:1 231:1	351:1
79:2,4,16,21	264:3,10,21	17 402:11,24	362:15,18 401:6	receive
80:1,5,9,12	265:1,3,8,17,23,	418:19 419:18,	realize	176:8 181:10
86:14,17,20	25 266:5,23	20 424:7	48:25 68:15	185:20 216:3
88:9 91:7 98:8	271:7 272:24	reading	178:13,14	226:12 331:6,11
103:5 106:10,20	273:23 274:17	75:16 121:21	261:12	received
107:3,6,19,24	275:3,8	214:23 220:19	realized	16:4,14 19:20
108:1,5,7,12,21,	rare	259:17 287:1	278:22 361:19	32:13 33:20
24 109:16	41:12 64:2	352:2,3 355:15	387:11,15	45:16 47:4
119:18,21	150:21	382:12 386:16	418:25 419:8	59:23 65:2
130:14,18,23	rate	398:18 399:14	realm	69:10 83:11
131:20 133:8	100:20 137:16	424:5	264:3	87:16 114:12
139:2,8,14,20,		reads	reappointed	118:25 142:18
22 140:1,4,13,	rattle	35:18	152:23	143:23 153:14
17 144:16,23	98:23			155:16 165:10
146:5 147:3,5				

167:17 169:4	312:11 313:9,17	119:13 130:15	426:6,8 430:14	58:3 140:19
185:24 190:15	320:6 334:8	132:23,25	433:14	141:3 151:9
191:20 195:13	336:9 406:18	139:4,5,16,25	recorded	163:25 187:11,
199:12 200:25	407:11,16,19,22	140:15 144:11	55:15	20 241:19 266:6
221:13 239:5	415:19 418:3	150:7,12,19,23	recorder	refer
243:6,15 245:5	426:15	151:4 156:4,11	417:19	255:9 395:14,17
255:20 257:6	recognized	160:1,3 162:12,	recording	422:23
268:13 269:5	117:12 235:5	13 163:6,14	343:9 346:8	referee
270:13 272:20	300:8 356:25	166:2 169:17	385:23,24	50:7
275:23 283:20	409:1 416:25	186:10,12,21	401:15 405:10	reference
284:7,20 296:8	recognizing	187:1 192:14	records	27:8 73:19
300:14 301:16	77:3 80:4	201:15 226:16	137:17 378:20	147:17 173:8,9
305:25 313:4	121:20 432:13	240:10 241:2	412:12,13	174:8 202:5
318:3 322:17	recommend	252:19,20	413:18 423:1	252:4 304:25
323:6 333:20	380:3	253:10,25	424:24 425:11,	384:20 389:10,
336:2 338:21	recommendatio	257:20 264:12,	12,15,24	14 390:6 413:24
350:22 389:7	n	13,25 265:9	recreate	referenced
427:10 430:1	49:5 51:8	271:1 272:2,5	339:22 347:24	174:4 349:5
receives	recommendatio	273:24 274:7,	424:19,25	384:5,16 387:19
196:14	ns	19,20 275:1,9,	425:1,2,5 426:5	410:16 413:22
receiving	51:7 193:24	15 276:1 278:4,	recreated	references
220:24	reconsider	6 285:15 293:14	425:8	274:1
recent	167:19	294:2,11,24	recreating	referral
176:10 325:1	reconstruction	296:20,22	384:2,3 425:10	92:4
recently	385:3,8,17	302:14,23 305:8	recreation	referred
281:25 387:10	record	307:3 310:19	348:2	412:19
reception	11:2,11 17:21	311:9,25		referring
186:4	20:8 28:18,19	313:22,25	recruited 89:13 91:1	165:22 171:10
receptive	29:6,22 34:8	323:18 328:7	287:13 307:12,	301:5 318:17
14:2	38:18,24 42:6,7,	330:14 331:2,	13	337:25 392:15
recess	19 43:8 47:17	14,17 332:2,14		refers
393:21	56:15,16,17,22	334:6,13,14	rectify 431:22	303:16 344:16
recited	57:2,9,12 60:17,	339:22 344:25		345:3
329:6	21,23,25 62:8	347:3,24 349:6 359:6 364:5	red	refile
recognition	65:17 68:4 74:6	378:2,13 379:15	194:24 226:9	231:16
202:4 262:16	75:13,14 77:7,	380:25 382:16,	redraft	
recognize	12,13 78:6,12,	21 383:11	211:21	reflect
18:10 37:7	17 80:13,18,21	384:1,2,3 385:4,	reduce	74:7
133:17 196:6	86:21 88:4	12 393:19,21	212:20	reflected
206:13 248:11	107:7,14,23	400:20 407:12	Reed	346:7
273:6 277:17	108:5,10	417:9,22,24	79:25	reflection
296:12 301:9	109:19,23 110:1	425:1,2,5,8,10	reelection	311:23
2,0.12 301.)		120.1,2,0,0,10	30:19 43:22	
				ĺ

reflective	reimplemented	relief	73:9 144:22	repeat
414:2	412:8	168:4 212:9	148:24 149:1,3	300:24 359:12
reflects	relate	relieve	156:12 178:6	repeated
292:21	359:17	185:2	192:6 195:1	248:1
reframe	related	religious	202:2 204:9	repertoire
127:13 128:2	64:16 179:12	242:12	210:11 212:17	24:13
refreshing	182:18 340:16	relitigate	214:9 220:21	reply
36:16 37:1	368:18 429:16	334:20	261:2 287:16	105:4 130:14
refuse	relates	reluctance	290:3 318:18	report
422:21	37:20 100:15	135:24 136:2	362:1 366:17,21	14:13 196:24
refused	337:12 339:21	rely	369:8 371:23,24	285:11 293:15
347:8 362:15,18	380:25 389:13	180:21 329:9	373:12,20,21,25	302:8 310:20
refuses	406:5 417:3	remain	374:2,3,13,15	323:14 330:15
401:22	relating	35:23 56:22	remembering	433:16
refusing	51:2	293:14 310:19	382:10	reported
372:12 401:18	relation	330:14 391:25	remind	284:9
regard	339:14	408:18 433:15	160:21 186:7	reporter
14:8 18:21	relationship	remained	293:6 310:11	130:24 133:3
51:18 173:25	51:6 116:16	177:21	330:7 390:8	134:19 137:10
174:2 186:15	190:2,9 221:11	remaining	408:22 433:7	139:18 301:5
regarded	411:6 412:5	143:6 244:16	reminded	344:22 417:17
155:4	423:10	302:19 331:15	41:18 375:6	418:1 424:23
regional	relationships	remains	382:7	426:3
90:24	129:14	56:17	reminder	reporter's
regionals	relative	remanding	259:18	425:11
72:11	86:5 341:21	385:2	reminds	reporters
register	release	remarkable	122:1 148:3	190:12 221:12,
89:22	28:18 42:7	57:25 170:9	remiss	14
regular	77:13 139:5	246:12	58:19 149:14	reports
64:6 92:3	162:13 186:11	remarks	removed	121:11,13
388:17	252:20 264:12	28:15 79:18	334:15	represent
regulations	274:19 285:11	149:9 161:4	render	84:10 185:9
316:8	293:15 302:8	185:17	142:10	245:23
regulatory	310:20 323:14	remediable	rendered	representation
279:4 317:17	330:14 433:15	215:8	315:18	133:18 185:2
rehabilitate	released	remedied	renowned	representative
194:1	107:15	211:6	194:11	20:14 23:22
rehabilitative	relevance	remedy	reorder	34:13,15,19
220:12	345:15	211:1	196:18	41:8 47:22,23
	relevant	remember	repair	48:5,8 49:8,10
rehash 368:10	115:13,14	25:10 70:23	411:5 412:5	55:24 88:10,11,
300.10				12 91:5,9 130:8,
<u>'</u>				

9,10 131:6	267:19 270:1	researched	respect	222:12 230:10
132:2 133:7	284:14 288:13	329:11	18:15 20:22,23	239:3 319:18
227:15,16 232:2	292:6,18 301:7	resemble	21:12 26:22	responded
245:19,20,24	302:21 304:23	390:19	32:24 46:5 99:4	166:22 216:3
246:1,5,13,15	322:11 329:17	reserve	205:2 212:6	217:9 382:14
290:24 291:10	426:24 429:12	67:1 69:5 175:7	232:10 243:2	respondents
387:2,3,21	432:5	reserved	248:5 255:8,17	165:19 243:8
388:3 391:12,14	request	177:10,21	268:8 277:23	response
395:6,8,17	85:3 86:11	reset	282:21,23	16:25 60:11
398:3 399:1	117:4 211:12	418:1	288:3,5 301:10	62:22 83:23
404:1,3,25	229:10 334:13	reside	310:9,16 318:14	115:22 116:20
413:4 419:1	requested	80:14 109:19	328:9 336:10	131:2 154:2
representatives	106:1 117:8	residence	345:21 350:13	171:9,19 192:24
56:3 258:13	229:8 349:19	80:23 110:3	363:1 377:4,13	235:14,17
represented	requesting	278:9 296:25	379:3 384:11,	300:22 319:16
61:15 115:15,19	229:21 353:14	314:3	14,18,25 386:3,	378:15,24
117:3 130:16	requests		12 393:20	381:2,3 382:13,
131:1,4 174:22	167:21,25 168:4	resident	397:22 401:4	20 384:7 413:24
185:1 229:2	require	202:15 259:15 308:20	406:14 407:20	416:16 424:8
243:23 281:16	26:19 50:21		408:9 409:22	428:1,10,23
350:7,22 380:16	82:10,11 113:22	residents	413:15 414:1	responses
391:19	167:5 211:23	283:14	419:25 422:12	98:6 145:3
representing	300:6	resistance	426:11,13	209:6 239:5
194:2 387:5	required	337:15	431:20 432:18	272:19 381:6,
repugnant	102:7 178:2	resoluteness	respected	10,15 382:3,8,
401:10	305:16,19	292:1	24:11 114:22	11
reputation	353:10 382:18	resolution	167:6 204:22	responsibilities
15:7 16:1,11	requirements	62:12	300:8	26:23
19:6 22:14 23:5	80:22 110:2	resolve	respectful	responsibility
25:13 31:22	278:8 296:24	98:2	142:23 167:6	15:16,20 21:11,
33:8 45:7 46:15	314:2 340:13	resolved	221:17 401:3	15.10,20 21.11,
49:17 61:6	349:25	85:22,24 104:1	respectfully	159:1 198:19
64:12 85:13		320:23 417:11	115:11 334:13	297:13 354:4
106:25 118:8	requires 100:10 177:2	resolving	respects	356:11 401:2
142:2 143:9	190:21 402:6	82:18,19	23:15 316:7	407:12 409:10
152:16 153:5		resonated	respite	responsible
154:21 165:5,7	requiring 339:25 349:1	99:19	206:3	84:1 182:14
166:17 168:17		resource	respond	183:14 199:10,
189:11,13,19,	requisite	21:2 59:17	17:6 115:6	13,15 207:12
21,24 194:10	116:2	resources	116:5 165:21	227:17
197:2 242:14,24	rescheduled	21:16 113:22	166:13 191:10	responsiveness
244:18 255:3,6,	62:16	198:11 228:20	219:5 220:17	83:18 84:8,15
18 256:16				05.10 07.0,15

rest	160:24	32:17,20 33:4,	Robertson	roughly
40:7 99:18	revealed	11 34:18 35:4,	57:5,10,17,20,	138:5 152:10
102:10 237:22	83:23 423:1	10 36:20 37:14,	21 58:18,24,25	roundabout
260:15 261:16		18 41:20,21	59:2,22 62:20	90:9
279:22 367:7	Revenue	42:1,13,17	64:7,9,17,18	
419:18 426:5	280:19	Richland	66:1,9,20 67:2,6	routinely
restate	reversal	308:22 388:6	69:10 73:14,17,	68:10
332:4	17:22	Rick	20 74:15,18	row
restore	reversals	24:18	77:20,24	333:4
419:23 423:10	159:11		robitus	rubber
	reversed	rid	203:21,24 204:1	194:22,23
result	159:15,21,22	230:4	Rock	rude
18:12 56:21	review	ride	163:2	191:9,15,19
61:16 100:2	60:23 68:24	297:14		221:5 341:19
334:21 379:9,16	132:24 272:20	ridiculous	rocketed	389:4 424:1
380:19	276:17 294:15	223:15	124:25	rudeness
resulted	311:18 332:9	rightly	role	222:2
383:23	334:16 336:22	27:24 329:6	225:15 229:5	Ruffner
results	342:23,24	381:20	248:14 250:12	246:22
121:16 129:3,11	385:16	rights	263:13 266:5	rule
202:24	reviewed	52:20 53:16	273:7 274:3	87:4 95:14
resume	20:4 34:4 47:13	166:8 369:12,14	328:11 423:9	118:13 150:2
289:9 294:4	65:11 87:24	402:4	rolling	192:9 211:6,7,
retained	119:9 144:7	ringing	229:19 240:5	13,21 213:3
127:11	155:24 166:6	23:23	Ronnie	225:18 231:23
retaliated	201:10 245:11	ringmaster	259:5 262:5	233:24 236:8
219:22	257:15 270:22	216:23	room	272:16,17
retire	410:14 413:18	risk	24:21 101:9	280:23 337:7,12
71:7	430:10	329:25 363:14	105:11 144:19	341:9,25 343:2,
retired	reviewing	road	157:10 210:25	18 344:4 345:3,
424:23 425:12	161:7 309:24	29:2 37:10	212:3 237:24	5 349:8 353:11
retirement	334:23	218:1 266:3	295:9 361:18	359:17 379:7
260:2	reviews	375:17	389:3 400:20	380:4 382:24
	60:24 177:23	Rob	405:25 407:14	385:6 386:5,6
retort 147:21,23	rewarding	124:16 126:8	417:18 421:5,6	391:16 394:4
ĺ	239:16 267:14		roommate	396:4 406:24
returning	415:13	robe	271:25	407:3 410:9
218:2	rewards	15:23 77:2	rooting	412:1 413:14
reunification	59:7	244:1 247:14	378:6	416:25 417:1
177:12,16,19	Richardson	274:5,12	roster	425:21,23 427:3
reup	29:8,12,15,18,	Robert	229:19 308:25	ruled
157:22	19,23 30:1,4,8,	11:7,18,21,24	rough	16:8,9,10
reupping	25 31:3,5,6,21	225:3	272:10	249:24 427:6,7
	23 31.3,3,0,21		2/2.10	ĺ

rules	216:22 217:4	11,16,18,20,24	323:23,24,25	satisfied
15:13,14 16:21	285:4 302:1	358:4,6 365:19	328:23 331:4	115:24 128:12
22:25 32:22	307:20 323:1	366:3,6,13,25	368:5,6,16,21,	138:13,24
62:7 74:1 87:5	354:2 406:13	367:25 375:3,4	24 369:10,14,16	satisfy
95:15,16 96:4	running	376:2 377:7	370:9,15,21,24	340:13 347:12,
102:22 118:14	220:21 250:15	380:23,24	371:2,13,15,18,	17 349:25
126:2 180:17	258:25 282:13	381:21 396:13,	22 372:1,7,14,	Saturday
189:15,16	304:3	14 397:18	16,20,23,25	291:17 377:17
206:1,2 208:5,7	runs	407:9,10,23	373:5,11,14,16,	378:6
210:8 211:8,14	72:16 256:6	414:12,22,23,24	19,22 374:8,12,	sauce
212:24 221:1	282:10 299:13	415:25 417:7	16,20,22 375:1	247:18
223:2,3 228:5,7,	427:20	423:19 431:23,	398:12,13	SC
9 240:8 256:1,5	rural	24	399:6,9,11,17,	353:11 430:11
280:15,24 281:2	259:7,9,14	Sabb's	21 400:2,4,15	scare
285:13 302:10	260:11	55:7	402:2,23 403:25	210:12 362:8
323:10,16 349:7	rushed	sacrifice	405:16 406:17	414:17
353:10 357:21,	35:19 63:21	123:21	415:19,22,24,25	scared
24 394:15,19	Russell	sacrificing	418:3	414:12
395:3 396:3,4,5	258:16	36:8	Safran's	
398:23 399:19,	Russell's	sadistic	100:21 102:1	scenario
25 401:18,22	258:16	90:1	147:14,21 228:4	377:3,5 395:10
ruling	Rutherford	safe	sage	scenarios
45:14 167:17	290:24 291:9,10	77:21 163:2	160:18	172:18 392:8
175:6,7,16	387:2,3,21	293:23 363:17	salute	SCFCR
177:1 183:15	388:3 404:2,3,	433:25	28:5	349:8
236:24 263:2	25 416:17 419:1	safety	salvageable	schedule
272:18 327:17	25 410.17 419.1	102:8 363:20	249:21	32:4,5,9 35:20
341:4 346:16		Safran	sample	40:19 109:12
383:24 384:21	S	23:10,11 24:5,	35:9	158:16 277:24
386:11 393:19	Saab	10 25:2 35:5,6	Samuel	295:22 320:1
rulings	368:12 384:15	36:17,21 37:15	311:12 312:4,6	scheduled
25:14 32:23	407:18,22	48:8 67:4,5,6	329:9	84:23,25 103:24
60:10 171:24	420:17	91:7,8 133:8,9,	sanctions	207:15 229:12,
175:2,24 268:3,	Sabb	10 138:11 139:1	399:2,5,6 402:7	22 230:13
22 327:14	49:14,15,24	144:17,18,24,25	sat	394:22
328:25 390:16	65:23,24 258:1,	147:7 148:3	15:22 144:25	schedules
428:1	2,6,12,15,18,23	182:6,7 208:16,	210:8 252:11	38:8 113:6,24
run	260:4,14 261:1,	17,18 211:7	416:17	326:1,4
22:23 93:19	18 262:5,11	218:14 223:8	satisfaction	scheduling
123:1 136:3	286:20,21,22,24	246:19,20,21	138:23	35:10 40:15
152:17 153:8	306:6,8 356:20,	247:19 272:24,		84:22 103:6
157:11 197:18	21,25 357:1,7,	25 273:1,22	satisfactory	104:5 191:2
206:14 213:13	21,23 33 1.1,1,		182:24	229:11 230:11

		l	1	
310:9	scratch	scrolling	sector	27:3,4 37:16,17,
school	175:10 176:7	286:16	325:17	18 41:12 49:14,
25:21,22 72:11	scratching	seal	security	15,24,25 50:2
84:14 89:4	38:14	167:21 196:11	38:22 221:19	53:21 54:23
91:11 120:3,7,	scratchy	search	367:8	55:7 65:23,25
10,18,21 121:20	29:9	12:18 30:15	seed	66:1,3,12,22
122:4,9,10	screen	43:25 58:8 79:9	72:14	67:3 77:9 98:8,
126:6 152:25	12:14 56:10	109:5 140:23	seek	9,10 146:5,6,8,
156:12,22	67:22 274:15	151:13 163:21	91:2	10 147:2 150:5
160:23 217:22	screened	187:16,17	seeking	157:19,20,21
218:21 219:16,	28:17 48:6 53:4	241:15 254:14	285:9 300:21	158:9 161:21
18 220:3 278:19	screening	266:10 276:24	301:1 302:6	162:8,25
288:14 291:12	14:15 19:17,22	296:4 313:1	323:12	169:22,24 170:2
304:21,22 307:8	33:17,22 47:1,6	333:16	seemingly	174:3 205:16
314:22 315:1	54:19 64:24	season	97:6 273:11	222:17,18
325:14 361:7,12	65:4 77:12	72:18	432:12	226:24 227:10,
363:17 365:2	78:25 87:13,18	seasoning	segment	14 240:12
368:13 375:8,9	107:7 108:22	55:12	94:4	253:12 254:24
390:5 420:7	118:22 119:2	seat	segue	258:1,2,6,12,15,
schoolhouse	139:4 143:20,25	61:21 276:13	189:22	18,21,23 259:23
219:20	150:8 155:13,18	285:5 302:2	Seigler	260:4,13,14
schools	162:11 169:1,6	323:2 333:23	22:4	261:1,17,23
307:13 361:4	186:6 200:22	seconded	seldom	262:5,11 271:7,
science	201:2 239:20	78:1 86:14	413:7	9,23 286:20,21,
250:16	241:11,17	331:4		22,24 289:6,7,8
sciences	252:18 257:3,8	seconds	selected 309:18	304:16 306:5,6,
361:2	264:11 268:25	417:21		8 308:4,5,6 323:5 331:4
scone	270:15 284:22	secret	Selection	
29:10	293:4 301:18	247:18	276:18 295:23	356:20,21,24
scope	310:6,18 311:1	section	312:20 333:11	357:1,7,11,16,
91:19	322:19 330:6,12	19:15 20:6	self-evident	18,20,24 358:4, 6 364:21,23
score	331:19 333:10	33:15 46:23	23:3	365:1,7,10,13,
17:7	430:3 433:5,13	47:15 64:22	self-represented	17,18,19 366:3,
Scott	screenings	65:13 87:11	166:20 184:21,	6,13,25 367:25
294:12	12:20 30:18	118:20 119:11	23	368:12 375:3,4
scout	44:2 58:10	143:18 144:9	semesters	376:2 377:7
55:2,4 307:20	140:25 151:15	155:10 156:1	250:17	380:22,24
· ·	163:22 187:18	200:20 201:12	Senate	381:20 382:7
scouts	254:16 266:12	244:25 245:12	179:12 289:12	384:15 396:12,
55:1,2	270:10 277:2	257:1,17 270:8,	290:2	14 397:18
scrambling	296:6 313:3	24 429:21	senator	406:17,18,19
102:19 211:4	333:18 429:23	430:12	26:2,3,5,6,12,16	407:1,5,9,10,18,
224:14				TO 1.1,J,J,1U,10,

22 22 400 25	 	270 11 200 0	12 202 5 200 5	207.24.400.0
22,23 408:25	sentence	278:11 280:8	12 282:5 308:7	387:24 400:8
409:2,15,20	343:9 399:17	284:1,6 297:2	426:17,18	shake
410:1,7,17	403:6	298:1,6 306:2	session	38:2 77:5 124:5
411:9,13,19	sentenced	308:7,19 314:4	78:3,4,7 86:12,	128:9,10
412:22,25	361:16	315:6 363:8	17,19,22 174:13	shaken
414:6,12,22,23,	sentencing	391:15	331:5,10,12,16,	363:23
24 415:25 417:7	361:18 374:25	served	17	shaking
420:17 423:19	387:23	14:21 15:4	sessions	24:22
424:16,18	sentimental	128:13 154:25	117:11 125:20,	shame
425:18,19 426:1	321:17	196:9 199:9,11	21 126:3 132:6,	133:6
431:23,24	separate	205:13 211:17	7 134:12	shape
senators	44:21 50:15,16	221:23 224:11,	set	152:19
56:3	111:7 341:12	17 350:4,14,16	18:1 52:7 71:2	shaped
senator's	351:17	service	89:17 90:6 98:3	93:10 94:22,23
381:22	separately	23:6 94:25	114:11 199:6	share
send	194:13	110:11 123:2,22	210:15 212:9,10	21:19 23:3
27:11 70:1	separation	131:18 147:8	229:23 234:11	106:5 184:18
105:25 226:19	282:21,23 300:6	157:23 174:6	sets	202:3 243:18
237:9 422:11	September	178:3 185:22	36:24 102:9	258:3 260:5,14
senior	51:14 112:3	188:25 189:2	200:9	329:8 360:17
59:15 72:9	339:21 347:23	211:23 224:22,	setting	375:4 376:4,18
89:22 360:25	384:1	24 236:1 263:18	391:18,21	378:2 381:5,7,
sense	sequentially	266:15 277:20	settle	14 382:2 415:7
27:17 32:18	382:1 407:21	289:2 310:8	204:7	shared
46:3 93:15	series	313:11 316:4	settled	66:10 176:2
97:16 142:7	296:13	325:13 330:21	39:19 40:5,17	178:15 184:1,11
147:20 159:16	seriousness	341:17 432:10,	230:12	191:12 259:16
171:6 209:21	156:14	16,21 433:21,23	settlement	329:7 378:13
214:5 344:14		services	40:6	387:1 389:25
351:9 367:13	serve 17:11 25:3 28:6	14:16 198:9	settlements	shareholders
396:17 413:8	34:16 35:3	298:13,16	31:25	89:23
sensed	49:12 59:20	303:12,18,22	seventh	sharing
423:23	80:25 81:4,17,	serving	340:10 349:23	97:21 179:20
sensitive	19,20,21 84:11	13:12,13,16	sexual	306:12 364:3
178:13 180:8,16	93:13 106:18	14:7 31:9,10	134:14 219:23	377:10 381:1
181:2,21 202:22	107:4 110:4,9,	44:14,15 49:11	señor	397:25 414:24
209:20 229:1,3	107.4 110.4,9,	59:2,4 81:7	139:19	sharp
239:2	114:21 138:14	94:19 101:10		77:2 126:3
sensitivity	149:7 157:12	141:16,17	shackled	sharpen
82:12 99:22	185:19,20	152:10,12	361:16 419:15,	111:24 112:9
178:23 179:1	224:12 235:12	164:22,24 193:7	16	
431:9	242:8 264:7	242:3 263:19	shackles	sharpening 115:5
	2 12.0 20 r. /	267:4,5 274:9,	192:8,9,10,11	113.3

				1 25/12/25/20
sharpens	63:17 95:4 99:5	sign	sincerely	254:1,24 264:20
125:25	132:9 207:14	136:20 310:5	22:20	265:10 270:7,
shaving	212:23 216:22	signal	single	11,16,25 271:21
39:22	217:4 221:22	237:9	344:9 349:9	272:1 277:13
She'd	337:7,12 341:9	signature	355:3	294:6,22 296:18
344:5	342:1 343:2,13,	182:24	singular	310:25 311:14
sheer	19 344:4 345:3,	signed	402:18	312:1,9 313:16,
44:22 362:2	5 349:18 351:23	55:21 57:8	sir	21 315:9 320:14
sheets	357:21,24	351:3,14,22	11:12,15 12:25	321:3 322:20,25
113:5	359:18 378:20	357:14	13:8 23:7 24:4,9	323:17,23
shelf	379:8 380:4	significance	25:1,24 26:4,11	324:7,12,25
75:20	382:24 385:6	196:6	27:19 28:8,12,	325:6,10 326:14
shingle	386:5,6 390:8	significant	25 54:16 56:23	327:9 330:2,19
288:18	391:17 406:24	197:20 259:19	57:10 78:9,14,	331:1 358:9 365:14 366:11
shining	407:3 410:9 412:1 413:14	304:5 327:15	18 79:15 85:19	391:12 404:1
137:22		signify	86:4 87:6,10,14,	391:12 404:1 408:13 424:4
shirking	425:21,23	331:6	23 88:2,20	408:13 424:4 426:9 432:25
328:18	showed	signing	90:23 91:4	
shoes	115:2 156:14	69:17	92:11 96:7,9	sister
269:9	305:5 345:14	silent	98:7,14,21	321:13 358:25
shook	349:1	286:19	99:25 101:8	359:14 361:21,
262:2	showing	similar	102:12 103:2	24 365:7,8,9,20
	189:18	138:1 223:7	105:19,21 106:7	375:19
shopping 357:5	shown	243:15 286:7	107:5,18,22,24	sister's
	106:16 408:16	305:23	108:23 109:15	377:5
short	shows	similarly	120:2,4,11	sisters
18:17 24:23	68:23 154:9	24:6	121:4,18,25	115:20 128:4
55:5 83:10	197:16	simple	122:8,17 123:24	sit
110:10 120:24	shut	135:23 148:22	125:16,18	43:18 71:5
121:7 133:20	371:11	369:16 373:19	131:22 133:23	95:11 142:10
161:7 210:18 305:23 341:19	sick		139:7,13,17,21	184:12,14,16
386:18 431:21	374:5	simply 17:18 20:25	140:16 146:7,9	212:12 248:22
	side	97:7 160:1	147:1 150:13,14	252:12 286:1
shorter	97:8 99:24	390:24 423:9	151:18 163:15	343:5,8 356:12
324:11	102:18 132:12	431:12	170:18 201:24	361:14,22
shorts	158:21,22		227:19 232:5	389:3,11 390:24
159:3	165:23 180:21	Simpsonville	233:11 240:2,21	405:25
shot	223:20,21 244:6	109:20	242:2 243:5	sits
148:14	305:1 321:24	sin	244:11,24	170:6
shouting	352:17	203:23	245:10,13	sitting
56:5	Siegler	sincere	248:10 249:3	11:4 97:14
show	21:7 26:8	106:17 416:10	250:5,9,23	111:25 132:11
			251:8 253:1,4	

		1		
137:8 171:23	sky	smoothly	189:7 194:7	200:25 245:5
211:4 213:17	138:9	282:10	solve	257:6 270:13
243:25 358:14	slandered	snap	141:20	284:20 301:16
362:16 364:24	383:13	202:23	somebody's	322:17 385:8
371:3 372:7	slate	snuff	97:10 262:25	430:1
387:15 388:19,	76:14	209:23	273:15 421:10	sound
22,24,25 392:6	SLED	social	someday	13:23 189:20
397:24	14:13	14:16 38:22	17:7	256:5 318:8
situation	sleep	198:9 345:10,12	someone's	337:16 340:7
36:3 99:11,23	76:19 363:15	361:2 367:8	75:16 129:15	378:18
131:10 180:4	slightly	societies		sounds
181:12 216:20	277:23 295:21	361:10 365:15	someones 295:9	48:5 90:1 92:13
249:20 319:5		society		361:17
343:24 351:12	small	157:6 362:23	son	sources
358:5,6 375:19	82:17 165:18		72:3 378:6	301:6
376:3 395:15	175:7 219:21	socioeconomic	song	South
428:19	239:4 345:9	136:1	27:15	14:24 20:5
situations	349:21	soften	sooner	32:19 34:5
93:20 181:17	smart	217:17	164:4 233:20,21	44:19 46:23
214:18 259:8	22:16 46:4	sole	234:4	47:14 54:3
349:13 395:11	62:18 292:14	88:13 89:2	sophomore	62:24 65:13
six-year	304:12	126:15 127:11	120:17	74:13 81:21
364:1	smartest	solicitor	sort	87:5,25 89:9
sixth	60:1	41:19,25 359:21	38:16,23 71:11	109:20 110:12
72:14 339:22	smile	388:20 391:24	89:1 111:22	114:5,8 118:13
348:24	167:9 226:15	393:16 394:25	112:9 113:11,23	119:10 120:14,
	261:4	405:4 419:4	115:16 121:1	24 125:12
size 227:7	smiles	429:5	123:1 124:17	127:2,4,6 144:8
	57:24	solicitor's	128:1 129:11	153:22 155:25
sketch	Smith	34:22 41:23	132:11,12,15	169:14 199:17
196:12	41:16 72:7	359:22	135:12 136:20,	201:11 205:21
skill	227:2 244:5	solicitors	23 316:13	242:8 257:16
89:17	Smoak	387:8	353:21 362:7	259:7,9,21
skilled	140:1,2,10,12,	solid	366:23 367:12	270:23 271:11
408:2	16 141:10,13,	190:23	371:9,16 375:20	283:14 291:3
skillful	15,16 142:17	solo	415:1	297:16,23
101:20	143:3,13 145:22	88:14	sorts	298:12,15
skills	146:4,7,9 147:2,	Solomon	113:18 232:12	305:14 307:5
90:3 95:1 115:5	5 149:10 150:5,	236:16 263:25	sought	321:2 347:5
158:11 188:3	13,17 217:19		19:20 33:20	433:22 434:9
250:11	223:18 247:15	solution	47:4 87:16	
skinned	smooth	35:16	118:25 143:23	space
208:24	251:20	solutions	155:16 169:4	286:22
	231.20		100.10 107.1	
	1	1	1	I

Spanish	22 246:5	spent	197:5 244:16	standard
114:25 130:11,	special	37:8 113:3	256:14 269:23	97:16 158:7
14,15,22 131:8,	38:19 261:1	193:20 205:18	284:12 302:18	159:24,25
24 132:19	314:9	219:9 228:20	322:8 429:15	198:15,21
139:17	specialized	355:14 405:18	stable	199:23 202:25
Spanish-	269:3	spiced	123:16	209:16 213:20
speaking	specific	327:2	stack	215:15 216:10,
123:13	104:10 318:18	spirit	38:15 39:11	15 217:5 221:2
spanned	353:7 386:20	28:20 56:19	staff	222:22 223:20,
304:8	393:4	77:14 107:9	58:21 73:24	21,22 233:5
spare	specifically	150:9 162:14	74:4 189:25	269:1
397:3	81:25 104:8	186:8 239:22	191:7 192:4	standards
spark	112:13 174:14	252:22 264:15	199:16 222:6	95:24 102:25
130:6	191:8 198:8	274:22 293:10	266:18 276:16	197:21 224:8,10
Spartanburg	211:14,15 281:9	310:14 330:9	277:17 295:8	232:14 233:7
73:11,20	315:14 316:7,	433:10	296:12 313:9	305:16 356:2
speak	11,16 317:25	spit	324:22 332:23	361:7 405:21
36:5 49:1 58:13	334:24	121:13	334:9 342:16	standing
85:8 130:17	specifics	spoke	426:14,15	17:3 22:5
131:2,4,11,12	167:22	23:13 105:24	stage	standpoint
132:1 133:19	spectrum	197:8 310:1	37:3 214:25	92:8 122:16
135:14 179:9	229:3	sponte	staged	156:13 158:14
203:22,24	speech	386:22	394:19 398:15,	159:19 432:8
206:25 251:12	361:4 367:22	spoon	24 399:25	stands
256:7 301:5	speed	326:23	402:10 404:8	80:7
308:24 309:13	51:7 176:21	spoons	405:2	star
314:22 335:20,	266:16	75:24	stake	11:25 137:22
23 348:20	spell	sport	213:14	307:15
351:16 363:18	432:3	76:6 306:23	stamp	Starnes
392:5 417:4	spelled	353:20	194:13,22,23	151:19 152:5,6,
420:17,20 421:1	75:10	spot	195:4,6,9 227:2,	7 154:17 156:4
432:16	spend	153:3 203:4	17	start
speaker	68:14 97:13	206:9	stamped	13:7 39:21 63:8
227:2,18	211:2 238:1	spread	195:7 233:4	71:17 76:15
speaking	372:12 398:13	198:10	stance	81:10 119:22
131:24,25	422:10	squeezing	432:22	153:9,10 156:10
291:11 299:12	spending	122:3	stand	175:10,18 176:7
337:23 358:24	93:5 193:20	stability	137:13 282:19	194:25 207:15
359:13 418:20	207:7	19:3 33:6 46:12	300:2 317:1	231:18 266:1
420:17	spends	64:15 85:12	343:8,10 344:1,	269:17 335:24
speaks	128:24	118:5 143:6	12 422:14	341:25 392:22
114:25 130:11,	120.27	154:24 168:14	434:11	393:14
				1

started	16 286:8 293:18	254:7,23 264:6	120:22 193:12,	Stimson
61:11 69:15	294:11 296:4	265:15 266:20	13,22 366:7	44:6,12,13 46:8
72:14 81:11	297:16 298:1,2	276:10 277:15,	367:17 391:8,9	47:17
89:4,7 113:14	299:14 310:8	19 294:18 295:5	394:13 415:11	stock
198:25 199:25	312:25 313:11	296:11 311:17	stayed	145:21 194:5
200:2 220:19	314:15 318:23	312:6 313:7	362:11 363:22	stone
238:24 242:7	326:5 330:21	321:1 332:9,20	Staying	36:25
251:14 278:18,	332:1 333:16	339:22 347:24	32:8	stood
19 279:8 287:3,	334:5 349:20	360:4 400:5	stays	309:23
6,11,25 325:18	359:6 382:21	403:8	158:9	stop
413:5 423:20	385:13 389:19	statements	steadfast	208:14 245:21
starting	422:21 432:6,17	29:20 30:22	305:14	362:3 395:6
63:11,17 76:22	433:22,23 434:9	46:17 57:7	steep	stopped
starts	state's	113:4 140:13	83:10	199:4 320:21
227:4	203:10 433:10	170:5 187:23,24	steer	366:20 369:8,9
state	stated	223:6	341:20 381:20	stopping
12:18 17:11	19:7 115:4	states		393:13
30:15 31:16	143:10 197:6	54:2,3 345:25	step 92:25 93:7	
38:1 40:22 42:9,	206:13 256:18	statewide	114:20 125:9	stops 88:21 90:15
25 43:25 45:3	267:23 318:10	44:18		
49:18 54:14,17	322:12 335:16	stating	263:11,12 338:17	story
56:19 58:8	343:10 347:11	343:11		61:2 132:4
78:12 79:9	359:25 379:14	statistically	stepparent	259:16 260:4,15
80:13 98:24	389:16 390:1	18:19	50:18	261:16 288:13
107:10 108:5	392:16 419:1	statistics	stepping	storytelling
109:5,18 110:23	427:16,19	22:2	128:15 327:3	307:7
116:23 124:9	Statehouse	stature	steps	straight
130:1 131:14	259:25	219:21	38:20	248:14 354:17
134:7 139:10	statement		stick	361:9 364:12
140:23 147:9	11:10,23 12:24	status 102:4,6 136:2	86:18 354:17	365:14 414:13,
150:3 151:12	13:4 29:21 30:3	229:25 230:1,3	stickler	17
155:1 162:9	43:7,14 44:8	, ,	60:10,14,15,16	straightened
163:23 178:4	57:19 58:14	statute	61:7 69:14,15	52:24
185:19,21 186:8	78:16 93:22	50:24 52:2	74:1,24 75:14	straightforward
187:16 190:3,19	106:13 108:9,18	102:7 129:5	189:17	319:24
194:1 196:11	109:14 140:14	205:9 233:25	sticklers	streak
197:13 199:17	141:12 151:3,22	328:17	239:21	12:6
201:22 235:6	152:2 160:14	statutory	Stillwell	streamline
241:15 254:14	163:13 164:9,13	80:22 110:1	132:8	53:22 97:24
259:21 263:20	170:14 172:1	278:8 296:23	Stilwell	streamlined
264:14 266:10	186:25 187:7	314:1 317:17	123:23 124:16,	50:20 52:11
274:9 275:15	188:1 209:7	stay	24 125:7 126:8	191:2
276:24 283:4,6,	241:8 253:24	35:1 37:6		

	1561222522	207.11		120.20.21
stress	156:13 297:20	397:11	success	130:20,21
99:11	361:8 378:7	subjective	415:17	summary
stressful	Students	429:2	successful	19:7 143:10
259:24	121:22	submissions	52:16 94:1	197:6 256:18
strict	studied	381:16	108:13 122:21	322:12 354:3
208:4,6 319:23	411:13	submit	131:23 137:8	429:16
stride	studies	133:4	235:3 367:20,21	summer
13:22	125:2	submitted	sued	314:22 325:14
strides	studious	176:24 195:3	117:2 167:15	sums
14:8	432:2	244:21 245:4	320:12	386:9
strikes	study	294:19 311:17	suffer	Sumter
213:17 304:8	12:16,20 30:13,	334:22 336:20	208:22 224:3	14:23 321:2
421:16	18 43:23 44:1	343:14 344:10	sufficient	Sunday
stripes	58:6,10 79:7	348:18 353:23	191:23 340:20	71:3
157:14	109:3 140:21,24	357:13,25 358:1	347:12 352:12	super
strive	151:11,14	422:15	sufficiently	72:11 251:1,3
18:16	163:19 187:14	submitting	398:10	superb
Strom	241:13,16	19:11,19 33:11,	suggest	77:8
25:5,6,9,19,25	254:12,15	19 46:19 47:3	43:18 263:23	superficial
78:1 195:24	266:8,12 276:22	64:18 65:1 87:7,	381:13	189:18
strong	277:1 280:19	15 118:16,24	suggested	supplying
158:2 256:2	296:2,6 312:23	143:14,22 151:8	41:15 176:20	102:17
284:5 292:10	313:2 333:14,18	155:6,15 168:20	suggesting	
367:17	stuff	169:3 200:16,24	28:2 226:10	support 13:1 21:18
stronger	24:2 40:1 54:2	256:22 257:5	suggestion	28:10 75:9
223:13	125:10 135:12	270:4,12 284:19	233:21,24	111:7,8 113:24
	211:3 367:3	285:4 301:15	suggestions	136:15 157:8
strongest 153:21	375:21 397:2	302:1 322:16	14:5 179:10	191:24 221:21,
	stuffed	323:1 429:17,25		25 222:6 232:23
strongly	196:2,4	subscribe	suggests 206:14 263:12	261:24 341:1
187:23 216:19	stung	216:12		427:2
structured	27:23	subsection	suit	supported
101:17	style	34:6	117:3 196:4	261:21,23
struggled	217:24 243:19	substance	suitable	· ·
206:9	sua	206:11 213:22	38:4	suppose 20:21 52:19,22
struggles	386:22	223:4	suited	243:13
246:7	subdivide	substantially	121:6 299:3	
struggling	117:21	53:25 210:15	suits	supposed
290:4	subdivided	substituting	90:11 99:7,8	81:8 89:5 90:10
stuck	117:25	135:5	sum	101:11 153:9
325:23,25		succeeding	273:2	199:14 249:2
student	subject 280:11,17	61:20	summarize	360:7 371:8 376:23 387:12
	200.11,1/	01.20		3/0.23 38/:12

412:10	surveys	186:25 187:7	takeaway	387:10 402:25
supposedly	16:15 32:14	188:16 240:25	124:4 206:25	404:10,23 405:6
221:4	45:17 59:23	241:8 253:21,24	415:9	413:9 423:21
	83:11 114:13	254:7 265:7,15	takes	talks
Supreme	142:18 153:15	275:12 276:10	256:6 263:7	48:16 305:4
157:13 159:21,	165:11 190:16	294:9,18 295:5		
23 167:19,24	243:6 255:21	311:12,16 312:6	295:8 309:9	Tammy
168:1	268:13,15	321:1 331:24	421:2	333:6
surely	273:25 283:21	332:8,20 334:2	taking	tapes
116:18	300:14 318:4	359:3,11	51:25 69:7	75:24
surface	427:11	, ,	115:14 193:21	tardiness
38:14		sympathy	205:5 223:4	207:19
surgeon	suspend	430:22	249:8 252:11	tardy
27:11	407:8	synchronized	313:14 408:8	361:11
surprise	suspicion	125:24	talents	task
178:8 258:23	397:7	synopsis	236:5	21:10 204:6
surprised	sustain	39:10	talk	taskmaster
23:15 49:20	60:23	system	14:6 52:21 70:1	206:10
68:12 166:15	sustained	21:3 37:22 60:7	203:2 204:11,13	taught
191:11,12	216:11	72:20 110:21	212:12,16 224:7	15:16 126:20
198:11	Sutton	152:20 172:9	236:24 248:21	243:20 247:25
surprises	173:15	174:16 178:16,	250:20 260:16	250:16 321:18
97:6 178:12	swear	17 179:2 181:23	285:25 338:12	396:10 400:25
	12:23	206:17 214:6	360:4 391:24	401:2,3,4
surprising	swears	222:7 225:16	393:7 406:22	414:12 420:1
35:25	132:13	229:13 362:23	413:11	
Surprisingly		363:2,8,13,24	talked	tax
74:22	sweaty 374:5	376:7,22	17:2 20:19	316:7
survey		systematic	60:13 68:4	Taylor
12:16 16:16	sweet	204:16	76:16 204:8	258:9
30:13 32:15	55:5 203:3	Sí	246:6 273:4	teach
43:23 45:18	206:8	139:19	396:6,9	146:20 419:24
58:6 59:25 79:7	switch	107.17	talking	teachable
109:3 114:14	182:17		18:3,5 40:23	418:12
140:21 142:20	sworn	T	50:8 53:10	teacher
151:10 163:18	11:7,10,23	table	125:19,20	77:4
165:12 187:14	29:16,20,21	209:13	134:16 170:19	team
216:2 241:13	30:3 42:24 43:7,	tack	181:1,6 198:8	28:10 72:10
254:12 255:23	14 57:6,19	147:6 233:14	209:5 215:5	287:7,9 290:8
266:8 276:23	78:10,16 108:3,		226:3 231:19	299:19 307:4,5,
283:22 296:3	9,18 140:11,14	tackling	286:15,18 322:1	9,11,20,23
304:10 312:24	141:12 150:25	307:19	337:23 351:9	318:10 377:16
318:5 333:15	151:3 152:2	tactic	366:9 376:14	378:6
427:12	163:11,13 164:9	371:10	300.7370.14	

		1		
teams	tempered	termination	266:16 277:6	433:25 434:4,13
72:17 89:10,11	66:6 320:6	52:20 53:16	296:10 313:6	that's
technical	tempers	166:8	333:22 334:24	33:1 116:20
75:7 77:1	428:13	terms	testifying	178:23,24
technician's	tempo	68:4 75:3,20	180:13	226:25 247:4
77:1	102:9	106:2 111:2	testimony	370:24
tee	temporaries	112:20 113:7,	80:19 109:24	the
135:9	38:18 40:16	12,18 124:1	183:23 198:4	395:20
teenagers	temporarily	125:14 126:1	278:5 296:20	theme
177:10	98:3	132:17 133:12,	313:23 328:4	27:6 67:8 75:12
teeny	temporary	21,23 138:22	333:23 337:8	203:25 206:8,21
120:10	35:14,16 36:23	148:12 156:14	350:12,16	theory
telling	37:20 62:13	173:24 179:6	377:14 379:12	414:18
52:16 144:21	132:21 175:16	184:3 202:19	380:10,11,13	therapeutic
172:3 174:4	176:19 210:13	203:3 208:11	383:20 385:6,22	180:10 181:8
183:12 194:17,	211:15,25	236:12 248:17,	386:4,25 392:24	there'd
18 206:24	212:4,9 237:10	18 250:10	393:2 396:8	172:2
328:13 344:12	272:10 340:17	262:16 266:6	397:8 412:7	there's
372:17 403:17	352:7	306:15 365:22	426:3,4,11	348:7
413:19	ten	410:11 412:2,6	text	thin
tells	52:23 70:3	415:3	38:15 84:6	198:10 208:24
236:4	111:11 113:14	terrible	103:18 104:24	thin-skinned
temperament	138:5 184:25	410:19 423:12	105:1,4 385:22	226:17
16:25 19:7	195:7,13 196:15	terribly	texts	thing
25:22 33:9 46:2,	226:14 231:19	196:19	410:14	13:17 22:9
16 60:3 64:4,12	298:13,24	terrorized	thanked	23:21 24:25
85:14 114:16,23	303:24 309:5	214:22	261:21,22	38:16,23 49:1
118:9 143:9	396:9	testified	thankful	50:4 67:13 68:3
154:2,22	tend	344:2,3	272:19	72:2 93:14 97:8
165:14,18	194:7 308:18	testifies	thankless	102:15 129:2
166:13,16,23	tendency	11:8 29:16	239:15	130:21 135:9,19
168:18 191:6	218:25	42:24 57:6	Thanksgiving	144:20 146:22
197:5 243:11	tenderness	78:10 108:3	29:3 42:16	148:1 149:10
244:19 256:17,	27:20	140:11 151:1	56:25 77:23	170:12 195:19
19 268:20,24	tension	163:11 188:16	107:21 139:23	196:15,16,21
269:5,7 270:2	197:19	240:25 253:22	150:15 163:3	214:8 218:16
284:15 302:21	term	265:7 275:12	186:19 253:6	220:25 222:9
319:18,21	59:20 103:8	294:9 311:12	264:21,23	225:23 232:15,
320:10 322:12	112:15 234:16	331:24 334:2	275:4,7 293:24	17 238:1 242:19
428:10,19	250:1 252:2	359:3	311:4,5 313:12	246:12,15
429:13	364:1 426:23	testify	321:21,24	247:25 249:21
	JUT.1 T2U.23	163:25 254:19	330:24 336:12	273:11 280:23

281:1 297:24	276:17 279:25	178:17,20 215:9	396:7 419:25	184:4,17 185:25
309:22 338:2,8	280:20 281:20	235:9,19,21	tight	188:4 189:6
342:22 343:10	288:20 291:11	237:1 350:19	158:15	191:1 192:5,6
344:6,11 349:21	305:4 306:14,17	372:5 398:25	tighten	193:8,16,20
355:3,21 366:19	307:14,25 324:2	412:18	52:24	195:2,5 199:6,8
369:25 370:4	325:4 329:18	thoughtful	tighter	205:19,20 207:8
374:4 375:5,15	336:16 338:8	60:3 142:24	100:16,17	208:20 210:18,
376:3,8 398:14	340:3 342:24	283:24 284:4	time	21 211:2,12,16,
412:4 414:20	346:6 348:11	292:9,16	12:6 14:5 17:15	22 212:10
416:16	353:8,15 354:12	thoughts	18:3,4,20 23:12,	213:21,25
things	355:1 365:24	50:13 138:1	16.3,4,20 23.12,	214:4,11,16
16:6 20:18 23:4	367:13,15	198:2 220:23	27:13 32:3,4	215:11 217:17,
35:8,23 38:6	368:18 369:5	243:18 339:8	34:23 37:4,8,13	20 219:10
48:20 49:22	375:8,12 376:22	thousand	38:5 48:9 51:10,	220:8,22 226:18
50:24 55:1,19	381:15 382:8	157:17	19 53:1,10,14	228:2 229:16,24
69:13 70:13	388:8,12 390:13	threat	54:18 55:11	230:18 236:17
73:25 74:1,5	391:10 396:1	412:17	68:12,14,23	238:2 239:2
75:15 76:20	418:19 421:11	threatened	69:21 70:1,8	247:25 248:2,17
90:25 92:9	430:21	361:25 399:5	71:2,5,7 72:7,23	250:14,15,21
94:17 96:2	thinker		78:24 83:6,7,17	252:5,11,15
106:23 111:1	125:2	threatening	89:18 91:22	253:14,15 256:7
122:14 126:20	thinking	363:19 399:12	93:3,5 94:17,22,	258:6 259:3,15
135:21 136:17	52:18 93:1	three-day	23 97:11,12,13	260:8 263:1,8,
138:2 154:14	135:2 144:19	346:4	99:20 100:19	11 266:1,4,17
175:3 183:7	303:7 354:11	threw	103:7 104:10,12	267:17 273:4,19
184:4 198:5	369:21 371:7	167:24	106:14 108:22,	277:21,23 279:2
199:5,19,24	372:8 376:13,19	thrice	25 115:15,25	281:17 286:19
204:9,16	391:1 397:24,25	433:3	117:4 122:20	291:1 293:17
205:21,23	402:14	thrill	123:7,18 124:18	296:15,17
207:10,25	thinks	307:21	125:8,10 126:10	297:15,21 299:2
210:1,3,11,19	401:19	throw	127:18 128:16,	305:17 307:11,
211:24 212:8,17	third-party	238:13 307:19	25 132:18 133:2	16 310:7 313:14
213:6,9,24	53:13,20	363:19 397:3	134:15 135:11,	320:1,3 325:5,6
216:25 217:8,	Thirteenth	throwing	17 145:6,13,18	334:14 336:10
14,17 220:14	61:21 110:24	361:17	147:10 152:15,	346:5 348:13
222:7 225:9	Thompson	thrust	18 153:12 155:1	349:15 351:2,3
227:23 230:8	73:20,22	336:21 337:2	158:20,21,22	353:19 354:9
232:12 235:18,	, ,	ths	159:2 160:10	355:14 356:16
19 238:13,16	thought 23:23 74:25	13:21	161:8,25 163:1,	360:22 364:13
246:6,9 250:18,	105:7,8 124:19	Thursday	2 174:18 175:4,	370:11,16
20 251:19	126:23 127:25	290:12	8,19 176:9,14	372:12 373:11
259:18 272:6	132:8 159:4	thy	177:6,8,11,17,	374:7,17
273:1 274:2	134.0 137.4	, tri	20 178:1 183:1	377:15,18
	•	'	,	

			I	
383:1,13 387:25	70:3	372:8,20 401:20	Torricos'	trail
391:20 392:23	tired	402:15	109:25	147:15
393:12,16	130:5	tolerate	total	trails
400:23 407:9	title	223:25	378:17	253:5 264:21
411:2 412:6	70:2	tolerated	totally	trained
413:12 416:11	today	401:18,19,24	128:12 137:4	66:16 158:12
419:10 432:1	11:3 12:8 20:11	Tom	touch	223:7
434:8	24:23 34:11	203:19	82:2 84:16	training
timeliness	47:20 49:3	tomorrow	93:14 105:12	152:24 390:10,
182:19	65:20 88:7,25	99:18	147:16 148:5	12
timely	99:18 106:17	ton	150:1 203:4	transactional
153:8 175:23	110:17 116:1	56:9	336:17	126:7
184:7 211:17	119:16 123:16	tone	touched	transcribed
times	140:5 144:14	36:24 200:9	99:16 133:11	342:10 424:24
18:17 99:13	156:7 162:23	210:14 400:5	137:20 221:7	425:13,16
111:11 128:25	169:20 191:13	tonight	273:12	transcript
130:2 132:6	193:3 198:17	360:9 434:7	touches	342:8 348:2
135:8 136:21	201:17 212:7	tool	176:16	383:7,11 387:19
142:7 148:4	227:9 230:14,16	112:10 157:5	tough	389:10 391:23
154:4 177:1	232:9 235:20	232:18 376:20	66:15,16 161:16	392:16 400:12
180:19 191:22	245:17 247:15	top	223:8 236:7	418:19 419:5,19
195:7 207:17	257:23 269:4	120:8 235:5	261:6 272:15	transcripts
210:19 219:17	271:4 277:6,21	339:2 370:20	367:14	342:9 346:3,4
220:7 224:25	285:18 303:1	topic	tournament	354:24 355:15
283:1 300:5	306:12 307:7	16:24	72:13	transition
326:24 345:14	308:15 310:10	topics	town	72:24
348:17 349:16	323:21 331:15	30:23 386:1	21:23 205:23	transitioned
355:5,6,16	355:18 363:24	torch	TPR	34:23
380:16 384:9	371:6 377:25	390:19	51:24	Transitioning
387:6 402:24	393:9 394:9,22		track	203:10
428:15 430:25	421:8 430:17	Torricos	35:2,13 75:23	translate
timing	today's	107:25 108:3,6,	81:11	133:3 139:17
44:17 100:15	334:15	7,11,16,19,20, 23 109:15,17,18	tradition	transpiring
295:21	told	110:4 114:12,18	196:1 222:21	398:7
Timothy	13:20 15:25	116:21 117:15	322:2	Trask
187:5,8 188:15	48:3 174:15	118:2,10	traditionally	13:6,9 18:24
tiny	193:1 198:5	119:15,21	184:13 408:4	20:8
72:11 120:10	199:9 203:19	130:10,19	traffic	trauma
255:10	215:24 226:13	131:20 133:10	365:5	392:9
tip	227:3 325:22	139:3,7,13,19,	trafficked	traumatic
71:14	343:7 361:13 362:17 368:12	21,24	56:2 202:20	360:1 392:7
Tips	370:2 371:12,25		30.2 202.20	300.1 374.7
	310.4 311.12,23			
	I	I	l	1

traumatize	137:11 193:17	225:6	10,18 317:10	uncontested
375:24	230:11 237:6		318:2	115:18
traumatizing	308:14,16,25	Tuesday 11:3		
362:10 363:21	309:6,10 320:24		typical 369:6	underappreciate d
	385:12 393:13	tuition		69:22
traveling	trickled	341:1	typically	
31:16 255:10	89:24	tune	21:23 92:2	undergrad
travels		112:5	99:12 232:16	121:19 122:3,7
42:15 77:22	trip 29:1 275:4	tunnel	307:1 431:5	undergraduate
107:20 163:2		374:5		74:19 297:18
293:23 433:25	triple	turn	U	underneath
Traxler	212:10	36:6 43:19 44:5	TI C	282:25 286:9
203:20	trips	58:15 141:4	U.S.	understand
treat	75:24	186:1 188:6	122:5	14:1 20:4 24:13
21:11 148:20	trophy	241:20 249:20	Uh-huh	28:14,23 31:19
243:2,11 248:1	238:12	254:20 263:13	135:18	34:4 42:11 45:1
255:7,16 268:8	trouble	266:17 345:21	ultimately	47:13 55:25
269:8 429:3,5	361:9 375:14	348:24 349:11	36:18 45:13	56:20,23 58:3
treated	troubled	386:19 426:12	51:16 127:25	65:12 77:18
18:13,14,15	189:6	turnaround	182:22 183:14	87:24 92:15
20:23 148:15,	troubles	309:5	223:4 299:16	94:1 99:6
21,25 221:6	390:22	turning	371:4 402:7	101:12 102:21
248:2 269:9	troublesome	248:18 347:22	403:3	104:14 107:17,
305:19,21 362:5	95:7	turns	umbrella	18 109:1 119:9
363:1	troubling	89:18 344:22,	298:20	133:13 137:23
treating	17:6	23,25	unable	139:4,11 144:7
274:5	true	TV	312:13	150:10 154:13
treatment	69:12 71:20	154:9	unanimously	155:24 158:18
191:6 197:14	116:6 225:25	twisted	167:19	162:16 169:13
202:24	247:1 261:18	204:6	unaware	175:25 176:4
treats	362:16	type	257:14	177:15 178:22
46:5 318:14	trust	26:24 83:9	unbiased	181:6 186:10,
tremendous	70:22 117:19,21	116:16 154:9	165:8	14,16 201:10
41:3 165:15	336:12 362:22	195:18 203:18	uncle's	203:25 222:3
272:8 289:11	363:3,12	233:22 236:16	321:20	226:12 231:18
309:13 318:12	, ,	279:18 315:12	uncles	245:11 249:22,
421:2 427:17	trustee	368:19	115:20	25 252:23 253:2
tremendously	117:18		uncomfortable	257:15 266:5
398:4 416:20	truth	types 50:15,16 51:2	116:18,20 301:3	270:22 274:23
trial	343:7 344:12	60:24 113:19	,	285:8 293:18
96:15 121:21	truthfully	123:10 193:10	uncommon	302:5 310:23
126:4,5,6	24:19	194:10 281:7	145:2 421:8	323:9,11 324:1
120:4,5,6	Ts	298:19 315:2,	unconscionable	330:18 347:25
141.14 154.19		290.19 313.2,	97:9	360:21 365:24
			1	

370:8,17 372:5	unique	unresolved	291:14 418:9	325:3
380:8,10 390:1	52:5 298:9	408:15		
398:6,9,14,15,	305:12 319:11		urban	vein
17 400:16,19		unscheduled	194:15 226:14	38:16 75:3,7 94:24
402:2 409:3,4	uniquely	418:13	urge	
413:21 414:10,	179:12 299:3,6	unsee	187:23 251:24	venture
21 418:10	unit	404:20	USC	97:23
424:19 430:10	419:23	unsettling	291:12	verbal
433:18	universe	343:12	utmost	107:16 274:25
understandable	55:4	untruthful	255:7 301:10	verbatim
261:25	university	380:19		16:7 348:11
	250:20 251:4	unusual	V	verbiage
understanding	297:17,23	105:14 413:6		121:13
14:20 15:3,18	306:22 307:4	unwavering	vague	Verdin
16:13,20 82:12	360:25	363:10	319:20	124:24 125:8
125:5 126:1	unkind	upbraiding	vagueness	verification
129:6 153:20	221:6	263:9	289:15,20	12:17 30:14
166:5 178:15,20	unknown	upbringing	validated	43:24 58:7 79:8
223:2 298:14	92:19	247:21	400:11	140:22 187:15
317:21 321:23	unlucky	upcoming	validates	241:14 254:13
326:1 358:15	307:14	227:24 387:11	402:12	276:23 296:3
359:16	unnecessarily	updated	valuable	312:25 333:15
understands	386:18	125:3	37:9 215:23	versed
197:23 391:7	unnecessary	updates	316:18 317:6	32:22 286:11
understood	228:25	275:20 294:20	320:7	427:14
182:19	unopposed	311:21 332:10	valued	version
unequivocally	30:20 157:11	upheld	112:21	234:16
116:15	unparalleled	166:10	Vandale	versus
unexpected	153:25 191:3		333:6	25:21 180:25
35:8,11	193:23 171:3	uphold	variety	183:13 206:11
unfairly	unpleasantries	362:25	292:12	215:14 316:14
305:21	173:3	upholding	vast	vet
unfavorable		255:25	243:8 280:11,17	79:5
167:17	unpredictable	upholds	292:11	vetting
unfortunate	191:9,16	208:7		30:11 140:18
181:18 307:10	unprepared	upset	vastly 197:16	266:6
unhear	209:2	165:24 404:5		VICE
404:21	unpublished	Upstate	veered	
unimpeachable	17:22 384:24	64:8 74:14	287:21	20:15,17 22:8
268:17	unraveling	77:22 83:20	Vehicle	232:3,4,7 275:10,14,17,25
unintentional	100:4	84:11,24 90:25	314:18 315:21	275:10,14,17,25
415:3	unrelated	114:19 118:2	319:8	276:12,16
713.3	406:21	168:10 269:19	vehicles	285:20,23
				203.20,23

286:21 289:5	407:8,10 408:13	107:11 150:10	23 380:5 384:15	walk
291:8,24 292:	25 414:23 415:18,	162:14 186:7	393:5,20 394:10	24:10 28:11
293:20 294:3,	24 417:16,23	239:23 264:15	395:24,25	49:21 88:22
10,13,17,22	420:13 422:4,6	274:21 289:17,	409:12,23	129:12 130:2
295:7,18 296:	18 423:16 424:4,9,	23 310:15	410:24 412:8,9	144:18 173:3
303:3,6 304:1	6 14,16 425:17	339:13 346:16	413:20	200:5 210:24
306:5 308:4	426:9 430:19	380:5,21 385:20	visiting	211:5 273:15
309:20 310:25	5 431:4,19 432:25	399:2 410:10	21:22 73:24	386:23 388:17
311:7,10,14,2	0, 433:20 434:6	414:16 433:11	84:13	418:14
24 312:9 313:	16 victim	violations	voice	walked
323:23 328:23	3 218:19	254:17 309:15	42:15 49:16	261:20
329:22 330:2,	4, victims	310:16 330:10	63:18 344:2,5	walking
20 331:1,3,9,1	13, 356:9	339:11 340:18	362:19 428:16	24:21 96:14
25 332:6,13,2	2 video	346:15 355:7	void	97:10 132:24
333:8 334:4,8	218:18 219:11	379:5	289:15,20	218:20 243:22,
336:1,14,18,2	5 423:17	violent	volume	23 262:25 400:7
337:6,11,18,2	5 view	220:13	43:19 336:6	walks
338:5,11,15,2	0 38:25 75:4	Virginia	368:9	123:11 128:25
339:16,20	125:13 170:15	114:6 127:1,2,6	voluntarily	198:18
340:4,7,10,16	, 174:6 293:10	virtual	179:8	wallflower
23 341:8,11,2	4 310:14 317:8	105:9	vote	121:19
342:13 343:10	330:10 397:2	virtually	258:22 259:12	Walpole
344:16,18,21	433:11	85:3 104:4	260:24 287:18	266:18,23,24
345:17 346:11	l, viewed	virtue	288:9,11	267:1 269:19
19 347:19,22	136:13 169:13	297:11	votes	271:1
348:5,24 349:	4, 347.10	visibility	78:7 86:22	wand
22 350:3,6,9,1	viewing	72:18	291:11 331:18	37:20
20 351:1,8	419.10	vision	271.11 331.10	wanted
352:1,5,11,20	,	50:9 282:2		24:14 26:7
23 353:5,18,2	120.0.240.0	299:9 315:23	W	39:12 73:22
354:9 355:9,1	355:24 402:6	374:5	W&I	74:5 85:8 90:9
356:15,20,23			74:20	91:10 126:6
358:9 359:1,5	, 255 4 250 2	visions 316:1	wait	127:7,8 170:10
10,16 360:5,1	T, 41.4.15.41.6.0		54:9 70:16 94:9	171:1 173:8,11
16 364:2,7,15	violates	visit	142:11 200:3	189:20 205:25
365:18 368:4		316:17 374:16	waiting	218:15 220:16
375:3 377:9,2	-	383:18 394:7,12	62:15 145:23	222:9,11 224:6
378:8,16,21	violating	395:3,21 399:20	157:2 320:3	237:16 247:6
380:7,22 381:		401:21 410:13	351:16	259:8 277:20
386:14 391:12		411:12		279:12,19
396:12 397:5	violation	visitation	waking	291:24 295:10
398:12 400:18 404:1 406:7	20119 .210	337:15 368:18	235:24 365:5	303:9 305:7
404:1 400:/	56:18 77:17	370:13 379:21,		

		1	1	
306:1,9 326:15	weak	well-reasoned	120:19,21	winners
335:15 370:12	305:16	22:17	147:24 148:3	126:14
375:10 376:18,	weakness	weren't	265:21 295:13	winning
21,24 380:24	136:20 167:5	413:3	297:14,22 311:3	72:14 249:18
382:20 383:15	weapons	Wes	312:11 338:5	260:24
391:11 412:4	161:15	162:8	385:7,16,18,25	wisdom
415:7 417:9	wear	West	386:6	160:18 236:16
419:3 431:22	15:23 28:7	308:20	wife's	381:18
wanting	wearing	we'll	385:23 386:4	wise
411:20	77:2 274:4,12	43:17	Wilkins	56:2
ward	wears	we're	116:23	wishes
84:19	247:14	49:2 221:1	Wilkinson	431:20
warm	Webb	we've	109:10,17,23	witnessed
196:3	58:15,23,24	49:2 397:20	110:9 118:1	403:22 407:25
warrant	59:1 64:8 65:17	416:7	119:13,19	witnesses
107:12 362:6	wee	whatnot	Williamsburg	160:5 254:19
warranted	336:11 414:25	170:5	288:6 306:5	256:4 266:15
377:5	week	whatsoever	365:18	277:6 296:9
watch	17:13 51:15	168:3 217:6	Williamston	313:6 328:5
203:17 337:13	62:25 71:4 84:2	405:20	271:10,19	333:21 381:7
343:20	105:2 128:5,6	whim	willingness	382:4 408:3
watched	202:19 211:18	217:8	76:25 107:3	witnessing
36:5 361:16	255:12 382:25		147:10 149:6	371:19 402:13
watches	393:14 401:15	whispering	156:16 158:19	woman
423:17	weekend	226:7	252:8 263:18	203:13,14
watching	366:19 394:9	white	274:8 421:1	360:16
42:2 74:9		123:8	Willis	women
304:24	weeks 20:21 128:5	whoa	287:9,17	321:11
water	296:16 351:10,	220:20	Wilson	
29:9 112:25	290:10 331:10,	wholeheartedly	14:23,25	won 177:25 258:21
236:16		110:19	win	260:23
Watts	weigh 128:9	whomever	126:23 127:13	wonderful
156:23		342:19	128:2 170:23	55:3 73:19
	weighed	widely	wind	77:23 122:14
wave	174:7	114:22	62:15	146:15 186:18
37:19	weighs	wield	window	259:1 260:19
ways	173:5	305:7	324:11	279:14 283:9
62:5,7 70:7	weight	wielded		427:17 433:25
101:15 113:23	293:13 310:17	305:10	wings	
125:24,25 128:2	330:12	wife	279:12	wonky
180:18 181:10	welfare	12:4,22 57:21	winner	350:19,23
236:22 363:5	234:20	80:3 93:5	249:13	woodshed
389:5				205:5

word	299:25 300:11	23:9 55:13,19	writ	290:13
56:17 110:8	303:13 308:2	75:23 110:14	167:20 168:3	
135:5 212:23	316:24 319:1	111:2 120:25	write	Y
242:11 247:1	384:22 389:16	146:24 174:9	50:11,12 71:17	
249:11 395:12	415:12 432:14	207:1 314:21	157:9 175:20	y'all
words	worked	354:22 355:8	177:5 250:10	42:21 80:10
26:21 67:3 69:2,	26:9 61:12	367:6,7 397:22	252:5 263:8	148:11 149:19
4 74:16 75:9	89:10 123:23	world's	379:7	150:17 262:20
100:20 134:22	162:2 212:15	75:4	writes	313:10,11,13,14
214:9 263:8	230:6 233:18	worlds	69:1	335:23 336:13
308:13 326:10	314:14 319:12	214:13	writing	360:19 366:4
354:23 397:17	324:4,19 349:17	worn	69:1 70:4,9,21	367:9 377:19
work	388:5 397:19	75:22 76:3	133:4 175:21	y'all's
13:18 14:10	414:18	worried	238:4 327:19	420:21
15:9 18:23	worker	207:23 367:4	381:4 382:8,11	yada
21:24 22:5 24:1	153:24	worries	writings	292:17
29:5 32:2,3,8,10	workers	226:2	357:4	year
35:20 38:8 40:7	279:8,13,21		written	27:23 31:9,12
45:9 49:23	286:6 287:5	worry 227:12	16:23 46:6,17	35:7,24 40:13
52:23 55:1 58:1,	288:1 292:12		60:8 95:19	52:25 54:5 59:5,
22 66:13 74:8,	Workforce	worse 215:19 224:1	115:1 191:4	10,11 72:9,15
12 76:23 82:1	314:16 315:20	305:19	195:16 202:5	86:2 120:17
83:9,24 89:5,6	319:8		218:24 251:23	134:11 152:24
100:23 111:3	working	worst 98:18 410:20	256:9 269:2	153:1,2 160:21
112:14 115:21,	26:12 39:17	423:13	300:19 304:15	167:15 190:11,
22 122:13	54:20 84:18		329:12 381:6,15	25 194:22
125:23,24	146:21 190:2,9	worth	427:22	214:18 221:15
126:4,11 138:2,	203:12 211:20	112:22 115:18, 19 131:16 397:4	wrong	229:6 231:21
18,19 140:7	221:11 226:20	398:1 416:10	48:4 69:4 72:1	237:11,14
149:16 158:1	238:3 258:18		137:10 238:13	252:12 258:4
161:20 165:3	278:19 279:3	worthy 22:13	247:23 372:6	278:17 299:21
172:21 173:14	287:9 300:4		375:17 396:19	314:25 321:7,22
190:8 195:4,16	314:10 319:6,13	wound	417:5	years
198:7 199:16,	325:18 363:20	81:11 91:3	wrongful	12:5 13:12,24
17,20 235:25	workload	WOW 405 12	117:23	14:3 15:21,24
239:15 240:18	317:9	405:12	wrongly	17:17,22 23:13
255:4,8 256:2	works	wrap	27:24	25:10 44:14
268:11 273:18	22:22 45:21	87:2	wrongs	46:1 48:13
274:16 279:3,4	72:3 140:18	wrenching	225:19	49:12 51:11
282:14,15,17,24	153:19 252:19	96:18	wrote	52:10,23,24
283:8,10,19	268:18 326:13	wrestling	69:24 70:18,23	55:11 59:2,9,14
284:5 287:23	world	392:10	190:5 203:20	69:24 71:7 72:6,
288:1,3 292:10			170.0 203.20	19 76:8,9 80:23

81:9 88:14 93:2	York	352:3 403:11	
95:2 105:21	152:18 190:6	you've	
110:3 111:12	219:9	11:9 162:4	
113:15 114:3	young	176:22 178:9	
123:20 138:5	30:6 61:8	245:24 350:21	
141:16 149:15,	122:15 146:12,	369:10	
23 152:10	18 169:25	y'all	
156:18 158:6	223:23 237:12,	28:9 29:1,4	
164:22 170:11	20 259:1 260:17	30:10 56:9,10	
178:6 184:25	288:22 312:17	57:1 77:21	
188:22 193:1,4	324:19 325:16	107:20 139:24	
194:16 198:4	366:3 376:6	161:5 163:5	
201:25 202:7	382:17 383:4,9,	202:14 227:24	
205:12,18	15 384:13	252:10 253:8	
210:10 213:18	387:20,25	275:5 309:12	
215:22 223:19	392:18 393:18	330:25	
224:9 229:3,11	394:17 395:22		
231:7 234:9	396:10 398:21		
235:3,6 242:3	399:23 400:25		
246:24 267:4,	412:20 414:2,19	zeal	
13,22 268:23	415:6 418:14,24	197:16 252:7	
278:9,16 281:13	420:18,19	Ziegler	
286:5 287:17,24	422:22 423:3,5	55:6	
288:4,25 295:15	424:12	zing	
296:25 298:13,	younger	101:24	
25 299:4 303:24	66:24 93:4	Zoom	
304:24 307:4	117:18 123:11	105:8,16	
308:10 309:2,9	146:21	103.0,10	
314:3,12 321:8,	youth		
25 322:1	48:17 129:24		
326:18,19	414:14		
353:25 354:21	youthful		
355:15 362:12,	119:22,23,24		
13 364:25 375:7	129:22		
389:2 391:19	you're		
397:19,21 412:3	259:6		
421:13 426:17	you've		
years'	53:23		
89:12	vou're		
yesterday	164:11 183:19		
44:18,21 51:4,	227:11 229:24		
10,13 246:22	237:20 308:1		
	231.20 300.1		
		<u>'</u>	